MOUNTAIN-PLAINS CONSORTIUM

MPC 24-538 | M. Hafez, B. Fosu-saah and K. Ksaibati

A FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR ESTABLISHING A REGIONAL ROAD TRACK PAVEMENT TESTING FACILITY IN WYOMING



A University Transportation Center sponsored by the U.S. Department of Transportation serving the Mountain-Plains Region. Consortium members:

Colorado State University
North Dakota State University
South Dakota State University
University of Colorado Denver
University of Denver
University of Utah
Utah State University
University of Wyoming

Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No. MPC-633	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.

4. Title and Subtitle A Feasibility Study for Establishing a Regional Road Track Pavement Testing Facility in Wyoming	5. Report Date August 2024
	6. Performing Organization Code
7. Author(s) Marwan Hafez, Benjamin Fosu-saah, Khaled Ksaibati	8. Performing Organization Report No. MPC 24-538
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Department of Civil & Architectural Engineering Wyoming Technology Transfer Center University of Wyoming 1000 E. University Avenue, Dept. 3295 Laramie, Wyoming 82071	10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)
	11. Contract or Grant No.
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Mountain-Plains Consortium North Dakota State University PO Box 6050, Fargo, ND 58108	13. Type of Report and Period Covered Final Report
	14. Sponsoring Agency Code

15. Supplementary Notes

Supported by a grant from the US DOT, University Transportation Centers Program
Supported by a grant from WYDOT RS02220

16. Abstract

This report discusses the benefits of full-scale pavement testing and the use of accelerated pavement testing (APT) to collect pavement performance data under actual experimental conditions. The report highlights the development and operation of road test tracks, such as the Minnesota Road Research Project (MnROAD) and the National Center for Asphalt Technology (NCAT) test track, and their use in various climatic zones across the United States. The report proposes the establishment of a new test track in Wyoming along the I-80 corridor, which will be the only test track of its kind in the dry-freeze region. The report documents the feasibility study conducted by the Wyoming Technology Transfer Center and the Wyoming Department of Transportation to evaluate the effectiveness of constructing a state-of-the-art APT facility in Wyoming. The study aims to identify potential partnerships, effective frameworks for building and managing the proposed test track, suitable locations, research priorities, and feasibility in terms of benefits and costs. The proposed test track in Wyoming will allow for comprehensive in-service monitoring of pavement performance under representative climate and traffic loading conditions and promote technology transfer, setting WYDOT as pioneer in pavement engineering innovation.

17. Key Word APT, NCAT, WYDOT, UAS, Wyoming	18. Distribution Statement This document is available through the National Transportation Library, and the Wyoming State Library. Copyright © 2022. All rights reserved, State of Wyoming, Wyoming Department of Transportation, and the University of Wyoming.

19. Security Classif. (of this report) Unclassified	20. Security Classif. (of this page) Unclassified	21. No. of Pages 175	22. Price n/a

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72) Reproduction of completed page authorized

A Feasibility Study for Establishing a Regional Road Track Pavement Testing Facility in Wyoming

Dr. Marwan Hafez
Dr. Benjamin Fosu-saah
Dr. Khaled Ksaibati

Department of Civil & Architectural Engineering & Construction Management
Wyoming Technology Transfer Center
University of Wyoming
1000 E. University Avenue, Dept. 3295
Laramie, Wyoming 82071

August 2024

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Wyoming Department of Transportation (WYDOT) and the Mountain-Plains Consortium (MPC) for funding this research as well as Mr. Benjamin Worel, Tom Burnham, Michael Vrtis, Dr Robert “Buzz” Powell, Jason Nelson, Dr Bouzid Choubane, and Mr. James Greene for sharing their experiences in APT with the authors.

Disclaimer

ABSTRACT

This report discusses the benefits of full-scale pavement testing and the use of accelerated pavement testing (APT) to collect pavement performance data under actual experimental conditions. The report highlights the development and operation of road test tracks, such as the Minnesota Road Research Project (MnROAD) and the National Center for Asphalt Technology (NCAT) test track, and their use in various climatic zones across the United States. The report proposes the establishment of a new test track in Wyoming along the I-80 corridor, which will be the only test track of its kind in the dry-freeze region. The report documents the feasibility study conducted by the Wyoming Technology Transfer Center and the Wyoming Department of Transportation to evaluate the effectiveness of constructing a state-of-the-art APT facility in Wyoming. The study aims to identify potential partnerships, effective frameworks for building and managing the proposed test track, suitable locations, research priorities, and feasibility in terms of benefits and costs. The proposed test track in Wyoming will allow for comprehensive in-service monitoring of pavement performance under representative climate and traffic loading conditions and promote technology transfer, setting WYDOT as pioneer in pavement engineering innovation.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF TABLES

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Full-scale pavement testing provides methods to collect pavement performance and related data under actual experimental conditions of traffic loading and surrounding environmental conditions. Due to the long service life of in-service pavement structures, accelerated pavement testing (APT) provides a logical method to accelerate the failure on full-scale pavement test sections using different loading techniques, including full-scale road test tracks and traffic simulation devices.

Two major U.S. test tracks are currently in operation: the Minnesota Road Research Project (MnROAD) in Minnesota, and the National Center for Asphalt Technology (NCAT) test track in Alabama. The test track can be operated separately using dedicated loading trucks (such as NCAT) or existing large traffic volumes to load the test sections (such as MnROAD). Another major concrete test track is being established by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT). Although cost-effective enhancements are being developed from APT programs, pavement conditions are treated differently in distinct climates.

The numerous benefits associated with APT programs and the need for a more realistic approach to evaluating pavements under closely simulated in-service conditions have prompted WYDOT and the University of Wyoming (UW) to propose a new test road track in Wyoming along the I-80 corridor. The main objective of the proposed APT program test track is to continuously and cost-effectively improve the performance of pavements in the dry-freeze region and promote technology transfer. The facility will be unique when established in that region since it will be the only test track of such a large facility in the dry-freeze region. The environmental conditions of the dry-freeze region will distinguish this test road from MnROAD, NCAT, and FDOT test tracks.

The following objectives are addressed to achieve this goal:

- Define methods to share resources and expertise to promote partnership for the proposed test track.
- Identify an effective framework for building, managing, and operating the proposed test track in Wyoming considering the regional and national experiences of future partners.
- Document best practices of design, construction, and instrumentation of pavement test sections.
- Investigate potential locations of the regional test track to elevate the suitability of testing and construction conditions so that the outcomes of the regional research are maximized.
- Identify and prioritize the research needs currently urgent for the improvement of pavement performance in Wyoming and surrounding states.

The study aims to identify potential partnerships, effective frameworks for building and managing the proposed test track, suitable locations, research priorities, and feasibility in terms of benefits and costs. The proposed test track in Wyoming will allow for comprehensive in-service monitoring of pavement performance under representative climate and traffic loading conditions and promote technology transfer, setting WYDOT as pioneer in pavement engineering innovation. The following are some of the study outcomes as outlined below:

- The proposed locations for constructing the test track display several challenges of mountainous and hilly terrains, traffic safety concerns, representative traffic volumes, mobilization concerns, and active oil wells activities.
- The “benefit-cost ratio” (BCR) of the proposed test track facility for the dry-freeze region is 9.2. Such a high ratio indicates that the benefits of operating the test track have the potential to pay off the investment and, therefore, be financially feasible for implementation.
- The demonstration of the unmanned aerial system (UAS) showed the importance of using innovative technology as a viable alternative to the traditional method of surveying sites.

- Improvement in pavement design, construction, maintenance, and cost-effective material selection based on research findings can make significant savings in agency costs.
- APT partnerships are highly recommended for cost-effective research programs. The sensitivity analysis showed significant maximization of benefits through external participation, though the overall BCR of the program did not change significantly.
- Although WYDOT will mainly sponsor construction of the test track, test section construction can be conducted by the participating states and industries. The cost estimates reveal that the average cost of construction per 200-ft HMA test section is $37,500, while the cost for a 225-ft PCC test section is almost $40,500.
- The cost estimates for the benefit-cost analysis are found to be within the expected range of the cost model so that the obtained BCRs are relevant for decision-making.

Based on the results of this study, constructing a new test track on I-80 in Wyoming was found to be feasible. It is highly recommended that WYDOT and other state DOTs realize that partnerships in the APT are the way forward, looking at the global economic situation. Partnerships are key to avoiding duplication of research topics. WYDOT needs to establish and nurture relationships with MnROAD, NCAT, other APTs, industry, and state DOTs. Through these relationships, WYDOT can discover pavement research areas and share ideas and resources for a successful program. It is recommended that WYDOT identify the operational costs of the proposed testing facility in a uniform shape. The funding must be secured from the involved agencies regardless of the expected partners. Having constant and stable funding for the operation would be very beneficial for long-term monitoring and for avoiding delays in operations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Full-scale pavement testing provides methods to collect pavement performance and related data under actual experimental conditions of traffic loading and surrounding environmental conditions. Collecting performance-related data on pavements through a real-world experiment can contribute to the development and verification of pavement design methods and material specifications. It can also evaluate emerging technologies and practices to better design, construct, and manage pavement and other infrastructure effectively. Because of the long service life of in-service pavement structures, accelerated pavement testing (APT) provides a logical method to accelerate the failure on the full-scale pavement test sections using different loading techniques, including full-scale road test tracks and traffic simulation devices. Such accelerated manners can increase the rates of pavement deterioration to reach the end of the service life of test sections in a timely manner.

Several state agencies are developing pavement testing experiments using conventional and accelerated testing facilities. Road test tracks are considered the largest testing facilities for full-scale pavement testing. This is due to the large number of test sections constructed on the mainline of the test track that is distributed along a road segment of more than two miles in length. The AASHO test track is one of the leading road test tracks developed back in the 1960s. Two major U.S. test tracks are currently in operation: the Minnesota Road Research Project (MnROAD) in Minnesota, and the National Center for Asphalt Technology (NCAT) test track in Alabama. The test track can be operated separately using dedicated loading trucks (such as NCAT) or existing large traffic volumes to load the test sections (such as MnROAD). Another major concrete test track is being established by the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT). A comprehensive background of APT techniques and testing programs in the U.S. is documented in Appendix A. Although cost-effective enhancements are being developed from APT programs, pavement conditions are treated differently in distinct climates. This is due to the different impacts of environmental conditions on pavement responses, stresses, and performance. Across the U.S., four main climatic zones are defined by the FHWA: dry freeze, wet freeze, dry no freeze, and wet no freeze. The MnROAD test track is located in the wet-freeze zone while NCAT is located in the wet-no-freeze zone. Although the dry-freeze zone exhibits challenging conditions for pavement performance and covers almost 13 states in the midwestern United States, no test track has been developed for regional pavement research. The numerous benefits associated with APT programs and the need for a more realistic approach to evaluating pavements under closely simulated in-service conditions have prompted WYDOT and the University of Wyoming (UW) to propose a new test road track in Wyoming along an I-80 corridor.

The main objective of the proposed APT program test track is to continuously and cost-effectively improve the performance of pavements in the dry-freeze region and promote technology transfer. The facility will be unique when established in that region since it will be the only test track of such a large facility in the dry-freeze region. The environmental conditions of the dry-freeze region will distinguish this test road from MnROAD, NCAT, and FDOT test tracks. The proposed test track in Wyoming will allow comprehensive in-service monitoring of the performance of pavement systems, technologies, material properties, construction practices, and preservation treatments under representative climate and traffic loading conditions. It will also further set forth WYDOT as a global leader in technology and innovation in pavement engineering.

Since establishing a regional test track requires major investments, the Wyoming Technology Transfer Center (WYT2/LTAP) initiated a feasibility study in collaboration with WYDOT to evaluate the effectiveness of constructing a state-of-the-art APT facility along I-80 in Wyoming. This report documents the efforts conducted to assess the feasibility of the proposed test track considering several factors, including potential partnership and cooperation, test facility layouts, proposed locations, economic benefit-cost impacts, cost estimates, and future research programs. The current study focuses on obtaining sufficient relevant information for building and operating the regional testing facility in Wyoming. The intent is to establish a preliminary understanding of APTs in the region and measure the level of readiness for such a major testing facility in the region. The study also ensures that all stakeholders and partners are well coordinated to present beneficial participation. In this phase, pavement types, structures, and materials will be investigated to prioritize the suitable design of experiments according to the scope of the regional research. Information about the geometric design of pavements will be collected, including road track lengths and the number of test sections recommended for the proposed test track.

1.1 Study Objectives

This study was undertaken to evaluate the effectiveness of constructing a new regional road test track in Wyoming to conduct research studies for the dry-freeze region. The following objectives are addressed to achieve this goal:

- Define methods to share resources and expertise to promote partnership for the proposed test track.
- Identify an effective framework for building, managing, and operating the proposed test track in Wyoming considering the regional and national experiences of future partners.
- Document best practices of design, construction, and instrumentation of pavement test sections.
- Investigate potential locations of the regional test track to elevate the suitability of testing and construction conditions so that the outcomes of the regional research are maximized.
- Identify and prioritize the research needs currently urgent for the improvement of pavement performance in Wyoming and surrounding states.
- Present a review of the applications of APT facilities for non-pavement research based on experiences around the world.
- Determine the feasibility of the testing facility in terms of expected benefits and associated costs.

1.2 Report Organization

The report is organized into seven chapters. The first chapter discusses the study motivation, outline, and objectives. The second chapter identifies the interest of potential partners and reports best practices for managing and building the APT facility considering feedback from partnership surveys and lessons learned from virtual meetings with the major test track officials. The third chapter documents the potential locations of the proposed test track along I-80 defined using spatial data and GIS processing. Also, the field demonstration of evaluating the actual conditions of the potential location is introduced using unmanned aerial systems (UAS). The fourth chapter discusses the economic evaluation of the proposed test track considering the benefit-cost impacts of future research programs. A summary of the expected benefits in dollar values is also provided in this chapter. The fifth chapter provides the results of the cost estimates for the main elements of the test track using related construction references and WYDOT bid prices. The sixth chapter outlines the collaboration between the University of Wyoming and WYDOT in conducting future research studies on the proposed test road facility, as well as other related programs of training and technology transfer. Finally, the seventh chapter summarizes the findings and conclusions of the study and presents recommendations.

2. PARTNERSHIP SURVEYS AND VIRTUAL MEETINGS

2.1 Background

The accelerated pavement testing programs are usually sponsored by several partners due to the high cost of construction, instrumentation, testing, and operations throughout their life cycles (*1*). The available literature shows that no pavement testing facility has been operated self-sufficiently (*2*). Such large testing facilities offer a suitable environment for cooperative research activities for state transportation agencies to improve pavement design, economy, and performance. In addition, pavement industrial entities can evaluate innovative products and technologies to accelerate their implementation in pavement construction and materials. Additionally, collaboration between different partners is essential to transfer knowledge, innovation, and resources for the development of pavement research regionally. The major challenges facing APT facilities are cost (*3*), inconsistent funding (*4*), and lack of technical support (*4*). Hence, promoting collaborations and partnerships in APT programs is key to improving data quality (*5*), making greater research impacts (*6*), improving fiscal responsibility and technology transfer, and optimizing resources and device improvements (*2*, *7*).

A preliminary step in the feasibility study of the proposed test track in Wyoming is to establish partnership and technical support by reaching out to all potential regional partners and officials of major APT test tracks in the nation. This study received several lessons and feedback, which are expected to support the decision-making of building and operating the test track in Wyoming (*8*). There are several organizations and industrial entities that can benefit from the proposed road track. This study presents a unique opportunity for all states in the dry-freeze region to become active partners to advance pavement research and implementation of the test track. The current objective is to reach out to all interested states to share their experience and thoughts on building and operating the proposed testing facility.

2.2 Partnership Survey Questionnaires

Online survey questionnaires were developed and disseminated to the regional 13 states in the dry-freeze zone to collect important feedback about the layout design of the APT facility, test sections, design of experiments, pavement data collection, and research needs proposed for the regional testing facility. Such information is intended to achieve the following objectives:

- Secure feedback from state DOTs about the importance of building a regional APT facility for research.
- Prioritize research interests and needs.
- Ask industry, contractors, and associations about their interests in the commercial evaluation of products and technologies using the APT facility.
- Measure the level of readiness of potential partners to participate in pooled fund studies and sponsor the building of the APT facility.
- Identify how the respondents intend to support the APT project.
- Identify the best practices during planning, construction, operation, instrumentation, maintenance, and data collection based on the experiences of existing APT test road facilities in the country.

Such information is critical to producing a reliable APT facility that is beneficial for the region while monitoring pavement performance. Two main survey questionnaires were created as described below.

2.2.1 Regional State DOTs Survey

The first online survey was sent out to representatives of 13 state DOTs in the dry-freeze region: Alaska, Colorado, Idaho, Kansas, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming. These state agencies have various research interests aimed at improving pavement performance and life cycle. Hence, this survey asked how the proposed test track will serve the state DOTs' needs. It also identifies their future interest in sponsoring pooled fund studies on the test track. Feedback was received from 17 respondents representing 12 state DOTs of the dry-freeze region. The complete survey questionnaire is shown in Appendix B.

2.2.2 Industry and Associations Survey

Contractors and associations have research interests related to the implementation of innovative techniques and technologies. The proposed test track in Wyoming will provide these entities with the unique opportunity to evaluate potential new products and technologies. Such commercial evaluations are expected to prove their cost-effectiveness in providing solutions to the challenges of pavement materials, maintenance, and construction. Participation and sponsorship in pooled fund research and technical support can facilitate the implementation of such products. Hence, the second survey sought potential partnership from the pavement industry. Thirteen pavement industrial agencies responded to the survey, including state, national, and regional associations. The complete survey questionnaire is shown in Appendix C.

2.3 Virtual Meetings with Major APT Test Track Officials

A significant aspect of the APT partnership investigation included meeting with the officials of major APT facilities from MnROAD, NCAT, and FDOT test tracks. The objective was to gain technical support and increase the learning from the vast experiences of these facilities through consulting about best practices in planning and research needs. The lessons learned are documented in this chapter for the benefits of the proposed test track facility's design, construction, and instrumentation. MnROAD, NCAT, and FDOT have experienced and learned from challenges in APT operations over the past 20 years. They have valuable experience with full-scale pavement testing techniques, including construction, funding, instrumentation, operations, data collection, and management.

2.4 Results of the Survey

The results of the online surveys are presented in the following subsections.

2.4.1 Importance of Building a New Test Track and Expected Benefits

Pavement testing facilities have made significant contributions to the pavement industry. First, the survey asked all participants about the importance of building a new test track facility for the dry-freeze zone. The results are shown in **Error! Reference source not found.**. Among participants in the state survey, 77% confirm that building a regional test track facility is very important for research. Similarly, 60% of industrial respondents consider the facility to be very important for the evaluation and implementation of products and technologies related to pavement engineering. Hence, in both surveys, the proposed test track facility is considered a very important asset to the states in the dry-freeze region.

Table 2.1 Importance of building the test track facility for the region

Importance Level	Percentage Responses (%)	
	State Respondents	**Industry Respondents**
Absolutely essential	12%	20%
Very important	65%	40%
Of average importance	24%	40%
Of little importance	0%	0%
Not important at all	0%	0%
Total number of responses	17%	10%

The survey also asked about the expected benefits of the test track research program to the potential partners. The results show that 71% of state respondents expect to benefit from improved material specifications and guidelines. Refinements in the Mechanistic-Empirical Pavement Design Guide (MEPDG) was also highly expected. Such refinements are expected by calibrating pavement distress transfer functions with pavement inputs. Other important benefits are improvement in the selection of asphalt and concrete materials specifications. These specifications would address the expected long-term aging and cracking in asphalt binders and pavement surfaces. Additionally, the respondents expect to improve the structural design and performance of both flexible and rigid pavements, assess innovative materials and maintenance practices, and increase regional coordination through cooperative research. Results from the survey of industrial partners show that 74% of participants anticipate the proposed test track to facilitate improvement in pavement design, performance, maintenance, material specifications, regional and national cooperation, and technology transfer through innovation. Generally, the respondents of both surveys expect pavement performance and cost-effective designs to be improved through innovation, technology transfer, material selection, and understanding of pavement behavior in the dry-freeze climatic zone.

2.4.2 Proposed Layout and Test Sections

A conceptual layout of the dry-freeze RTPT facility is illustrated in Figure 2.1. The facility includes two road tracks: a mainline on I-80 and an additional low-volume road (LVR) test track. The test tracks are expected to carry in-service traffic volumes on both the interstate and existing LVRs. This would reduce the operating costs for pavement loading.



Figure 2.1 Conceptual layout of the test track facility

Another important aspect affecting the costs of the test track facility is the total length of the tracks. Both surveys asked about recommended lengths for the facility, and the results show that 40% of participants in the state DOT survey and 44% of industrial respondents recommend considering a total length for the I-80 test track in the range of 2.5 to 3.5 miles (Figure 2.2.2). Other feedback was received that the total length may depend on the number of participating agencies and the research needs of each agency. However, the balance between the expected number of test sections and total costs should be considered.



(a) **(b)**

Figure 2.2 Recommended mileage of I-80 test track: (a) state DOTs survey results; (b) industry survey results

For LVR regional research, the utilization of the LVR test track was recommended by 71% of state participants and 80% of industrial participants who responded to the survey. The mileage of the LVR was suggested to be from 2.5 to 3.5 miles, as shown in Figure 2.3.



(a) **(b)**

Figure 2.3 Recommended mileage of LVR track: (a) state DOTs survey results; (b) industry survey results

The survey asked the respondents for their recommendations of supporting facilities relevant to the test track research program implementation. Respondents were able to make multiple selections. As shown in Figure 2.4, all respondents in the state DOTs survey recommended the building of a weather station, a laboratory, weigh station, an administration block, and maintenance and storage garage facilities. For the industry survey, 97% of the respondents agree with the recommendations of the state participants on the supporting facilities.



(a)



(b)

Figure 2.4 Recommended supporting onsite facilities: (a) state DOTs survey results; (b) industry survey results

The survey included questions about the interest of state agencies in bridge research at the facility. The results show that 82% of the respondents in the state survey and 70% in the industry survey do not support building bridges at the testing facility for the first research phase program.

The test sections are another major focus of the test track research programs. Test sections will be constructed based on research objectives instrumented to provide continuous feedback on pavement behavior. First, agencies were asked about the pavement type that should be evaluated at the proposed test track facility. Participants were able to select multiple choices. Table 2.2 summarizes the survey responses. Research on rigid and flexible pavements is highly recommended by the state DOTs. Conventional flexible pavements are highly recommended for both the I-80 and LVR test tracks, as shown in Figure 2.5. This could be because conventional flexible pavements are extensively considered in the participating states. In terms of asphalt mixtures, 71% of the state respondents are interested in research on hot mix asphalt (HMA) while only 18% showed interest in research studies on warm mix asphalt (WMA) and bituminous surface treatments. The high interest in research on HMA by the state respondents could be attributed to the high percentage of road networks being HMA flexible pavements in the participating states. For concrete rigid pavements, most of the state DOT participants recommend research on the jointed plain concrete pavement (JPCP) with an unbound base and subbase layer system.

Table 2.2 Regional interest in pavement test sections

Pavement Type	Percentage of Response
Asphalt flexible pavement	34%
Concrete rigid pavement	39%
Composite pavement	27%
Total number of responses	17
Skipped	0



Figure 2.5 Flexible pavement types recommended for research

2.4.3 Data Collection and Sharing

The survey included questions that focused on data collection and sharing techniques. As mentioned previously, the test tracks will be loaded with existing traffic volumes. Hence, the survey asked about the types of traffic data recommended for collection as well as any suggested techniques for data collection. The results show that 64% of state survey respondents recommend considering average daily traffic (ADT) and average daily truck traffic (ADTT) measurements on the test tracks. The rest also recommended the consideration of weigh-in-motion (WIM) datasets. All 13 states in the dry-freeze zone collect pavement condition data. States use different pavement condition indices to describe the "health" of pavements. Figure 2.6 shows the list of the most commonly used pavement condition indices used by states in the region. International roughness index (IRI) and rut depth were considered the most widely recommended condition indices for the proposed test track research program.



Figure 2.6 Most common condition indices recommended to collect for the test sections

The full-scale test track research information can be disseminated using various means. According to available literature, the data can be put into seven categories of elements: administrative, load application, pavement description, material properties, environmental conditions, pavement response, and performance. Data collection and analysis of test sections are critical to the conclusions and recommendations made by the research program (*5*). The survey asked the participants about how research data and publications should be shared with the public and other test track facilities. Respondents in both surveys mainly indicated that a dedicated facility website should be created for the collection, storage, and retrieval of all information associated with the testing program, as shown in Figure 2.7.



(a)



(b)

Figure 2.7 Recommended means of sharing data and research information: (a) state DOTs survey results; (b) industry survey results

2.4.4 Research Needs

The research needs for the dry-freeze zone are defined considering two sources. The first source was based on an extensive literature review. In this review, different applications for pavement and non-pavement research were identified from related APT experiments around the world. Then, a text data analysis was conducted to show the trends of the main field of pavement and non-pavement testing using text data mining techniques. The broad discussion of this review and results of the text data mining are shown in appendices D and E for pavement and non-pavement research needs, respectively. The second source of defining the emerging research needs on the proposed test track was based on feedback received from the partnership surveys, which are discussed in this section.

A broad scope of research interest was evident from the responses. However, the answers show that topics related to MEPDG refinement of flexible pavements, evaluation and validation of flexible pavement structural response models, low-temperature cracking, the relationship between laboratory-measured characteristics of HMA and field conditions, and long-term aging of asphalt mixtures are popular among the states. In terms of maintenance and rehabilitation, the majority of participating states showed strong interest in optimal timings of preventive maintenance practices and developing best practices for HMA overlays related to the density and reflective cracking, chip seals, crack seals, and micro-seals in HMA pavements.

On the other hand, there has been more interest in the evaluation and calibration of rigid pavement structural models and high-performance Portland cement concrete (PCC) designs and materials. This includes optimizing concrete mix components, using fiber-reinforced concrete, and exploring pervious and compacted concrete. Respondents suggested that preventive maintenance should be prioritized. Sixteen respondents showed interest in geotechnical-related research at the facility.

Briefly, the prominent research needs identified include:

- Local calibration of the MEPDG for rigid, flexible, and composite pavements.
- Pavement preservations research
- Recycled materials
- Additives and rejuvenators

A little interest in bridge research was shown by participating states. The little interest in bridge research using the APT may be due to the high cost of constructing bridge test sections. However, future research phases may consider bridge research when the need arises, considering the critical role of bridges in surface transportation infrastructure.

2.4.5 Industrial Evaluations

The industry survey included a section to determine the interest of industrial partners in novel products and technologies in rigid, flexible, and composite pavements for evaluation using a real-life testing environment on the proposed test track. Most respondents indicated an interest in evaluating HMA additives, asphalt modifiers, rejuvenators, hot mix asphalt, reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP) in HMA, and recycled asphalt shingles (RAS) in HMA. Moreover, 20% of the respondents showed interest in alternative cementitious materials, concrete additives, admixtures, fiber-reinforced concrete pavements, and supplementary cementitious materials (SCM). Aggregates constitute a significant proportion of asphalt concrete mixtures and PCC. There have been concerns about enormous pressure on the use of virgin aggregates. Numerous research efforts have been made over the years to explore other alternative sources. Respondents showed interest in evaluating manufactured aggregates, recycled concrete aggregates, lightweight aggregates, tire-derived aggregates, and recycled asphalt in concrete pavement. Respondents also recommended the evaluation of crack sealants, concrete cold patches, low-noise diamond grinding, and fiber-reinforced thin concrete pavements.

In the area of paving technology, most industry survey respondents recommended research evaluation of interlocking concrete pavements, intelligent compaction, paver-mounted thermal profile, concrete pumping aids, paver-mounted thermal profile, joint spray systems, and asphalt temperature measurement and mapping. Industries involved in the manufacture of laboratory devices and other field-related pavement equipment can evaluate and calibrate devices at the facility. The devices are either destructive or non-destructive. In the survey, 42% of the respondents intend to evaluate devices for nondestructive testing of pavements and pavement condition survey devices. However, 56% of participants recommended the evaluation of devices for nondestructive testing of bridges, construction materials, retroreflective measurements, geotechnical, real-time monitoring sensors, and unmanned aerial systems.

In addition, the survey included questions intended to identify the interest of the industry in other transportation technologies.

Respondents also showed interest in non-pavement-related research, including reflectivity of traffic signs and markings, adaptive signal control, connected vehicles, crash avoidance, smart infrastructure systems, smart work zones, and autonomous vehicle technologies, among other industry interests for commercial evaluation at the proposed test track facility. According to responses from participants, commercial evaluations and implementation of novel products and technologies are expected to promote cost-effectiveness, environmental sustainability, safety, and performance of pavements for both new construction and maintenance.

2.4.6 Potential Partnership and Cooperation

The available literature on testing facilities has shown that research programs have been successful and economical through pooled fund studies, such as state planning and research (SP&R) funding. This section intends to get feedback on the interest of respondents in taking part in pooled fund studies, advisory support, technical support, and construction support to address research needs commonly recommended to the DOTs. For the potential sponsorship, a complete list of responses for questions 49 through 54 is provided in Table 2.3 for the state DOT survey. The percentage proportions of interest in pooled fund studies are shown in Figure 2.8 for both state DOTs and industrial partners. However, most respondents indicated a preference for further discussions with stakeholders prior to making a decision. At this stage of the project, 11 state DOTs (with “yes” and “maybe” responses) appear to be willing to consider sponsorship on the proposed test track. It is also clear that the strategic partnership with MnROAD and/or NCAT testing facility is highly recommended by most of the respondents to share expertise and resources with the proposed test track. For the industrial part, eight industrial entities showed interest in participating in pooled fund projects on the proposed test track.

Table 2.3 Feedback summary of potential partnership and cooperation for state DOTs

Dry-freeze state	Interest in pooled fund study (Q49)	Advisory support (Q50)	Technical support (Q51)	Construction Support (Q52)	Form of sponsorship (Q53)	NCAT/MnROAD partnership recommendation (Q54)	Notes
Alaska	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Colorado	Yes	Yes	Yes	Maybe	• Research sponsorship • Participation in pooled funds	Maybe	Our SP&R funds is about the only way CDOT could participate
Idaho	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	Skipped	Maybe	
Kansas	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	Skipped	Skipped	Skipped	
Montana	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	• Research sponsorship • Technical support	Yes	
Nebraska	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	No	Skipped	Yes	
Nevada	Yes	Maybe	Yes	Maybe	• Research sponsorship • Technical support	Maybe	
North Dakota	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	• Research sponsorship • Technical support	Yes	
Oregon	No	No	No	No	Skipped	No	
South Dakota	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	• Research sponsorship • Technical support	Maybe	
Utah	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	• Research sponsorship	Yes	Impacts of COVID may not enable to consider currently but interested in continued discussions and direction.
Washington	No	No	No	No	Skipped	Maybe	Non-voting members
Washington	Maybe	Maybe	Maybe	No	• Technical support	Yes	Non-voting members
Wyoming	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	• Construction funding • Research sponsorship	Yes	

Note: N/A = Not applicable because no feedback received.



Figure 2.8 Interest in partnership of potential pooled fund studies on the proposed test track

For the proposed test track in Wyoming, an advisory board will be constituted to have oversight of the pooled fund studies. The board will set the objectives and goals, and determine all future research studies, including a budget based on the recommendation at the proposed APT facility. Twenty-six respondents representing 22 agencies (with “yes” and “maybe” responses) from both surveys appear willing to join and take a seat on the advisory board.

As far as technical support, the membership of the technical subcommittees will constitute experts in asphalt, PCC pavements, geotechnical engineering, and pavement maintenance. The team will focus on new and rehabilitated pavements and prioritize long- and short-term research programs. The feedback indicates that 67% of respondents in the industry survey and more than 70% of state DOTs showed interest in joining technical subcommittees.

There are different forms of sponsorship that can be adopted by agencies on the proposed test track. Figure 2.9 shows a summary of the types of sponsorship indicated. At this stage of the project, there was a high interest in state DOTs to sponsor research programs. Providing technical support, helping to fund the facility’s construction, and participating in pooled fund studies are areas surrounding states intend to invest in to make the regional APT a reality. Respondents indicated high intentions to provide technical support to the research program. Other forms of support they intend to deliver include training, promoting the facility’s interest, operations, materials, research dollars, sensors, and equipment.



(a)



(b)

Figure 2.9 Forms of sponsorship indicated by partnership respondents: (a) state DOTs survey results; (b) industry survey results

2.5 Lessons Learned from the Virtual Meetings

The following lessons were learned from discussions with major test track facility officials in the nation, including MnROAD, NCAT, and Florida DOT (FDOT) testing facilities.

2.5.1 Test Site

Selecting a suitable location for the test track is a significant task, yet daunting in the planning process. The site acquired for the APT facility should be large enough to allow for future expansions if needed. It is desirable to have sufficient space to design the facility and the test sections so that many test sections can be built and allow room for the construction of new ones. Factors considered in selecting the sites of the APT facilities include:

- Proximity to the agency's materials/researcher's office promotes easy mobilization to the site for data collection, construction of test sections, and quality control and assurance.
- The facility should be located where there is adequate truck traffic to accelerate the failure of the test sections within a compressed time interval. Traffic should be similar to interstate traffic.
- The site should have minimum access driveways or side streets to the highway to minimize the interrupted flow of traffic and research operations.
- The affordability of land and right of way is recommended to consider because the cost and availability of land will impact the initial cost of the APT program.
- Proximity to an international airport presents an additional advantage for facility owners and partners, and it allows easy access to the site for domestic, regional, and international partners. APT programs attract significant visits from different countries and states. The 2020 NCAT Annual Report lists the top visitors by country to the site: U.S (18,425), China (2,155), India (1,034), Japan (991), and Canada (706) (*9*).
- The test track facility should be constructed in a representative climate since variability in climate can be a limitation to the implementation of research findings. Moreover, the location should be selected where enough sunlight is provided during summertime. The shadowing effect of the sunlight should be avoided to reduce the biases of temperature-related pavement performance being tested.
- The site selected for the proposed facility should have easy access to utilities: electricity, water, sewer lines, and internet connections.
- Other aspects of the test location include minimal flood risks and environmental and economic impacts.

2.5.2 Partnerships

The successes of the MnROAD and NCAT test track programs are partly attributed to the strong partnerships the facilities formed over the years. The partnership is crucial to avoid duplication of research topics. These test road facilities established and nurtured relationships with existing facilities, industry, and state DOTs to improve data quality, expand research impacts, and improve funding. The partnerships have helped APTs to overcome the limitations of operating on their own. The MnROAD and NCAT partnership has helped to bridge the climate gap toward addressing national challenges with pavements. The alliance has promoted the need to understand pavement and performance and how the different climates affect those areas. Consistent support and funding have been the backbone of these APT programs as managing a test track facility is expensive. NCAT has been operational successfully because it has been entrepreneurial about the research program and created value for prospective sponsors, including incorporating non-pavement research to offset operational costs to promote financial stability and independence. The role of the private sector in promoting APT cannot be underestimated. Industries have provided support to these test roads through the construction and maintenance of the test tracks by donating materials, equipment, and technical support. A "call for innovations" program instituted at MnROAD to invite associate partners, academia, industry, and private companies to fund research based on their needs and interests has contributed to innovation in pavement engineering.

2.5.3 System of Operation

The facility can be set up in three main ways: conventional system, remote system, and hybrid system.

- Conventional system of operation – This system involves building onsite facilities to accommodate staff who operate entirely at the site. MnROAD is a test track that falls under this category. It operates with additional facilities to ensure the track is fully operational. The layout of the test track shall include onsite buildings, offices, conference rooms, a laboratory, a data collection controlling room, a pole barn of equipment storage, a maintenance unit, a parking lot, stockpile area, among other elements.

- Remote system of operation – The facility can be set up such that data collection can be monitored remotely from the operator’s offices outside of the facility’s premises via the internet as in the case of the FDOT concrete test road. To reduce the overall cost, there will be no full-time staff resident at the site and no office buildings for the facility. Under this category, the onsite facilities can be reduced to include only data side cabinets, a controlling room, and other minor elements. Apart from being a cost-effective way of operating, it ensures continuous data collection in the event of a pandemic like COVID-19, where lockdowns could be imposed.

- Hybrid system of operation – This system is a combination of remote and conventional methods. This system shows that APTs can operate both conventionally and remotely like the NCAT test track. Existing facilities can integrate or upgrade their response data collection system to go remotely.

2.5.4 Test Track Construction

Over decades, test sections have been sponsored by state DOTs, FHWA, and industry either individually or through pooled funding. To reflect local conditions and promote the implementation and applicability of research findings, local construction materials (aggregates) could be hauled from sponsoring states to construct the test sections. The haulage can be included in the cost of the research. The test sections are built with conventional highway construction equipment and techniques. Comprehensive quality control tests are utilized to meet the specifications and satisfaction of the sponsoring agency, and they contribute to the success of APTs. Under state procurement laws, private contractors can be selected to build the test sections through competitive bids or turnkey contracts administered by the agency. Hiring experienced contractors with exemplary quality control records has helped the APT programs obtain quality results with reliable findings. However, the test sections can be built in-house with the facility staff to reduce costs. Being the prime contractor allows flexibility to make changes to the scope of work at any time without financial consequences and contractual breaches. Traditional design-bid-build, procurement, and contracting with private partners are the leading construction contracting methods. However, each contracting process has its pros and cons, and APT programs can use any of them depending on the needs of research sponsors. The development of partnership agreements is essential for the successful delivery of research goals and implementation. The geometry of the test section is typical of the interstate highway while the minimum test section of 200 feet in length is ideal since short test sections can create construction difficulty.

2.5.5 Traffic Management

Effective traffic management plans are needed using road signage to make research operations and traffic diversion easier and safer for the occasional traffic switches. Trained personnel and equipment should be secured, including authorized vehicles, portable changeable message signs, Safetycade barriers, and comprehensive communication to smoothly undertake traffic switch operations. A complete safety protocol has helped to create a safe working environment for these test roads.

2.5.6 Instrumentation

The in-situ pavement responses and conditions of the test sections are measured occasionally. The commonly used instrumentation embedded in the wheel path of the test section includes strain gauges, pressure cells, displacement gages, and temperature and moisture sensors. The APT facilities have been using weather stations to record rainfall, humidity, temperature, and wind speed. The selection of instrumentation is based on the past experiences of earlier test roads and conducting some experimentation to determine what works well at which facility. Instrumentation has been procured using the state's procurement processes to invite bids. Other important selection criteria for sensors include performance, reliability, availability, and compatibility. Monitoring wells with tipping buckets developed at MnROAD have been used to monitor the water table level in the pavement structure. The use of two weigh-in-motion stations for traffic data collection allows continuous data collection if one of them breaks down or needs calibration.

2.5.7 Data Collection, Measurement, and Sharing

The condition of each section is routinely monitored to evaluate rutting, cracking, friction, roughness, falling weight deflectometer measurements, densification, and other related data. MnROAD teamed up with the FHWA to develop an LTPP-InfoPave system to share APT data. The shared data are related to designs of test sections, layers, laboratory results, performance monitoring results, traffic, and the weather. In January 2020, FHWA launched the InfoMaterials^{TM} portal, which is currently hosted on the LTPP web portal to share datasets of the pavement performance and all related monitored data in the LTPP, as well as MnROAD. The feedback received in 2020 indicates that the web portal has enabled better and smoother access to the datasets for the benefit of research conducted and sponsored by FHWA (*10*). For the proposed test track in Wyoming, WYDOT can decide how the different databases will be shared at the beginning of the project and with the consent of sponsors.

2.5.8 Staffing and Organizational Structure

A well-structured staffing organization at these facilities defines the workflow to achieve the goals of the APT program. The APT program staff comprises managers, research engineers, mechanical engineers, electronic technicians, laborers, laboratory technicians, truck drivers, administrative staff, and others. The hiring of a construction management expert has helped NCAT with its operations to effectively plan and monitor the building of test sections in-house. Likewise, a contracts and grants specialist included in the team is highly beneficial to writing, negotiating, finalizing, and administering contracts with external parties. The structure will depend on how the APT program is set up (e.g., conventional, remote, or hybrid).

2.5.9 Site Meetings and Implementation Follow-ups

Communication and good relationships are essential for successful research partnerships and projects. Partners get involved and engaged during the entire research project. Occasional onsite sponsor/stakeholder meetings during the testing cycle at NCAT have been of tremendous benefit. Onsite meetings held at the facility include a physical inspection of test sections, providing feedback and making relevant changes to meet their needs, and sharing initial findings. The meetings also promote the implementation of research findings. NCAT officials also travel to sponsor states to present research results and assist with the implementation of findings. The funds for hosting the meetings (including airfares) are included in the sponsor fees. The COVID-19 pandemic presented additional challenges in 2020 for APT operations in which onsite sponsor meetings had to be held virtually at NCAT. Regular meetings with potential contractors and the research team are necessary to aid and create a better understanding of how to build test sections and reduce contractual risks. A kick-off meeting with the contractor(s) is recommended to establish lines of communication at the commencement of the meeting.

3. POTENTIAL LOCATIONS

3.1 Background

The site selection for the APT program is a critical aspect of the planning process. The decision to select a particular site for the APT facility will influence the operating cost, research findings, and implementation decisions. Wyoming's test track facility is proposed parallel to Wyoming's I-80, which is a major freight corridor with heavy trucks, to achieve the accelerated damage required for APTs. However, Wyoming's I-80 is characterized by mountainous and rolling terrain with significant vertical grades. There are several sites along the 402-mile interstate segment where the facility could be located. But the potential site should be carefully selected based on certain predetermined criteria and experiences from similar facilities in the U.S.

This chapter presents the findings that identify favorable potential locations that satisfy predetermined selection criteria for the development of the proposed test track facility. The selection process involves two main stages: *1*) preliminary site screening using spatial data and geographic information system (GIS) tools and *2*) detailed site evaluation using unmanned aerial system (UAS) applications. The potential sites that would satisfy the initial screening criteria can be taken through a detailed evaluation for future consideration.

3.2 Study Area

I-80 is almost 402 miles in length and located at the south border of the state. It is a major freight rural corridor connecting the eastern and western parts of the U.S. It transports almost 32 million tons of freight annually (*11*). The expansion in crude and gas production in the state has resulted in a corresponding increase in traffic volumes and axle loads. Heavy truck traffic on Wyoming's I-80 comprises almost 50% of the 7,080-vehicle-per-day annual average daily traffic (AADT) (*12*).

Outstanding features of Wyoming include its majestic mountains and high plains. The mean elevation is 6,700 feet above sea level. Hence, the I-80 corridor is characterized by challenging mountainous road geometry (i.e., sharp horizontal curves and steep grades) and inclement weather conditions that significantly contribute to crash occurrences.

The proposed location of the test track is studied along the I-80 segments located in Laramie and Albany counties, as shown in Figure 3.1. The reason relates to the proximity of UW and WYDOT to the main offices for mobilization and technology transfers, as well as data collection and monitoring. The location of the proposed test track can be investigated by considering practitioners' recommendations. However, various engineering and environmental criteria should be taken into consideration before a decision is made. Some criteria involve different behaviors in a way that combining these criteria may lead to conflicting objectives. For example, some road segments on I-80 may display low crash rates; however, they are located in mountainous and hilly terrains, making construction more difficult. The Spatial Analyst Tool in Arch-GIS can play a critical role in spatial decision-making to find and map locations that show the best suitability or the most hazardous of particular interest.



Figure 3.1 The map of the study area on I-80 in Albany and Laramie counties

Therefore, the priorities of selecting an appropriate location for the test track are set to identify a flat-level area where cuts and fills are minimized. The site is to be located on a section of the roadway where there is adequate truck traffic to accelerate pavement damage. In terms of traffic safety, the site is to be located on a portion of the roadway with low crash records over the years. In addition, the right of way must provide sufficient land space for the test road, stockpile sites, office buildings, and parking lots. The site is also to be located where environmental and social impacts could be minimized. Before selecting the location, to envision the length and the geometric features of the test track, the following section describes the two main conceptual layouts recommended for the proposed test track.

3.3 Conceptual Layout

Based on the feedback received from APT practitioners and consultants, two conceptual design layouts are proposed for Wyoming's test track facility as described below.

3.3.1 Full-Stage Test Track

The first option is to adopt a full-stage test track, including all the supported buildings, offices, laboratories, and maintenance units. Figure 3.2 shows a sketch of the full-stage test track. The descriptions of onsite facilities are listed in Table 3.1. This strategy will consider more areas for onsite buildings, parking lots, and stockpile areas. The expected total area for this option will be 120 acres.



Figure 3.2 Full-stage testing facility conceptual design on a westbound segment of I-80

Table 3.1 Element descriptions of the full-stage test track proposed in Wyoming

Serial	**Element**	**Description**
1	Main building	• Offices for 20 dedicated staff • Washrooms • Conference room (2,000 square feet for a capacity of 50 persons) • Breakout rooms • Laboratories
2	Pole barn	• Tool storage • Work area • Sample storage on racks • Parts storage • Equipment storage (e.g., FWD, 3D distress van, etc.)
3	Stockpile area	• Construction and maintenance materials storage area, including aggregates and mix plants.
4	Test track	• Typical I-80 sections of interstate roads (see test section layout)
5	Weather station	• Climate data collection and recording
6	Weight-in-motion (WIM)	• Two WIM stations allow continuous data collection if one of the stations is inoperative or needs calibration.

Based on the feedback received about the best practices, standardized test sections are proposed on the mainline of the test track. Three main test-section groups will be considered: 1) a group for HMA experiments, 2) a group for PCC experiments, and 3) a group for preservation experiments. Each group will consider different designs for both asphalt and concrete pavement depending on the practices followed by sponsoring agencies and the regional research needs. A sketch of the proposed test sections is depicted in Figure 3.3. The first set of test sections includes the HMA structural experiments. In this set, 26 test sections will be constructed primarily to investigate the different HMS thicknesses, types, and performance. Each test section will have a standard length of 200 feet according to previous experiences and practices on MnROAD. However, sponsors can implement their research projects in multiple sections according to their needs. Other experiments will include two test sections to allow for control cases to be compared with the adjusted conditions of testing asphalt. The second group will include experiments for concrete pavement slabs. According to the literature search for the rigid pavement practices of the states in the dry-freeze zone, most of the experiments will be related directly to the jointed-plain concrete slabs. Therefore, the cost estimates for the concrete testing sections will be conducted considering the PCC pavement average unit bid prices used by WYDOT. The last group will be set up for maintenance and preservation projects. These sections will include different types of pavements and will be distributed along 10 test sections. Each test section will be 225 feet long. When necessary, parts of the existing I-80 and previously designed sections will be used for studying the effectiveness of overlays on existing cracked pavements.



Figure 3.3 The conceptual layout of the testing sections on the mainline of I-80

3.3.2 Limited Onsite Buildings

Although the second design layout will include the same testing section groups, the onsite buildings will be limited to reduce the overall costs of construction. The facility will be set up such that data can be monitored remotely from the offices. There will be no full-time staff at the test road. The reduced stage of the test track will also allow for remote operation, data collection, and monitoring. The conceptual layout of the limited-onsite-building strategy is shown in Figure 3.4. The descriptions of onsite facilities are listed in Table 3.2. In this strategy, the onsite buildings will include a small building for maintenance and inspection, a storage stockpile area for materials, and roadside data cabinets. Each of the 62 test sections will have a dedicated roadside cabinet equipped with sensors, recording units, and transmitting units to transfer the data collected automatically to a central database.



Figure 3.4 Limited onsite testing facility conceptual design on a westbound segment of I-80

Figure 3.5 shows an example of the roadside data cabinet currently sponsored by FDOT for the new concrete pavement test track in Clay County, Florida. A fiber connection will be used to link all the cabinets to the data building located on the south end of the conceptual westbound test road. In addition, a number of monitoring wells will be installed near the test sections where one monitoring well can be used for multiple sections. According to FDOT's practices, the concrete test track is currently provided with four monitoring wells at test sections 1, 21, 27, and 52 to track all the changes in the water table, as demonstrated in Figure 3.6. The prosed test track can follow similar practices to collect the ground water table data as part of the data acquisition. The expected total area of the limited onsite testing facility will be 90 acres.

Table 3.2 Element descriptions of the limited onsite testing facility proposed in Wyoming

Serial	Element	Description
1	Data collection building	• 12-feet by 14-feet communication room • Restrooms • Storage area
2	Roadside data cabinets (RD)	• House for instrumentations of data acquisition • 62 cabinets aligned with the testing section to automatically collect the pavement-related data.
3	Monitoring well	• To monitor the water table underneath the pavement layers of the testing sections.
4	Test track	• Typical I-80 sections of interstate roads (see test section layout)
5	Weather station	• Climate data collection and recording
6	Weight-in-motion (WIM)	• Two WIM stations allow continuous data collection if one of the stations is inoperative or needs calibration.

Figure 3.5 Florida Department of Transportation roadside cabinets (*13*)

Figure 3.6 Monitoring well (*13*)

3.4 Methodology: Suitability Analysis

Multi-criteria suitability analysis is used in this study to identify appropriate locations for the proposed facility based on a set of criteria. The overall methodology chart of the suitable location analysis is depicted in Figure 3.7. In this study, five criteria are considered for the spatial analysis: slope, daily truck traffic, crash history, active oil wells, and proximity to Laramie and Cheyenne. The data of all criteria along I-80 are spatially analyzed and reclassified into suitability raster data set on a desirability scale from 0 to 5, with 5 being the most suitable location. The spatial data are analyzed within a buffer zone of one mile along I-80. All the layers of the different criteria are then aggregated considering different weights to generate the overall suitability map. Once appropriate locations are recognized from the spatial analysis, the proposed zones are evaluated with WYDOT's Right-of-Way (ROW) office to collect more information about the land acquisition and the suitability of the land use. Finally, the proposed locations are further evaluated in the field using UAS applications.



Figure 3.7 Schematic of the methodology for selecting the suitable locations of the test track

3.5 Decision Making Criteria

The first step in the suitability analysis will be defining the problem. In this study, the objective was to find the most suitable roadway segment on I-80 that is nearly a 3.5-mile length and characterized by the best combination of engineering and environmental properties. A set of selection criteria will then be defined for the proposed test track to maximize the benefits and reduce negative impacts on the roadway system. Five criteria were considered in the analysis. They are discussed in the subsections below.

3.5.1 Land Slope

The land slope is an important criterion in mountainous or hilly terrains. Steep slopes increase the cost of construction arising from cuts and fill. Therefore, the selection of a relatively flat area for the proposed facility is desired. As mentioned earlier in the report, Wyoming is characterized by mountains and high plains, and identifying a flat area is primarily necessary to minimize the volume of earth works for economic reasons. A high weight was placed on this criterion due to the challenging nature of Wyoming's topography. The slopes are generated from the digital elevation model (DEM) of the study area using ArcGIS. Flat and level areas with slopes between 0% and 2% are classified with the highest desirability level. Higher slopes correspond to lower desirability levels.

3.5.2 Crash History

Wyoming records one of the highest truck crash rates in the U.S. due to high truck traffic on I-80, adverse weather conditions, and challenging road geometry (*14–18*). Another important criterion was to consider locations without a cluster of crashes to ensure continuous collection of data on the test section without frequent closures due to crash incidents. In this study, five-year crash history data were analyzed from 2015 to 2019. The results are presented using the hotspot analysis in ArcGIS.

3.5.3 Traffic Data

An important component of accelerated pavement testing is the traffic that should provide adequate truck traffic loading to accelerate the damage of the test sections within the study period. The suitable sites for the proposed test track are spatially analyzed using the data of average daily traffic and truck traffic for 2019. The following describes the five levels of desirability for traffic volumes.

- Desirability Level 5: AADT of more than 6,500 vehicles per day
- Desirability Level 4: AADT of 6,000-6,500 vehicles per day
- Desirability Level 3: AADT of 5,500-6,000 vehicles per day
- Desirability Level 2: AADT of 4,000-5,500 vehicles per day
- Desirability Level 1: AADT of less than 4,000 vehicles per day

3.5.4 Proximity to Laramie or Cheyenne

The desired locations should be a close distance to either Laramie, where the UW is, or Cheyenne, where the WYDOT office is. This will provide better mobilization to the test track. The location also will be efficient for data monitoring and technology transfer. Both the UW and WYDOT offices will play significant roles in the periodic condition monitoring, sensor measurements, building test sections, and quality control and assurance. To minimize the impact from distant locations, the spatial analysis will only be conducted in Laramie and Albany counties.

3.5.5 Active Oil Well

This criterion is mainly considered to reduce the environmental impact of building the test track near active oil well areas. Avoiding active oil wells is necessary because there are high drilling activity levels, and building the test track could limit the activities of producing oil and natural gas. Hence, the high desirability of the potential location is specified for road segments that are distant from active oil wells. The spatial locations of the active oil wells are inserted in ArcGIS. The desirability raster data are then developed using the hotspot analysis.

3.6 Multi-Criteria Analysis in ArcGIS

Multi-criteria analysis (MCA) is a well-known method to handle land suitability evaluation. In GIS, there are two main methods used. They are briefly described in the following subsections.

3.6.1 Boolean Overlay

The first method, Boolean overlay, includes only binary codes for the input maps. Common Boolean operators are applied to the input maps to define the output. For example, the "AND" operator includes the intersection process. It combines the conditions from input maps that both values must be "true." Other operators can be included in the mathematical formulation, such as "OR" for the union process (*19*).

3.6.2 Weighted Linear Combination

In this method, the decision-making criteria are combined with different weights depending on the importance of each criterion. The overall value of suitability is shown on the map with the predefined desirability scale. This method allows a full tradeoff among criteria and provides more flexibility than the Boolean overlay approach (*19*). For the proposed test track, it is impractical to consider the Boolean overlay approach because all segments are expected to have different features. It is very unlikely to find a segment that shows the highest desirability for all criteria. Hence, the input maps of the criteria are weighted to trade off the best location. To come up with an appropriate weighting score for the five criteria, a pairwise comparison method is implemented. In this method, each pair of criterion is ranked where the more suitable (preferable) criterion receives a score of one while the less suitable criterion receives a score of zero. When the two criteria are equally important, a score of 0.5 is assigned to each one. Accordingly, the pairwise comparison is summarized, as shown in Table 3.3. It is clear that the land slope is the most important criterion (weight = 40%) since it has a direct impact on the cost of construction. Traffic safety and traffic volumes are equally important with a corresponding weight of 25% in the linear combination of the spatial analysis. Proximity and active oil wells are then ranked to display the least affecting factors of the proposed locations (weight = 5%).

Table 3.3 Pairwise comparison and corresponding weights for the suitable location criteria

Criterion	**C1**	**C2**	**C3**	**C4**	**C5**	**Score**	**Weight (%)**
Land slope (C1)	-	C1	C1	C1	C1	4	40
Crash history (C2)	-	-	C2C3	C2	C2	2.5	25
Traffic data (C3)	-	-	-	C3	C3	2.5	25
Proximity (C4)	-	-	-	-	C4C5	0.5	5
Active wells (C5)	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	5
Total						10	100%

3.7 Spatial Analysis Results

The weighted linear combination of the predefined criteria is analyzed using the spatial analyst tool on ArcGIS. The results are presented in a cartographic representation. First, the heat map of the crash history on I-80 is shown in Figure 3.8. The results present the high-risk crash locations that are represented by the Z-score of the statistical significance. Hence, a higher Z-score is an indication of a higher probability of crash occurrence (*20*). The results show that crash hotspots are located in the summit area and near Cheyenne. The majority of segments display low Z-scores.



Figure 3.8 Suitability map of crash hotspots from 2015 to 2019

Figure 3.9 shows the suitability data for traffic volumes. The AADT on some segments is missing, showing incomplete objects on the map. The results show that the traffic criterion is more favorable in the segments between Laramie and Cheyenne where higher traffic volumes are accommodated by I-80. This can provide more efficient traffic loading for accelerating the damage on the proposed test track.



Figure 3.9 Suitability map of traffic volumes of 2019 annual average daily traffic

Figure 3.10 shows the suitability map for the land topography and corresponding slopes. The least desirable areas are located west of Laramie where the summit is formed with mountainous terrain. The land is almost flat in the western area of Laramie and the eastern area of Cheyenne. Along the corridor between Laramie and Cheyenne, locations show different suitability with different slopes.



Figure 3.10 Suitability map of slope criterion

Figure 3.11 displays the suitability for the active well sites criterion. Although this criterion is weighted with only 5% of the total decision, most locations display high desirability. Few road segments with active oil and drilling activities are found along Cheyenne and its eastern area.



Figure 3.11 Suitability map of active oil well sites

The total combined desirability of the proposed location for the test track is mapped in Figure 3.12. Some locations show a high desirability of optimum conditions for the decision-making criteria. It is almost certain that the proposed test track is not recommended to be constructed along the summit area and in the Cheyenne vicinity. As a result, four main zones are proposed from the spatial analysis of I-80 transportation data. These zones include the highest weighted desirability obtained from the combined decision-making. Other candidates can be obtained from the map; however, it may not be suitable to be proximate to both UW and WYDOT.



Figure 3.12 Map of the combined desirability weighted by the decision-making criteria

The identified zones are verified on the ground with high-resolution satellite images from Google Maps for site reconnaissance (Figure 3.13). Using the measuring tool in Google Earth, the 3.5 miles of the main line is simply placed with the current alignment of I-80 to check the suitability of constructing an adjacent mainline test track. Zone 2 cannot provide a suitable location for the proposed test track since most of the adjacent land uses are residential. The other proposed zones are further investigated to define the pros and cons of each site, as listed in Table 3.4.



(a) (b)

(c) (d)

Figure 3.13 Google images of proposed construction zones for the test track: (a) Zone 1, (b) Zone 2, (c) Zone 3, and (d) Zone 4 (Source: Google Earth modified)

Table 3.4 Site Characteristics of the proposed construction zones of the test track

Suitable Zone	Mile Post	Pros	Cons
Zone 1	291.46 to 296.0	• Low amount of earthwork • No cost for bridges or expropriation • No rerouting expected for adjacent roads • Possibility to address culverts • Near to low volume roads (paved and gravel roads) • Close to Laramie (20 min. drive from Laramie) • Land value	• Moderate right of way • Pond and seasonal creek • Pipeline
Zone 2	314.6 to 316.11		• Does not provide enough length for the mainline.
Zone 3	335.68 to 339.03	• Low amount of earthwork • Possibility of having two short-span bridges • Close to Laramie (25 min. drive from Laramie) • Existence of low volume roads near to the mainline • The land goes through the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) land and state land.	• High right of way • Possibility to expropriate • Higher costs for bridges and expropriation • Higher land values • Crosses South Fork Crow Creek • Landowner Willadsen eminent domain history
Zone 4	352.45 to 365.16	• Low amount of earthwork. • Low right of way. • Possibility of having one short span bridge. • No expropriation expected	• Far from Laramie (41 min. drive from Laramie) • Higher costs for one bridge • Possibility to reroute some gravel roads • No existence of low volume paved roads near to the mainline • Slightly hilly to some parts

WYDOT's ROW office provided feedback about the current land use, landowners, and value ranges of the locations proposed. Table 3.5 lists summaries of the feedback received about the expected values of the ROW land acquisition for the proposed test track along I-80. The ROW office proposes an alternative site located almost seven miles west of Zone 4 (Mile Post: 341-346). This area encompasses an almost 8,800-acre parcel size with agricultural land use. The alternative location displays the city and private ownership with a land value ranging from $254 to $1,530 per acre. This location also shows the pros and cons. Although the cost of land acquisition could be low, the location is hilly and could cost more for the earthwork. Overall, Zone 4 was the most recommended location considering the spatial analysis and ROW feedback. This zone is further investigated using field evaluation.

Table 3.5 Feedback about the land value from WYDOT's ROW office

Zone	Current Land Use	Parcel Size	Est. # of Landowners	Notes	Value Range
1	Ag - Pasture	68 – 3,920 acres	2 – 4	Pipeline on topo map south of I-80	•$475 – $936/acre on the 3920-acre parcel •$3k – $5k/acre on the 68 acres •commercial/industrial land at exit 290
3	Rural residential, Ag	20 – 3,341 acres	8 – 10	Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) south of interstate	•$1,150 – $2,000/acre
4	Ag - Pasture	17,360+ acres and 5,688 acres	1 (King Ranch)	Multiple oil sites	•$257 – $1,529.6 per acre

3.8 Field Evaluation Using Unmanned Aerial Systems (UAS)

The implementation of UAS has become a viable alternative to the traditional method of surveying sites. The unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV), commonly known as a drone, is applied in this study to provide high-quality survey and aerial photography, allowing for a speedy and better-informed bird's eye view of the project area. The UAS also provides access to hard-to-reach areas of the project location. Moreover, it allows large areas to be surveyed and mapped quickly compared with the traditional method of surveying (*21*). UAVs are lightweight and easy to transport from site to site. Consequently, the approach saves time, energy, and cost involved in surveying a large area. The 3-D model of the surveyed area is developed using the main techniques of photogrammetry. Photogrammetry combines images that contain the same point on the ground from multiple views to yield detailed 2D (dimensional) and 3D maps. These maps can also be used to extract information, such as highly accurate distances or volumetric measurements.

In this study, a demonstration of using drone surveying was conducted in the proposed location of Zone 4. The first step was to define the grid required for the data points. The flight plan of the drone was set along a strip with a 500-foot wide and one-mile long adjacent to the westbound segment on I-80 (approximately mile post 354.2 to 355.2). The number of photos taken for photogrammetry depended on the level of detail required. These images were "georeferenced," which means that the drone will tag each picture it takes with location data based on the GPS position of the drone. Also, the photo points must be set to achieve at least 75% vertical overlap and 60% horizontal overlap. All of these recommendations were set in the drone before taking off, as shown in Figure 3.14.

Figure 3.14 A licensed operator controlling the drone remotely in the proposed location on I-80

The points cloud was stored and then processed using Pix4D software. The processing engine uses the overlapping part of adjacent photos to estimate the third dimension and create the 3D model. In addition, aerial photos and videos were obtained from the demonstration to have a preliminary overview of the surveyed location and define potential obstacles. Figure 3.15 shows the aerial photos taken at an observation point along the westbound segment of I-80 in Zone 4. The topography of the terrain looks relatively flat with slightly hilly locations. Also, the power poles are found to be aligned with I-80, making the ROW relatively short. This indicates that relocating the power lines can be considered if Zone 4 was selected for constructing the test track.

The results from Pix4D are shown in Figure 3.16. The point cloud was processed to render the 3D digital map of the proposed location. Several benefits can be achieved from the developed model. First, the 3D model provides a useful 3D reference to monitor and inspect the potential location in great detail. Also, the accuracy of the 3D model developed considering referenced points can help planners calculate distances, areas, and volume of the different parts along the I-80 for better decision-making. The 3D data from Pix4D mapper outputs can then be used in GIS, AutoCAD Civil 3D, or Google Earth for vertical alignment of the mainline and earthwork calculations.



Figure 3.15 Aerial photos of the surveyed location in Zone 4: (a) the east side of observation point; (b) the west side of observation point



Figure 3.16 The 3D digital map created on PIX4D software of the proposed test track location in Zone 4

4. BENEFIT-COST ANALYSIS

4.1 Background

The economic assessment of the APT program is necessary to address funding issues, increase accountability, and encourage partnerships. The economic benefits to potential partners are presented in the form of a benefit-cost ratio (BCR). The BCR is a key indicator of the overall return on investment in the proposed test track in Wyoming. The economic evaluation of APT programs has become a major topic for the past decade and was made the theme of discussion during the Third APT Conference (*2*, *22*). The attempts at performing the economic assessment of APT programs are attributed to the need to justify APT research benefits in the midst of general international economic constraints. Often, it takes years for the implementing agencies to realize the actual benefits (*23*).

The APT program benefits involve identifying, analyzing, and quantifying the direct and indirect benefits of the program. However, assessing the economic benefits of any research program can be a difficult task (*23*). The assessment involves uncertainties and subjectivities as the benefits associated with the research development need to be compared with the "do-nothing" scenario (*24*). Additionally, not all projects run as intended in the initial years. To address these issues, some authors used deterministic and probabilistic life-cycle cost analysis (LCCA) with sensitivity analysis to assess the benefits of research programs. Several authors have used the fuzzy logic-based LCCA to make engineering decisions and proved effective (*25–27*). During the feasibility stage of the proposed test track in Wyoming, both deterministic and fuzzy approaches with sensitivity analysis are conducted to provide a comprehensive framework to determine the economic benefit-cost impacts considering the LCCA of the test track (*28*).

4.2 Methodology: Benefit-Cost Analysis

The economic evaluation begins with identifying the objectives of pavement research programs. It is assumed that the objectives of the pooled fund studies for the first phase will focus basically on the following items:

- Calibrate pavement design (e.g., mechanistic-empirical pavement design)
- Improve pavement material specifications (e.g., base, subbase, and surface layers)
- Improve pavement performance
- Improve maintenance and rehabilitation practices

Based on the experiment deliverables of the test track, several benefits are expected to be achieved, including the following:

- Savings in asphalt binder grade
- Refinement of MEPDG for flexible asphalt pavements
- Refinement of MEPDG for rigid concrete pavements
- Improvement in crack performance of pavements
- Improvement in overlay performance
- Increased service life of pavements

The two approaches to economic evaluation are described below.

4.2.1 Deterministic Approach

The method involved in the determination of the BCR using the deterministic LCA is introduced in Figure 4.1. Despite the uncertainty of some information under the deterministic approach, the economic evaluation of the proposed APT program assumed benefits and cost values considering literature assumptions and conservative and subjective judgments. In addition, a sensitivity analysis is conducted to minimize the uncertainty in funding availability by conducting different scenarios of federal and state funding.



Figure 4.1 Schematic diagram of deterministic benefit-cost analysis

The individual benefits listed in Figure 4.1 are explained in the following subsections.
Savings in asphalt binder grade (B1):

Research findings can result in savings in the selection of performance grading (PG) binder products. A cost-effective asphalt selection can be achieved by analyzing the performance of different asphalt binder grades on existing wearing and binder courses. In other words, findings from the test track are expected to calibrate the binder performance under representative climate conditions. This will lead to the selection of more precise products of PG grade and reduce the overestimated PG products due to uncertainty in pavement performance. The expected direct benefit from binder selection is calculated using the relationship in Equation 1.

$$B_1 = Benefits\ (B_{PG}) = \nabla C_1 * Q \qquad (1)$$

where, B_{PG}= benefits in PG grading, ΔC_1 = differences in binder cost (\$), and Q = average quantity of asphalt consumption (ton/year).

Refinement of MEPDG for flexible asphalt pavements (B2):

MnROAD found that pavements in Minnesota were more conservative, over-designed, and costly. Subsequently, the MnPAVE software developed from MnROAD findings saved the state almost \$2.2 million annually due to reducing pavement thicknesses by 1 to 1.5 inches (Worel et al. 2008). Similar benefits are expected from the test track in Wyoming. Research findings from the regional facility are expected to calibrate the pavement performance with layer design inputs that would lead to more precise and reduced thicknesses. The savings in asphalt thickness are calculated using Equation 2.

$$\boldsymbol{B_2 = HMA\ Benefits\ = L_{HMA}\ * Q_{saving}\ * \ C_2} \quad \textbf{(2)}$$

where, L_{HMA} =average mileage of new HMA construction per year (miles), Q_{saving} = quantity of HMA saving in (ton/mile), and C_2 = HMA unit cost per ton in dollars.

Refinement of MEPDG for rigid concrete pavements (B3):

Benefits like those obtained from flexible pavement are expected from the refinement of MEPDG for rigid pavements from the pooled fund studies. The cost savings can be calculated using Equation 3.

$$\boldsymbol{B_3 = PCC\ Benefits\ = \ L_{PCC}\ * A * \ C_3} \quad \textbf{(3)}$$

where, L_{PCC} = average mileage of new PCC construction per year, A = area (square yard/mile), and C_3 = differences in thickness cost per square yard per mile.

Improvement in crack performance (B4 and B5):

Research findings from pavement testing can reduce low-temperature cracking by 10% (*29*). Low-temperature cracking is a major distress of pavements in the dry-freeze region. It is expected that findings from the proposed test track on low-temperature cracking would result in pavements with improved resistance to low-temperature cracks. Consequently, budgets used for crack sealing will be reduced and savings will be realized for the agencies. The expected benefits for both HMA and PCC pavements are determined using equations 4 and 5, respectively.

$$\boldsymbol{B_4 = HMA\ Benefits\ = \ L^S_{HMA}\ * (10\%\ reduction\ in\ crack\ mile) * \ C_4} \quad \textbf{(4)}$$

$$\boldsymbol{B_5 = HMA\ Benefits\ = \ L^S_{PCC}\ * (10\%\ reduction\ in\ crack\ mile) * \ C_5} \quad \textbf{(5)}$$

where, L^S_{HMA}, L^S_{PCC}= average mileage of crack sealed per year (percentage of road network size) for HMA and PCC, respectively, and C_4, C_5= cost of crack seal per mile in dollars for HMA and PCC, respectively.

Improvement in overlay performance (B6):

Findings from pavement preservation studies using APT can increase the service life of overlays by 10% due to the improved designs (*29*). It was assumed that part of the pooled fund studies will focus on the performance of overlays. Implementation of research findings can recognize savings in rehabilitation costs due to the extended service life of overlays. The net present benefits were calculated using the life-cycle analysis of overlays using Equation 6.

$$\boldsymbol{B_6 = Equivalent\ Annual\ Benefits\ of\ HMA\ Overlay\ Savings\ =\ C_6 * L * \left(\frac{i*(1+i)^{N}}{(1+i)^{N}-1} - \frac{i*(1+i)^{1.1N}}{(1+i)^{1.1N}-1}\right)} \quad \textbf{(6)}$$

where, C_6 = cost of HMA overlay per lane mile per unit thickness, L = annual average length of overlays (miles), N = average service life of overlays (years), and i = discount rate.

Increased service life of new construction (B7 and B8):

Pooled fund research findings can increase pavements' service life by 20% for new construction based on similar assumptions made at MnROAD (*29*). The APT findings will give a better understanding of pavement behavior and failure mechanisms in the region. It is anticipated that research on pavement performance will possibly lead to improved material selection, design, and construction. This will lead to building resilient flexible pavements with extended service life. The expected savings are determined using equations 7 and 8.

$$\boldsymbol{B_7 = HMA\ Savings\ =\ C_7 * L * \left(\frac{i*(1+i)^{N}}{(1+i)^{N}-1} - \frac{i*(1+i)^{1.2N}}{(1+i)^{1.2N}-1}\right)} \quad \textbf{(7)}$$

$$\boldsymbol{B_8 = PCC\ Savings\ =\ C_8 * L * \left(\frac{i*(1+i)^{N}}{(1+i)^{N}-1} - \frac{i*(1+i)^{1.2N}}{(1+i)^{1.2N}-1}\right)} \quad \textbf{(8)}$$

Where, C_7, C_8= average cost of pavement construction per lane mile per unit cost for HMA and PCC pavements, respectively, L = annual average length of new construction (miles), N = average service life of new pavements (years), and i = discount rate.

Cost Estimates:

At this stage of the benefit-cost analysis, most of the cost estimates are derived from previous experiences, such as reported data from MnROAD. Values were then adjusted considering inflation from the 1990s-dollar amounts. The cost components are described as follows:

- Initial construction costs include building the test track facility and installation of sensors and other devices. The construction cost of the test track is estimated to be \$46.5 million, which covers design, ROW acquisition (ROW), environmental impact assessment, and construction of buildings and test sections. This amount was estimated from the construction costs of MnROAD in the 1990s adjusted by inflation.
- Annual costs include labor, operating (overheads), and research. Additionally, operating costs (overheads) that include office administrative charges, utilities, and maintenance of sensors are estimated. The estimated cost of research studies per year and the future cost of rehabilitation of the test tracks are also determined.
- Lastly, the salvage value of the test track is determined as a ratio of the remaining service life of the test track.

4.2.2 Fuzzy Logic Approach

Fuzzy systems provide knowledge-based models to solve logical problems using fuzzy set rules. The methodology utilized in this study is based on the components and general architecture of the fuzzy logic system shown in Figure 4.2. First, the crisp input variables include the annual costs of operations, initial construction costs, maintenance costs, etc. The input variables are based on the results of the deterministic approach. Second, fuzzification involves converting crisp quantities to fuzzy sets. The conversion to fuzzy sets is done using fuzzy linguistic variables, fuzzy linguistic terms, and membership functions. The imprecision of the data comes from several sources, including the expected level of regional states' participation and implementation, cash flow, discount rates, and others. These imprecisions can be represented by the membership function. The membership value was assigned using the intuition approach. The inference process converts the fuzzy input values to fuzzy output values. The set of rules is defined on linguistic terms based on the linguistic variables of the crisp inputs and outputs. Finally, defuzzification involves the conversion of fuzzy sets back to a crisp single value. This is necessary because the fuzzy set output cannot be used for further processing and applications without relevant conversions to single and meaningful values. There are various defuzzification methods followed.



Figure 4.2 General architecture of fuzzy logic

This study uses the trapezoidal fuzzy number to characterize fuzzy measures of linguistic value because the trapezoidal fuzzy number (TpFN) is easy to use and interpret during economic analysis (*30*). The trapezoidal membership function is denoted by A = (c, a, b, d). The interval [a, b] has the maximal grade of fA(x), i.e., fA(x) =l, x ϵ [a, b], and it represents the most possible value of the assessment data. The “c” and “d” are the lower and upper bounds of the assessment data, and they can be used to represent the fuzziness of the data. If c=a and b=d, [a, b] becomes the tolerance interval of the measurement. More information about trapezoidal function is published elsewhere (*30*).

For example, in this study, the fuzzy discount rate ($\tilde{i}$) is “approximately 4%” and can be represented by the fuzzy soft set of (3.6, 4, 4, 4.3). In this situation, it becomes a triangular fuzzy number, which is a special case of a trapezoidal fuzzy number. Further, the analysis period of 10 years is represented by a fuzzy soft set of (9, 10, 10, 11). Testing on the proposed APT facility is projected to begin two years after the facility has been built and represented as (1.5, 2, 2, 2.5) in the fuzzy environment. For a non-fuzzy number “a,” it can be represented by (a, a, a, a).

4.2.3 Benefit-Cost Ratio (BCR)

The overall BCR of the estimated economic benefits is presented in both deterministic and fuzzy formats.

For the deterministic approach, the net present (NB) values of benefits and costs are shown in equations 9 and 10, respectively.

$$\text{NPB} = \sum_{t=1}^{N} B_t * \frac{(1+i)^{n_t} - 1}{i * (1+i)^{n_t}} * \frac{1}{(1+i)^y} \tag{9}$$

$$\text{NPC} = \sum_{t=1}^{N} C_t * \frac{(1+i)^{n_t} - 1}{i * (1+i)^{n_t}} * \frac{1}{(1+i)^y} \tag{10}$$

where, B_t= annual benefits (\$), C_t= annual cost (\$), n_t= time of each annual benefit and cost (year), i =discount rate, N = analysis period (assumed to be 10 years for this study), and y = time period to the start of experiments (assumed to be two years for this study).

For the fuzzy logic approach, the fuzzy BCR is based on equivalent uniform annual benefits and the associated costs. A tilde "~" is placed above a symbol if the symbol represents a fuzzy set.

4.3 Dry-Freeze States Statistics

The size and pavement type of the national highway system (NHS) and states' material prices were considered in valuing the expected benefits. Figure 4.3 shows the mileage of the national highway system (NHS) in terms of pavement types in the region. The results show that flexible pavement is the most common pavement in the dry-freeze region. This proposes that most of the potential pooled fund studies may focus on flexible pavements. However, composite pavement recorded the least among the states.



Figure 4.3 Total reported mileage of NHS (FHWA, 2018)

The consumption of HMA by the states in 2017 is shown in Figure 4.4. It was used as a benchmark to calculate potential savings in the PG binder. The expected binder savings would be made due to improved cost-effective binder selection. Washington state (WA) recorded the highest estimated consumption of HMA while South Dakota (SD) recorded the least. Thus, WA has the potential to make the highest savings in binder usage if this consumption trend remains the same during implementation. On the other hand, SD may make the least savings though significant in dollars.



Figure 4.4 Summary of HMA consumption (NAPA, 2020)

The estimated discount rates are also reported and used for each individual state in the dry-freeze zone, as shown in Figure 4.5.



Figure 4.5 Discount rate of states (*31*)

4.4 Funding Scenarios

Since APT facilities require a significant investment in infrastructure and management, the collaboration between different partners is essential to ensure the cost-effectiveness of operating the regional pavement research. Consequently, FHWA and industry have sponsored several research funding operations of U.S. test tracks. It is anticipated that FHWA and industry will contribute to the proposed dry-freeze region test track. In order to reduce the level of uncertainty and subjectivity in sponsorship, a sensitivity analysis was undertaken in BCR determination with different contribution volumes from partners. Details of such scenarios are highlighted in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 The funding scenarios used for the sensitivity analysis

Funding Scenario	Percentage of Funding (%)		
	FHWA	**Industry**	**Dry-freeze States**
1	30%	10%	60%
2	20%	10%	70%
3	10%	10%	80%
4	0%	10%	90%
5	0%	0%	100%

4.5 Benefit-Cost Results

Details of expected benefits to the states are shown in Table 4.2. The expected benefits represent savings in road agency costs because of improved design, construction, and maintenance of pavements. Though conservative, the results corroborate earlier findings that small improvements in pavement performance and service life can potentially save road agencies hundreds to millions of dollars annually (*29*), as shown in Table 4.2 below.

Table 4.2 Expected benefits from pooled fund studies implementation

State	Benefits in (PG) binder (B1) ($)	Refinement of MEPDG ($)		Reduce low-temperature cracking by 10%.		Increase service life of overlays (B6)	Extend service life by 20%	
		HMA (B2)	**PCC (B3)**	**HMA (B4)**	**PCC (B5)**		**HMA (B7)**	**PCC (B8)**
AK	$2,341,155	$1,068,448	N/A	$85,916	N/A	$2,255,741	$1,505,319	N/A
CO	$2,432,965	$1,942,632	$3,548,160	$354,737	$309,598	$1,882,100	$2,757,352	$215,296
ID	$1,285,340	$1,845,501	$2,956,800	$240,522	$42,517	$1,275,878	$2,600,096	$100,285
KS	$918,100	$2,039,764	$3,548,160	$513,406	$346,712	$1,368,587	$2,873,790	$200,571
MT	$1,928,010	$1,942,632	$2,956,800	$298,354	$7,987	$1,582,653	$2,736,943	$100,285
NE	$1,285,340	$1,942,632	$3,991,680	$296,145	$462,283	$1,571,037	$2,764,471	$221,076
NV	$1,560,770	$1,424,338	$1,478,400	$174,208	$16,208	$1,848,215	$2,006,727	$100,285
ND	$1,239,435	$1,942,632	$3,843,840	$315,509	$191,913	$1,673,653	$2,736,943	$200,571
OR	$2,478,870	$2,002,116	$1,774,080	$408,126	$68,121	$2,173,694	$2,820,748	$100,285
SD	$918,100	$1,942,632	$4,139,520	$303,843	$356,343	$1,614,368	$2,722,974	$191,572
UT	$1,836,200	$1,418,122	$2,069,760	$213,175	$81,980	$2,261,618	$1,997,968	$150,428
WA	$2,754,300	$2,000,911	$3,548,160	$443,694	$142,349	$2,363,135	$2,819,051	$150,428
WY	$1,147,625	$1,418,122	$2,365,440	$176,727	$93,725	$1,875,059	$2,018,064	$165,807

NOTE: N/A = not applicable.

The total expected benefits and costs are presented in the net present values, as shown in Table 4.3. The values were determined for the first phase along a 10-year period. Note that the initial construction costs are assumed to be distributed among the states to allow for determining the overall BCR of the test track. The investments, needed to be made by the states for initial construction of the test track, range from $1.4 million to $4.6 million. The total expected benefits to the states range from $54 million to $107 million in all funding scenarios. However, the estimated total cost of investment by state ranges from $7 million to $10 million.

Table 4.3 Present value of estimated benefits and costs for all funding scenarios

State	**Total expected benefits (NPB) ($)**	**Total Estimated cost (NPC) ($)**				
		Scenario 1	**Scenario 2**	**Scenario 3**	**Scenario 4**	**Scenario 5**
AK	$54,416,931	$7,075,086	$7,307,487	$7,539,888	$7,772,289	$8,004,690
CO	$100,807,574	$8,263,822	$8,694,346	$9,124,869	$9,555,393	$9,985,916
ID	$77,591,481	$7,668,922	$8,000,296	$8,331,669	$8,663,043	$8,994,416
KS	$88,556,119	$7,949,885	$8,328,086	$8,706,287	$9,084,487	$9,462,688
MT	$86,640,692	$7,900,803	$8,270,824	$8,640,844	$9,010,865	$9,380,885
NE	$93,997,184	$8,089,310	$8,490,748	$8,892,186	$9,293,624	$9,695,062
NV	$64,559,842	$7,334,993	$7,610,712	$7,886,430	$8,162,149	$8,437,868
ND	$91,071,320	$8,014,336	$8,403,278	$8,792,221	$9,181,163	$9,570,106
OR	$88,683,226	$7,953,142	$8,331,886	$8,710,629	$9,089,373	$9,468,116
SD	$91,407,692	$8,022,955	$8,413,334	$8,803,713	$9,194,092	$9,584,471
UT	$75,209,139	$7,607,876	$7,929,075	$8,250,274	$8,571,473	$8,892,672
WA	$106,650,697	$8,413,549	$8,869,027	$9,324,505	$9,779,983	$10,235,461
WY	$69,444,814	$7,460,168	$7,756,749	$8,053,330	$8,349,911	$8,646,493

The anticipated BCR for each state is determined for each funding scenario. The sensitivity analysis using the scenarios shows the effect of cooperation on the BCR of individual states, as shown in Figure 4.6. The study found that the expected BCR for scenario 1 ranges from 7.9 to 12.9. Scenario 2 presents a BCR that ranges from 7.6 to 12.3. Additionally, investment returns for participating states range from 7.4 to 11.6 in scenario 3; in scenario 4, the calculated BCR ranges from 7.2 to 11.1. In Scenario 5, the states in the region are expected to financially sponsor the entire (100%) construction and research study costs at the facility. The resulting BCR ranges from 6.9 to 10.6. Apart from the road network size, pavement types, and other parameters, the discount rate of states appears to influence the expected BCR. This shows that the benefits realized by states depend on the level of implementation of research findings and discount rate.



Figure 4.6 Benefit-cost ratios of the dry-freeze states for the five funding scenarios

The fuzzy initial investment cost, annual fuzzy expenses, annual fuzzy benefits, fuzzy maintenance costs, fuzzy instrumentation costs, and fuzzy salvage value used for the analysis are presented in Table 4.4. The input values are based on the deterministic approach but represented with fuzzy sets.

Table 4.4 Fuzzy data of the economic analysis

Component	Fuzzy Set ($ million)	Estimated Value
Initial Investment Cost	(60, 62, 62, 64)	Approximately $62 million
Instrumentation Cost	(0.60, 0.65, 1.0, 1.02)	Approximately between $650,000 and $1.0 million
Annual Operations Cost	(8, 9, 10, 10.4)	Approximately between $9 and $10 million
Maintenance Cost	(0.35, 0.4, 0.55, 0.6)	Approximately between $400,000 and $550,000
Salvage Value	(24, 25, 25, 26)	Approximately $25 million
Annual Benefits	(148, 150, 150, 152)	Approximately $150 million

4.6 Overall Benefit-Cost Ratio

According to the previous results, the expected BCRs of participating states would provide different benefit-cost impacts depending on the contribution of each state in funding the proposed test track. Considering the defined benefits and total costs, the estimated overall BCR of the proposed facility is 9.2 for the overall benefit-cost impact of the testing facility. This value is comparable to the values calculated by other testing facilities. The overall BCR of the proposed facility also indicates a healthy return on investment in the regional pavement research projects. However, the overall BCR is likely to increase with funding participation from both FHWA and the private sector. Despite the high return of the proposed testing facility, there is an opportunity to expand the benefits by considering different partnerships from FHWA and the private sector. The literature shows that large-scale pavement testing facilities are operated by collaborating partners. FHWA as well as industrial entities for both flexible and rigid pavements have shown remarkable participation in relevant research programs. Hence, the potential participation of FHWA and the pavement industry are evaluated. The overall BCR of the proposed test track ranges from 9.2 to a range of 10.9 at different sponsorship levels, as shown in Figure 4.7. In addition, scenario 1 resulted in a BCR ranging from 10.9 to 13.6 in the most optimistic situations. This scenario represents the most cost-effective scenario. On the other hand, scenario 5 produced a BCR ranging from 9.2 to 11, representing the most expensive option for participating states. BCR decreased gradually with a decrease in support from FHWA and the industry. The overall BCR of 9.2 is likely to increase by 19% in scenario 1.



Figure 4.7 Fuzzy and deterministic BCR sensitivity results

4.7 Sensitivity Analysis

The sensitivity analysis of LCCA deals with uncertainties. The sensitivity analysis was applied in this study to examine the impact of external participation on the BCR. The sensitivity analysis was applied in both approaches to encourage participation and deal with uncertainty in the external support of the program. Figure 4.7 shows the results of the sensitivity analysis for both the deterministic and fuzzy BCRs. Low external support from the federal government and industry has a negative impact on the potential BCR realized.

A pairwise t-test comparison of means of the BCR of deterministic and fuzzy concepts at a 5% significant level (α) show significant differences in means [t-value= -14.58, p-value<0.01]. The results of the two approaches are significantly different. Lower federal and industry participation leads to less variability in the BCRs depending on state participation. Participation from several external sources tends to increase the variability in BCRs between the deterministic and fuzzy approaches. Sensitivity analysis has been used by other authors to deal with uncertainties associated with future events.

5. CONSTRUCTION COST ESTIMATES

5.1 Background

Another major aspect of studying the proposed test track feasibility is to determine preliminary construction cost estimates. The cost estimation of construction projects on highways has become a significant concern because it impacts decision-making. Estimating the costs during the planning/programming phases is usually conceptual and considers mainly historical bid prices and common quantities to determine the overall cost values (*32*). In this study, previous work scopes with similar characteristics are addressed to develop reliable unit costs of the different test track components, including materials unit costs, lane-mile unit costs, typical quantities, and square-foot cost averages. These contracts are addressed within WYDOT and other roadway construction contracts across the U.S. The mainline components and their quantity and cost estimates are then introduced to define the dollar value of the test track even prior to the design phase of the project.

There are three main elements in a highway project cost estimate (*33*):
- Preliminary engineering (PE)
- ROW and utilities
- Construction costs

5.1.1 Preliminary Engineering Costs

This cost component relates to the expenses of designing the project and preparing the construction plans by the design engineers. These costs exclude the ROW and construction costs. The preliminary engineering costs are normally estimated in the planning stage as a percentage applied to the estimated construction cost. In this study, a representative percentage of 15% of the total construction costs will be considered for the additional costs listed for the test track.

5.1.2 Right of Way

The cost for the ROW will be considered an estimate for purchasing the land from the landowner. This cost component is significantly affected by the available space needed to properly utilize the proposed test track in Wyoming. Also, the parcel land use and land value significantly affect the ROW cost estimates. The expected total area in acres will differ for the proposed test track depending on the conceptual layout. The following sections provide expected values for the total area of the proposed test track on I-80 in Wyoming.

In addition, to estimate ROW costs, the Principal Investigators (PIs) worked closely with the WYDOT ROW office to define the expected unit cost, per acre, for the previously selected construction zones during selecting the potential location. As mentioned previously, four suitable construction zones were determined considering the spatial desirability of the traffic, geometric, and safety criteria. The ROW cost estimates consider the recommended Zone 4 for the land value.

5.1.3 Construction Costs

This is the main construction component directly affected by the material quantities. The unit bid prices include a unit cost for both labor and material purchases. These expenses are a function of project features, including pavement width, lengths, thicknesses, earthwork, and drainage, among other bid items.

5.2 Mainline Cost Components and Quantities

In order to determine a representative cost estimate for the test track, initial information must be received for the proposed design of the pavement structure. These elements are then selected and defined in the WYDOT bid price report to select the appropriate unit price. At this stage, only general information about the expected quantities of the project bid items is available. For the mainline of the test track, it is assumed that typical I-80 sections will be defined for the test sections, which include the following:

1. I-80 typical sections on the interstate test track:
    - For HMA sections: 12-inch HMA surface layer and 12-inch crushed base layer
    - For concrete sections: 11-inch PCC slab and 6-inch crushed base layer
    - Two-lane one-direction highway with a 12-inch lane width
    - 10-foot HMA shoulder width on both sides
    - 25-foot clear zone
2. Low-volume road typical sections on the low-volume road segment:
    - For HMA sections only: 5-inch HMA surface layer and 6-inch crushed base layer
    - Two-lane roadway with a 12-inch lane width
    - 10-foot gravel shoulder width on both sides
    - 15-foot clear zone

The assumptions for the material unit weights are 150 and 135 pounds per square inch for HMA and aggregates, respectively. For the PCC slabs, a unit bid price (per square yard) is secured for the 11-inch slab from WYDOT’s bid price reports.

Considering the defined components on the mainline, Table 5.1 lists the summary of the material quantities expected on all test sections on both the I-80 and the low-volume road test tracks. The total area of the mainline for I-80 is determined to be almost 86 acres.

Table 5.1 Summary of the material quantities expected for the mainline on I-80 and the low-volume road

Mainline Group	Element	Description	Unit	Quantity	N	Total Quantity
I-80 Track	Length:	Unit length of HMA test section	FT	200	26	5200
		Unit length of PCC test section	FT	225	26	5850
		Unit length of preservation test section	FT	225	10	2250
		Length of HMA transitions	MI	0.5	2	1.0
		Total Length	MI			3.52
	Width:	Buffer from existing I-80	FT	100	1	100
		Mainline ROW	FT	100	1	100
		Total Width	MI			0.038
Low-Volume Road Track	Length	Unit length of test section	FT	200	26	5200
		Unit length of preservation test section	FT	200	10	2000
		Total Length	MI			1.4
	Width:	Buffer from existing LVRs	FT	50	1	50
		LVR ROW	FT	75	1	75
		Total width	MI			0.02

Regarding unit bid prices, WYDOT annually posts the bid price history of all the projects conducted. The unit costs vary among the different projects depending on the quantity, scope, and contracts specified. Hence, pre-selected bid items in the 2020 and 2021 weighted average bid prices are considered in the cost estimate analysis. As shown in Table 5.2, some of the bid prices are adjusted to account for the uncertainty of the sponsored contractors. The values are increased since the quantities of constructing the test sections are relatively low compared with regular highway construction projects. Moreover, the costs of pavement maintenance and preservation along the third test section groups will differ depending on the type of each surface treatment. Hence, the costs for cold-in-place recycling projects are considered reference costs for pavement preservation experiments.

Table 5.2 Unit costs and bid items considered for the test track mainline

Item	Item Description	Units	Average Price	Adjusted Price
401.0200	Hot plant mix	Ton	$51.31	$75.00
301.01080	Crushed base	Ton	$23.31	$23.22
414.01060	Concrete pavement (11 in)	SY	$75.00	$100.00
499.03330	Cold in-place recycling	SY	$4.57	$10.00

5.3 Onsite Buildings Cost Components and Quantities

Construction of the onsite buildings includes several items that are limited to WYDOT's bid prices. A reliable and effective reference is considered in this study to estimate the construction cost of buildings at the feasibility stage. RSMeans Data is one of the leading construction cost estimating databases that provides a variety of formats for determining expected construction costs (*34*). RSMeans Data includes thousands of previous construction projects to estimate the unit costs of the different building elements considering various detail levels. The analysis can be conducted using the RSMeans online tools (*35*), which provide users the ability to automatically determine the costs. For simplification, the 2021 reference of the square foot unit costs (*34*) is used to simply estimate the construction costs of the onsite facilities considering the overall area and type of buildings. As shown in the proposed layout in Chapter 3, the future testing facility in Wyoming will include buildings that can be categorized as:

- Office buildings
- Parking lots
- Warehouse for the pole barn
- Service roads

Taking a thorough review of the project costs in the square-foot-costs reference book, the costs for these components are recognized. Figure 5.1 shows the data obtained from the reference book for the office building and warehouse. The estimates of the cost components are available in three statistical formats: 25th percentile, median, and 75th percentile values. Considering the maximum case of uncertainty, the 75th percentile values are considered in the analysis of the cost estimates for the proposed test track. For onsite service roads, the cost estimates are consistent with those for an HMA low-volume road. For the parking lot, a unit cost of $10 per square foot is considered. The results for quantities of the onsite buildings are listed in Table 5.3 for the full-stage conceptual layout. The expected area for the onsite facilities is determined to be almost 21 acres. The total area for the land acquisition of the full-stage layout is determined to be 117 acres considering the area of the mainline and onsite facilities, as well as an additional 10% approximation marginal error.



50 17 | Project Costs

	50 17 00 Project Costs		Unit	UNIT COSTS			% OF TOTAL			
				1/4	MEDIAN	3/4	1/4	MEDIAN	3/4	
11	0000	Mixed Use	S.F.							11
	0100	Architectural		92	130	198	45.50%	52.50%	61.50%	
	0200	Plumbing		6.25	11.45	12.15	3.31%	3.47%	4.18%	
	0300	Mechanical		15.25	25	46	4.68%	13.60%	17.05%	
	0400	Electrical		16.15	36	53.5	8.30%	11.40%	15.65%	
	0500	Total Project Costs		190	335	340				
12	0000	Multi-Family Housing	S.F.							12
	0100	Architectural		77.5	105	155	54.50%	61.50%	66.50%	
	0200	Plumbing		6.9	11.1	15.1	5.30%	6.85%	8.00%	
	0300	Mechanical		7.15	9.55	27.5	49.20%	9.00%	10.40%	
	0400	Electrical		10	15.7	22.5	62.00%	8.00%	10.25%	
	0500	Total Project Costs		128	210	253				
13	0000	Nursing Home & Assisted Living	S.F.							13
	0100	Architectural		72.5	94.5	119	51.50%	55.50%	63.50%	
	0200	Plumbing		7.8	11.75	12.9	6.25%	7.40%	8.80%	
	0300	Mechanical		6.4	9.45	18.5	4.04%	6.70%	9.55%	
	0400	Electrical		10.6	16.7	23.5	7.00%	10.75%	13.10%	
	0500	Total Project Costs		123	161	191				
14	0000	Office Building	S.F.							14
	0100	Architectural		93	130	179	54.50%	61.00%	69.00%	
	0200	Plumbing		5.15	8.1	15.15	2.70%	3.78%	5.85%	
	0300	Mechanical		10.1	17.15	26.5	5.60%	8.20%	11.10%	
	0400	Electrical		12.9	22	34	7.50%	10.00%	12.70%	
	0500	Total Project Costs		159	202	285				
28	0000	Warehouses	S.F.							28
	0100	Architectural		47.5	72.5	132	60.50%	67.00%	71.50%	
	0200	Plumbing		2.48	5.3	10.2	2.82%	3.72%	5.00%	
	0300	Mechanical		2.93	16.7	26	4.56%	8.15%	10.70%	
	0400	Electrical		6.15	20	33.5	7.50%	10.10%	18.30%	
	0500	Total Project Costs		71	113	228				

Figure 5.1 The project unit costs used for estimating the costs of the onsite buildings for the proposed test track (*34*)

Table 5.3 Summary of the quantities for the onsite buildings of the full-stage conceptual layout

Group	**Element**	**Description**	**Unit**	**Quantity**	**N**	**Total Quantity**
Onsite Facilities	Office building	90 ft x 70 ft one-story building	SFT	6300	1	6300
	Pole barn	90 ft x 70 ft storage garage	SFT	6400	1	6400
	Parking log	Capacity of 50 cars	SFT	22400	1	22400
	Stockpile area	Construction materials storage area	Acre	10	1	10
	Others	Service roads, weather station etc.	Acre	10	1	10

Note: SFT = Square foot.

Note that the estimated unit costs of the onsite buildings are provided considering the national average costs. However, the regional costs are expected to vary significantly due to the varied costs for labor and materials. Therefore, RSMeans Data provides adjustment location factors to reflect the local market. Figure 5.2 shows the city cost indices for the local market Wyoming's major cities. The cost estimate analysis of this study considers the city of Cheyenne as the reference to adjust the costs. With this, an overall adjustment factor of 88.7% was applied to the unit cost of the 75th percentile values defined in the previous step.



City Cost Indexes - V2

	DIVISION	WYOMING																	
		CASPER			CHEYENNE			NEWCASTLE			RAWLINS			RIVERTON			ROCK SPRINGS		
		826			820			827			823			825			829-831		
		MAT.	INST.	TOTAL	MAT.	INST.	TOTAL	MAT.	INST.	TOTAL	MAT.	INST.	TOTAL	MAT.	INST.	TOTAL	MAT.	INST.	TOTAL
15433	**CONTRACTOR EQUIPMENT**		98.5	98.5		92.3	92.3		92.3	92.3		92.3	92.3		92.3	92.3		92.3	92.3
0241, 31, 34	**SITE & INFRASTRUCTURE, DEMOLITION**	98.8	96.8	97.4	91.5	87.2	88.5	83.3	87.2	86.0	97.1	87.2	90.3	90.7	87.2	88.3	87.0	87.2	87.1
0310	Concrete Forming & Accessories	99.6	64.4	69.7	103.4	63.8	69.7	92.8	64.2	68.5	97.4	64.2	69.1	91.6	64.1	68.2	99.2	64.0	69.2
0320	ConcreteReinforcing	105.9	81.4	94.1	97.3	81.5	89.7	104.5	81.6	93.5	104.2	81.6	93.3	105.2	81.6	93.8	105.2	80.9	93.5
0330	Cast-in-Place Concrete	104.9	79.4	95.1	98.7	78.0	90.9	99.7	78.0	91.5	99.8	78.0	91.5	99.7	77.9	91.5	99.7	77.9	91.4
03	**CONCRETE**	109.2	73.0	93.0	101.7	72.3	88.6	101.8	72.5	88.7	116.6	72.5	96.9	110.7	72.4	93.5	102.3	72.3	88.8
04	**MASONRY**	96.8	65.0	77.4	98.5	66.5	79.0	95.5	68.0	78.7	95.5	68.0	78.7	95.5	68.0	78.7	152.7	61.1	96.8
05	**METALS**	101.5	79.0	94.5	103.8	80.4	96.5	100.0	80.5	93.9	100.0	80.5	93.9	100.1	80.3	93.9	100.9	79.7	94.2
06	**WOOD, PLASTICS & COMPOSITES**	94.7	62.2	77.7	94.4	61.4	77.2	83.1	61.9	72.0	87.7	61.9	74.2	81.8	61.9	71.4	92.4	61.9	76.4
07	**THERMAL & MOISTURE PROTECTION**	109.2	67.6	91.3	105.5	67.5	89.2	106.5	67.2	89.6	108.0	67.2	90.5	107.4	70.4	91.5	106.6	68.3	90.2
08	**OPENINGS**	109.2	67.1	99.0	107.0	66.7	97.2	111.0	65.5	99.9	110.6	65.5	99.6	110.8	65.5	99.8	111.4	66.3	100.4
0920	Plaster & Gypsum Board	96.9	61.1	73.4	85.8	60.6	69.3	82.8	61.1	68.6	83.1	61.1	68.7	82.8	61.1	68.6	94.7	61.1	72.7
0950, 0980	Ceilings & Acoustic Treatment	119.8	61.1	83.0	107.8	60.6	78.2	110.7	61.1	79.6	110.7	61.1	79.6	110.7	61.1	79.6	110.7	61.1	79.6
0960	Flooring	103.9	72.8	94.8	102.9	72.8	94.1	96.6	67.6	88.1	99.7	67.6	90.4	95.9	67.6	87.7	102.4	58.3	89.5
0970, 0990	Wall Finishes & Painting/Coating	98.3	58.1	74.2	97.7	58.1	74.0	94.3	74.6	82.5	94.3	74.6	82.5	94.3	57.6	72.3	94.3	74.6	82.5
09	**FINISHES**	103.7	64.6	82.6	99.4	64.1	80.3	95.0	65.2	78.9	97.2	65.2	79.9	95.6	63.3	78.1	98.3	63.3	79.4
COVERS	**DIVS.10-14, 25, 28, 41, 43, 44, 46**	100.0	88.6	97.3	100.0	87.9	97.2	100.0	98.4	99.6	100.0	98.4	99.6	100.0	87.2	97.0	100.0	85.0	96.5
21, 22, 23	**FIRE SUPRESSION, PLUMBING & HVAC**	100.9	74.6	90.3	101.2	74.6	90.5	99.1	71.8	88.1	99.1	71.8	88.1	99.1	71.8	88.1	101.1	71.8	89.3
26, 27, 3370	**ELECTRICAL, COMMUNICATIONS & UTIL.**	97.0	62.0	79.7	95.2	67.7	81.6	94.0	60.0	77.2	94.0	60.0	77.2	94.0	64.2	79.3	92.7	64.8	78.9
MF2018	**WEIGHTED AVERAGE**	102.5	72.3	89.4	101.0	72.4	88.7	99.4	71.3	87.3	101.9	71.3	88.7	100.8	71.4	88.1	103.3	70.6	89.1

Figure 5.2 The RSMeans Data city cost indices used for adjusting the national average unit costs

5.4 Other Tangible Costs

Other cost components are found in several cost estimate references and similar contracts. They are normally represented as percentages of the total construction costs. They can be summarized as follows:

- Mobilization – These costs account for additional expenditures necessary for the movement of personnel, equipment, and supplies to the project site. This value can be estimated considering the historical costs of previous projects. The literature shows that mobilization costs normally range between 6% and 10% (*36*). The proposed test track is expected to be out of the city. Hence, a representative mobilization cost of 10% of the total construction cost is considered in this study.
- Construction Contingencies – These overhead costs account for the likelihood that additional construction work may be included or the contingency for cost growth during construction. The construction contingencies normally range from 5% to 25% of the total construction cost (*32*). For the test track, the contingency costs are estimated at 15% percent.
- Profit and Risk Factor – Potential risks and profit should be identified and quantified. During the feasibility stage, such information may not be available. With a probability of 90%, the risk of construction costs ranges between 2.71% and 8.67% of the total costs (*37*). In addition, the combined profit margins for both highway and building construction are estimated at 5%. Hence, the profit and risk factors are used in this feasibility study as 10% of the construction cost.

5.5 Results of Cost Estimates

In this section, the cost results are presented for only the full-stage conceptual layout considering the mainline on I-80. All predefined unit costs are linked with the determined quantities to develop the final cost model for the proposed test track facility in Wyoming.

Figure 5.3 shows the costs estimate summary for the HMA test sections on the mainline. For simplification, all sections have similar systems for drainage, erosion and sediment control, earthwork, illumination, and traffic control. The total estimated cost for these sections is determined to be almost $7.5 million.



Cost Estimates

Group	Element	Description	Unit	Unit Cost	Quantity	N	Subtotal
Mainline	HMA test section	12” HMA (2-lane typical I-80 and side shoulders)	TON	$ 75.00	660	26	$ 1,287,000
		12” crushed base materials	TON	$ 23.22	594	26	$ 358,610
		Erosion and sediment control	FT	$ 6.14	520	26	$ 83,013
		Drainage	MI	$ 300,000	0.0379	26	$ 295,455
		Excavation (100 ft ROW & 2 ft average depth)	CY	$ 24.00	1481	26	$ 924,444
		Embankment (100 ft ROW & 2 ft average depth)	CY	$ 30.00	1481	26	$ 1,155,556
		Illumination	MI	$ 250,000	0.0758	26	$ 492,424
		Other direct costs (signing, marking, fencing, etc.)	MI	$ 60,000	0.0379	26	$ 59,091
		Subtotal of HMA test sections					$ 4,655,592
		Mobilization (10% of construction costs)			10%		$ 465,559
		Engineering and design (15% of construction costs)			15%		$ 698,339
		Construction contingency (15% of construction costs)			15%		$ 698,339
		Construction engineering and inspection (CE&I)			10%		$ 465,559
		Profit and risk factor			10%		$ 465,559
		Total cost of HMA test sections					$ 7,448,947

Figure 5.3 The cost estimate model for the HMA test sections on the mainline

Figure 5.4 shows the cost estimates determined for the PCC test sections. The total estimated costs are almost $9.1 million. Figure 5.5 shows the cost summary for the test sections used for pavement maintenance preservations. The developed models for the three elements on the mainline can provide a unit cost per section by simply dividing the total costs by the number of sections. Accordingly, the average cost of construction per 200-ft HMA test section is $37,500 while the cost for a 225-ft PCC test section is almost $40,500.



Cost Estimates

Group	Element	Description	Unit	Unit Cost	Quantity	N	Subtotal
Mainline	PCC test section	2-lane concrete slab (11 in)	SY	$ 100.00	600	26	$ 1,560,000
		Two 10-ft HMA shoulders	TON	$ 75.00	278	26	$ 542,953
		6” crushed base materials	TON	$ 23.22	334	26	$ 201,718
		Erosion and sediment control	FT	$ 6.14	585	26	$ 93,389
		Drainage	MI	$ 300,000	0.0426	26	$ 332,386
		Excavation (100 ft ROW & 2 ft average depth)	CY	$ 24.00	1667	26	$ 1,040,000
		Embankment (100 ft ROW & 2 ft average depth)	CY	$ 30.00	1667	26	$ 1,300,000
		Illumination	MI	$ 250,000	0.0852	26	$ 553,977
		Other direct costs (signing, marking, fencing, etc.)	MI	$ 60,000	0.0426	26	$ 66,477
		Subtotal of PCC test sections					$ 5,690,901
		Mobilization (10% of construction costs)			10%		$ 569,090
		Engineering and design (15% of construction costs)			15%		$ 853,635
		Construction contingency (15% of construction costs)			15%		$ 853,635
		Construction engineering and inspection (CE&I)			10%		$ 569,090
		Profit and risk factor			10%		$ 569,090
		Total cost of PCC test sections					$ 9,105,442

Figure 5.4 The cost estimate model for the PCC test sections on the mainline



Cost Estimates

Group	Element	Description	Unit	Unit Cost	Quantity	N	Subtotal
Mainline	Preservation test section	Surface treatment (considering CIR as a reference)	SY	$ 10.00	1100	10	$ 110,000
		12” HMA (2-lane typical I-80 and side shoulders)	TON	$ 75.00	743	10	$ 556,875
		12” crushed base materials	TON	$ 23.22	668	10	$ 155,168
		Erosion and sediment control	FT	$ 6.14	585	10	$ 35,919
		Drainage	MI	$ 300,000	0.0426	10	$ 127,841
		Excavation (100 ft ROW & 2 ft average depth)	CY	$ 24.00	1667	10	$ 400,000
		Embankment (100 ft ROW & 2 ft average depth)	CY	$ 30.00	1667	10	$ 500,000
		Illumination	MI	$ 250,000	0.0852	10	$ 213,068
		Other direct costs (signing, marking, fencing, etc.)	MI	$ 60,000	0.0426	10	$ 25,568
		Subtotal of HMA test sections					$ 2,098,871
		Mobilization (10% of construction costs)			10%		$ 209,887
		Engineering and design (15% of construction costs)			15%		$ 314,831
		Construction contingency (15% of construction costs)			15%		$ 314,831
		Construction engineering and inspection (CE&I)			10%		$ 209,887
		Profit and risk factor			10%		$ 209,887
		Total cost of preservation test sections					$ 3,358,193

Figure 5.5 The cost estimate model for the preservation test sections on the mainline

Additional costs are determined for the mainline considering the HMA segments used for transitions. Typical sections of HMA I-80 are quantified and the cost estimate results are shown in Figure 5.6. The quantity is determined for the total 0.5-mile length of the transition. The amount is then doubled to consider the two ends of the mainline test track (a total of one mile of transition).



Cost Estimates

Group	Element	Description	Unit	Unit Cost	Quantity	N	Subtotal
Mainline	HMA transitions	12” HMA (2-lane typical I-80 and side shoulders)	TON	$ 75.00	8712	2	$ 1,306,800
		12” crushed base materials	TON	$ 23.22	7841	2	$ 364,127
		Erosion and sediment control	FT	$ 6.14	6864	2	$ 84,290
		Drainage	MI	$ 300,000	0.5000	2	$ 300,000
		Excavation (100 ft ROW & 2 ft average depth)	CY	$ 24.00	19556	2	$ 938,667
		Embankment (100 ft ROW & 2 ft average depth)	CY	$ 30.00	19556	2	$ 1,173,333
		Illumination	MI	$ 250,000	1.0	2	$ 500,000
		Other direct costs (signing, marking, fencing, etc.)	MI	$ 60,000	0.5	2	$ 60,000
		Subtotal of HMA transitions					$ 4,667,217
		Mobilization (10% of construction costs)			10%		$ 466,722
		Engineering and design (15% of construction costs)			15%		$ 700,083
		Construction contingency (15% of construction costs)			15%		$ 700,083
		Construction engineering and inspection (CE&I)			10%		$ 466,722
		Profit and risk factor			10%		$ 466,722
		Total cost of HMA transitions					$ 7,467,547

Figure 5.6 The cost estimate model for the HMA transition segments on the mainline

For the full-stage conceptual layout, the onsite buildings are quantified in square footage to determine the total costs using RSMeans Data. According to the feedback received about best practices, the cost estimates are determined and summarized in Figure 5.7. A total cost of almost $5.4 is expected for the construction of the onsite facilitates. Additional costs may be considered for supporting the technical laboratory and onsite asphalt mixing plant. However, this study does not include these items during the planning phase.


Cost Estimates

Group	Element	Description	Unit	Unit Cost	Quantity	N	Subtotal
Onsite	Office building	90 ft × 70 ft one-story building	SFT	$ 250.80	6300	1	$ 1,580,040
Facilities	Pole barn	80 ft × 80 ft storage garage	SFT	$ 200.64	6400	1	$ 1,284,096
	Parking lot	Capacity of 50 cars	SFT	$ 10.00	22400	1	$ 224,000
	Service roads	3000 ft total length of typical 2-lane HMA LVR	TON	$ 75.00	2250	1	$ 168,750
		3000 ft total length of typical LVR base materials	TON	$ 23.22	4455	1	$ 103,445
		Subtotal of onsite facilities					$ 3,360,331
		Mobilization (10% of construction costs)			10%		$ 336,033
		Engineering and design (15% of construction costs)			15%		$ 504,050
		Construction contingency (15% of construction costs)			15%		$ 504,050
		Construction engineering and inspection (CE&I)			10%		$ 336,033
		Profit and risk factor			10%		$ 336,033
		Total cost of onsite facilities					$ 5,376,530

Figure 5.7 The cost estimate model for the onsite facilities of the full-stage layout

Regarding cost estimates for ROW and land acquisition, two main items are considered, the cost of purchasing and the costs of site preparation (i.e., clearing and grubbing), as shown in Figure 5.8. The land value of the potential Zone 4 is used as a reference location in the cost model. The unit cost is estimated as $1,530 per acre considering the feedback received from WYDOT's ROW office. The total area is quantified from the standard dimensions and lengths of the mainline and onsite buildings, as well as an additional 10% for approximation errors. The unit cost of clearing and grubbing is secured from WYDOT average bid prices. Accordingly, the total cost of land acquisition for the construction of the full-stage test track is determined to be almost $1.6 million. Additional costs can be considered specifically for Zone 4 where some utility poles are expected to be relocated.


Cost Estimates

Group	Element	Description	Unit	Unit Cost	Quantity	N	Subtotal
Land	Mainline	Considering Zone 4 land value	ACRE	$ 1,530	85.31	1	$ 130,521
Acquisition	Onsite facilities	Considering Zone 4 land value	ACRE	$ 1,530	20.81	1	$ 31,833
	Subtotal Area	Considering 10% approximation error	ACRE	$ 1,530	11	1	$ 16,235
	Full-stage test track		ACRE	$ 1,530	116.7	1	$ 178,589
	Clearing and Grubbing		ACRE	$ 12,000	116.7	1	$ 1,400,697
		Total cost of land acquisition					$ 1,579,286

Figure 5.8 The cost estimate model for the land acquisition of the full-stage layout

Another major component of the test track construction cost estimate includes the costs for instrumentation. Although specific instrumentations will be defined according to the research needs of the test sections and experiments, an estimate of instrumentation costs can be determined using historical values and previous experiences. The MnROAD test track, for example, determined the instrumentation costs in Phase II research to be almost 4% of the total costs (*38*). Since this study estimates the costs in the planning phase, the percentage of instrumentation is raised to 6% of construction costs, totaling almost $2.1 million. Figure 5.9 shows the cost breakdown of the different components for the proposed test track considering the mainline and full-stage options. It is expected that the total cost of constructing the test track will be $36.4 million.



Figure 5.9 Cost summary of the proposed test track in Wyoming

5.6 Validation of Cost Estimates

During the benefit-cost study presented in Chapter 4, the total construction costs are estimated considering the MnROAD construction in the 1990s adjusted by inflation. The total amount is estimated to be $46.5 million. This amount indicates a percentage of difference of 20% compared with the total costs determined in the current chapter. However, the highway construction cost in Minnesota ranks 30th while the cost in Wyoming ranks 39th nationally (*39*). This implies that the costs can be discounted to reflect the local cost estimates in Wyoming. Considering the 2015 cost dollars for the cost per lane-mile developed by Craighead (2018), the discount percentage can be up to 27%.

Another validation shows that, in 2002, the Washington DOT (WSDOT) conducted a national highway construction survey for a "representative project that would be universal in all states" (*40*). In Wyoming, the average construction cost per lane-mile was found to be almost $1.26 million. According to the National Highway Construction Cost Index (NHCCI), the costs were almost doubled in 2022 compared with the 2002-dollar values (*41*). Hence, the estimated construction cost per lane-mile for the interstate is expected to be almost $2.5 million. For the total 3.5-mile two-lane test track, the total estimated costs will be $17.5 million excluding other tangible costs. The tangible cost estimates conducted in this study show that the total additional costs are up to 60% of the construction costs that accumulate a total cost of $28 million for the mainline. This amount is representative of the mainline cost estimate developed in this study, as shown in Figure 5.9. From the mentioned validation processes, the total estimated cost can be a representative value for decision-making in the feasibility stage. In addition, the cost estimates for the benefit-cost analysis are within the expected range of the cost model so that relevant BCRs are developed for decision-making.

6. COLLABORATION FOR WYOMING'S TEST TRACK FACILITY

6.1 Background

The collaboration between WYDOT and UW is vital for the success of operating the proposed test track on I-80. The proposed testing facility will be funded and operated through efficient cooperation between local, state, industrial, federal, academia, and international entities. Hence, UW can serve as a key partner for the test track to facilitate the research program activities, including technology transfer, training, and more. This chapter outlines the main outcomes of the strategic partnership between WYDOT and UW to benefit the State of Wyoming and participating states in the dry-freeze climatic zone.

6.2 Research Program Overview

The research center at WYDOT provides funding to help improve the existing transportation system and its safety measures so that maximum benefits can be achieved for the economic well-being and quality of life in Wyoming. The collaboration with different entities can be implemented through the following funding categories.

6.2.1 State Planning and Research (SP&R)

Of the federal highway funds received by each DOT, 2% is earmarked for the state planning and research (SP&R) funding programs. The SP&R funds are used for planning future highway programs, development and implementation of management systems, research, technology transfer, training on engineering standards, and monitoring real-time conditions and elements. According to the state planning and research code found at Title 23, U.S. Code § 505 (b) (1), not less than 25% of the SP&R funds must be allocated for research, development, and technology transfer activities related to highway and public transportation systems. SP&R funding is the main source of funding for the WYDOT research program (*42*). The collaboration between UW and WYDOT for the proposed test track could be sponsored through the Research Center since the main objective of the partnership would be to facilitate academic research, education, workforce development, and technology transfer with WYDOT. In addition, matching state funds would need to be secured for full-scale experiments on the low-volume road test track. The outcomes of such local projects can enhance the training and technology transfer for local practitioners. Hence, the Wyoming Technology Transfer Center (WYT2/LTAP) could contribute to these projects through different forms of support, including research activities, training programs, and technology transfer. The WYT2/LTAP center will consider the recommended research activities highlighted from the previous efforts, as summarized in appendices D and E for pavement and non-pavement research, respectively. The center will also strengthen the skills of managing and monitoring pavement performance through sponsored workshops and certification programs. Such programs will provide state and local practitioners with the tools to effectively address the challenges of pavement design, materials, and maintenance.

6.2.2 Transportation Pooled Fund (TPF) Program

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) facilitates the management of the Transportation Pooled Fund (TPF) program for research projects with widespread, regional, or national interest. The proposed test track is expected to sponsor research projects for HMA and PCC pavements with regional interests for participating states in the dry-freeze zone. Hence, most of the experiments on the test track could be conducted on a cooperative basis with regional states, FHWA, third parties, contractors, and/or universities. UW could contribute to such a strategic partnership to facilitate and define the research needs currently urgent for the several partners. Planning the implementation of different experiments could be organized by UW for the participating states, depending on several factors, including the scope of each experiment, the timeline of the experiment, and the availability of the test sections on each pavement type group. Also, UW is equipped with technological capabilities for software development and management. The proposed online tools for data sharing and management could be hosted and operated by UW. This will allow UW to share the findings and results of the different experiments with participating states and globally. Moreover, UW could initiate a strategic partnership with MnROAD and NCAT, as recommended previously in this study to share the expertise and knowledge of the full-scale testing. This can be done through sponsored conferences and annual meetings where practitioners and researchers share their visions, disseminate findings, construct ideas, and set research agendas together.

6.2.3 National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP)

WYDOT's Research Center participates in the National Cooperative Highway Research Program, which is jointly managed by the Transportation Research Board (TRB), the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), and the FHWA. These funds do not require a state match because they are administered by the federal government. The proposed test track will address several national challenges for pavement and non-pavement research. The Civil & Architectural Engineering and Construction Management Department at UW has consistently contributed to the advanced knowledge of transportation engineering through several experimental research projects. Hence, the faculty and scholars of the department could serve in defining the research need for the test track and aligning it with national needs. UW could also share the responsibilities of delivering on federal investments.

6.3 WYDOT and the University of Wyoming Partnership Outcomes

Over decades, WYDOT has contracted with UW on research projects that resulted in the completion of more than 50 projects. The projects ranged from short-term to long-term endeavors resulting in multiple deliverables. UW's graduate program enables graduate students and scholars to be an important element of this collaboration through fresh perspectives, innovative solutions, and dedicated qualities. In addition, the WYT2/LTAP Center has a record of accomplishment of success in assisting state and local Wyoming agencies to enhance the efficiency of transportation systems. This has been done through multiple research grants, reference materials, conducting T2/LTAP workshops throughout Wyoming, WYDOT certifications, training, technical assistance, and technology transfer. Therefore, the strategic partnership between WYDOT and UW could have an immediate and positive impact on the success of managing and operating the proposed test track. The outcomes will provide in-depth knowledge and experience for graduate students and scholars at UW that will maximize the educational benefits of civil engineering. In addition, WYDOT is better positioned to provide these students and scholars with full-time programming positions.

Moreover, through WYDOT-supported projects, UW will be able to secure funding to help support its mission and staff. This funding provides paid work opportunities for students seeking real-world experience in transportation engineering. By having interesting real-world projects, UW can attract better student employees, and provide those students with more rewarding opportunities for internships and full-time positions. In addition, through high-profile partnerships with the proposed test track and major APT facilities in the country, UW, as well as the WYT2/LTAP Center, can raise their profiles and advertise their services to other clients.

As this partnership continues, the residents of Wyoming will continue to be beneficiaries through improved safety and efficiency of the transportation network in the state.

7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The significant benefits associated with APTs and the need for cost-effective and sustainable infrastructure have prompted WYDOT to propose a regional APT test road for the U.S. dry-freeze states. The facility will be the foremost test road in the dry-freeze climate. A feasibility study of building a state-of-the-art APT program was conducted to identify potential partners, research needs, suitable construction locations, benefit-cost impacts, quantity and cost estimates, and effective collaboration with the UW. The following conclusions are drawn from this study.

7.1 Conclusions from Partnership Surveys and Virtual Meetings

A comprehensive discussion about the proposed APT facility is introduced, including the results of two online surveys sent to state DOTs and industrial entities in the dry-freeze climatic zone. In addition, several virtual meetings were held with the major U.S. APT officials, including MnROAD, NCAT, and FDOT test tracks. The information obtained from both surveys and virtual meetings led to several lessons learned and conclusions as follows:

- Most of the participants in both surveys and virtual meetings consider construction of the proposed test track in Wyoming to be significantly important for the study of pavements in the dry-freeze region.
- General guidelines are provided for the different components of the proposed test track facility, including recommended lengths for the test tracks, supporting onsite buildings, and data collection and sharing.
- Conventional flexible pavements using HMA asphalt are the most common pavement type recommended to be tested at the proposed test track facility. Other types may be included according to the specific needs of partners.
- Although monitoring bridges through full-scale tests provides several benefits, most state DOTs in the dry-freeze region show low interest in testing bridges on the proposed test track in Wyoming.
- Respondents in both surveys show different interests in participating in pooled fund studies, taking a seat on an advisory board, and joining technical subcommittees intended for the program.
- The initial feedback shows a high interest in state DOTs to participate in regional research studies on the proposed test track. This increases the importance of accelerating the coordination and implementation of the proposed facility in Wyoming.
- Some state DOTs are willing to fund the construction of the testing facility, sponsor research, and provide technical and instrumentation support and take part in pooled fund studies.
- Contractors and associations intend to undertake commercial evaluations as well as financial, training, materials, technical, and operations support. Additionally, they intend to promote the needs and interests of the regional test track facility at the national level.
- International roughness index (IRI) and rut depth are the recommended condition indices for the proposed test track research program. Moreover, data on research programs and all relevant information would be available on a dedicated facility website.
- The NCAT, MnROAD, and FDOT's testing facilities have a great deal of experience with full-scale pavement testing techniques, including construction, funding, operations, data collection and management, and maintenance. The proposed testing track in Wyoming can consider this expertise and the lessons learned to increase the successfulness of the proposed facility for the dry-freeze region. All officials from the major testing facilities showed a willingness to support WYDOT with technical expertise to develop the proposed testing facility.

7.2 Conclusions from Potential Locations

A suitability analysis is conducted with multi-criteria decision-making to select the most appropriate location for constructing the proposed test track in Wyoming. A spatial analyst tool in ArcGIS is employed with the linear weighted combination method to aggregate five affecting decision-making criteria. These factors are considered to minimize the engineering, economic, and environmental impacts on the existing corridor of I-80. The following are drawn from this analysis:

- The proposed locations for constructing the test track display several challenges of mountainous and hilly terrains, traffic safety concerns, representative traffic volumes, mobilization concerns, and active oil well activities. The decision-making includes ranked criteria with different scores to provide an overall recommendation about the suitable location using raster data with a suitability scale.
- The spatial analysis reveals that four construction zones are recommended to maximize the benefits of the proposed test track. Further investigations were conducted for the proposed construction zones showing several pros and cons of each site.
- Zone 4 is the most recommended location considering the spatial analysis and the WYDOT's ROW feedback. In this zone, the location of the proposed test track will be on the western side of Cheyenne with close distances for mobilization. This zone was further investigated using field evaluation.
- The demonstration of the unmanned aerial system (UAS) showed the importance of using innovative technology as a viable alternative to the traditional method of surveying sites.
- The UAS, or drone, was employed in the study to provide high-quality survey and aerial photography allowing for a better-informed bird's eye view of the project area.
- The aerial photogrammetry revealed the presence of about 20 high-tension electricity poles within the ROW of Zone 4. This will require the relocation of the lines to allow for the construction of the test road and other ancillary facilities.

7.3 Conclusions from Benefit-Cost Analysis

The LCCA is an important decision-making tool in transportation projects of all kinds. The proposed test track facility is economically advantageous and worth investing in, and it has the potential to provide several benefits through pavement research and technology. This study proved the applicability of the deterministic life-cycle cost analysis and the fuzzy concept incorporating sensitivity analysis to develop a framework for evaluating the economic benefits of the proposed APT facility at the planning stage. The economic evaluation of the APT facility at the project feasibility stage leads to the following conclusions:

- The overall BCR of the proposed test track facility for the dry-freeze region is 9.2. Such a high ratio indicates that the benefits of operating the test track have the potential to pay off the investment and, therefore, be financially feasible for implementation. Securing funding from FHWA and the private sector can increase the overall BCR for participating states to values between 9.4 and 10.7.
- The individual BCR of states was greater than 1. This implies a healthy return on investments to the participating states. Nonetheless, the benefits depend on the scale of research findings' implementation.
- Improvement in pavement design, construction, maintenance, and cost-effective material selection based on research findings can make significant savings in agency costs.
- Sensitivity analysis is important in the economic evaluation to determine BCR ranges to minimize the uncertainties in sponsorship.
- The study reinforces the cost-effectiveness of operating the test track facilities through partnerships and cooperative research. The results show that sponsorship from FHWA and the

industry influenced the overall BCR. Scenario 1 represents the optimum cost-effective funding option for the APT program of participating states.

- The economic evaluation of the APT facility at the feasibility stage has some complexity that involves degrees of uncertainty. The example shown in this study helped establish the feasibility of using the fuzzy approach in assessing the economic benefits of the APT program at the planning stage. The economic evaluation of the testing facility has been examined under both the deterministic and fuzzy methods with sensitivity analysis.
- APT partnerships are highly recommended for cost-effective research programs. The sensitivity analysis showed significant maximization of benefits through external participation, although the overall BCR of the program did not change significantly. The study also found a significant reduction in the initial building cost due to external support from FHWA and the industry.

7.4 Conclusions from Construction Cost Estimates

The quantity and cost estimates of construction projects on highways are important factors affecting decision-making. Estimating the costs of the proposed test track during the planning/programming phases is usually conceptual and considers mainly historical bid prices and common quantities to determine the overall cost values. The cost model for the full-stage conceptual layout is developed and the following are found:

- The total construction cost estimate of the proposed test track is \$36.4 million. The total cost encompasses 75% for the mainline construction, 15% for the onsite facilities, 6% for instrumentation, and 4% for land acquisition.
- Although WYDOT will mainly sponsor the construction of the test track, the construction of the test sections can be conducted by the participating states and industries. The cost estimates reveal that the average cost of construction per 200-ft HMA test section is \$37,500 while the cost for a 225-ft PCC test section is almost \$40,500.
- RSMeans Data serves as a good reference for the construction cost estimates of the onsite buildings. Additional costs for laboratories and an onsite mixing plant can be considered if needed.
- The historical evaluation of the construction costs using national references provides relevant cost estimates for the test track mainline. In addition, construction cost data from the MnROAD testing facility validate the current estimates of the proposed test track in Wyoming. The mentioned validation processes recommend the current estimates be representative.
- The cost estimates for the benefit-cost analysis are found to be within the expected range of the cost model so that the obtained BCRs are relevant for decision-making.

7.5 Conclusions from Collaboration for Wyoming's Test Track

The strategic partnership between WYDOT and UW is vital for the success of operating and managing the proposed test track. The UW WYT2/LTAP Center can significantly contribute to the advancement of the test track program through several forms of sponsorship, including research grants, education, advisory boards, technological partnerships, training, workforce development, and technology transfer.

7.6 Recommendations

Based on the results of this study, constructing a new test track on I-80 in Wyoming is determined to be feasible. Considering the global economic situation, it is highly recommended that WYDOT and other state DOTs realize that APT partnerships are the way forward. It has become necessary to encourage cooperation and constant need for testing facilities based on the technical and financial benefits to the public and private sectors. This study provides the following recommendations while addressing the feasibility of the proposed test track in Wyoming:

- Partnerships are key to avoiding duplication of research topics. WYDOT needs to establish and nurture relationships with MnROAD, NCAT, other APTs, industry, and state DOTs. Through these relationships, WYDOT can discover pavement research areas and share ideas and resources for a successful program. In this context, NCAT has a unique partnership with MnROAD for the national survey. It will also leverage available resources and ideas for research needs.
- It is recommended that WYDOT identify the operational costs of the proposed testing facility in a uniform shape. The funding must be secured from the involved agencies regardless of the expected partners. Having constant and stable funding for the operation would be very beneficial for long-term monitoring and for avoiding delays in operations.
- The need for innovation funding calls can bring multiple partners from academia, industry, private companies, and associates. It is recommended WYDOT find an appropriate means to call associate partners to engage in the proposed test track facility based on their needs (whether research or marketing objectives). This increases the level of research activities on the testing tracks and consequently increases the corresponding benefits.
- A well-structured organization for the proposed test track can save a lot of processing time for funding and operations. It is recommended WYDOT define the state agency expected to participate and their representatives. Also, it is recommended that WYDOT's office of materials define a staff dedicated to the research program on the proposed test track on I-80.
- Owning the data collection equipment may increase the flexibility of data collection activities and provide higher frequency data. This would contribute to increasing the accuracy of data collected on the road tracks.
- WYDOT will be the joint owner of the data, which will be made open access for all. The proposed facility can also incorporate the LTPP-Info Pave database system to provide consistent data with the MnROAD program. That will integrate results from the different climatic zones and provide a better understanding of pavement performance.
- WYDOT should consider joining the NRRA so that relevant projects can be sponsored in Wyoming to address regional and national needs.
- Selecting a suitable location for the test track is a significant task in the planning process. Proximity to a major airport when selecting the site of the test track is important. This makes it easier and more convenient for sponsors to visit the test track at different times. The proximity to the airport makes it easier for sponsors anywhere in the world to visit the facility.
- It is recommended WYDOT consider hiring a construction management expert as part of the facility staff. This helps achieve savings while WYDOT takes the responsibility of building the test sections. Building the test sections in-house helps to readily achieve quality targets. Being the prime contractor allows flexibility to make changes at any time without financial consequences and contractual breaches.

8. REFERENCES

1. Brown, E. R., L. A. Cooley, D. Hanson, C. Lynn, B. Powell, B. Prowell, and D. Watson. "NCAT Test Track Design, Construction, and Performance." 2002.
2. Steyn, W. J. *Significant Findings from Full-Scale Accelerated Pavement Testing*. Transportation Research Board, 2012.
3. Powell, R. B. "A History of Modern Accelerated Performance Testing of Pavement Structures." NCAT document (in-press), , 2006.
4. Worel, B., M. Vrtis, and R. Buzz Powell. "Guidance for the Next Generation Accelerated Pavement Testing Facilities." In *Accelerated Pavement Testing to Transport Infrastructure Innovation* (A. Chabot, P. Hornych, J. Harvey, and L. G. Loria-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 40–48.
5. Saeed, A. *Accelerated Pavement Testing: Data Guidelines*. Transportation Research Board, 2003.
6. Gibson, N., J. R. Willis, and B. Worel. "Organization and Outcomes from a United States Consortium of Accelerated Pavement Testers." *APT'08. Third International ConferenceCentro de Estudios y Experimentación de Obras Públicas (CEDEX) Transportation Research Board*, 2008.
7. Harvey, J. T., E. Sadzik, N. F. Coetzee, and J. P. Mahoney. "Developing International Collaborative Efforts in APT: The HVSIA Experience." 2008.
8. Fosu-Saah, B., M. Hafez, and K. Ksaibati. "Exploring Lessons Learned from Partnerships to Establish a Regional Accelerated Pavement Testing Facility in Wyoming." *International Journal of Pavement Engineering*, Vol. 24, No. 2, 2023, p. 2075866. https://doi.org/10.1080/10298436.2022.2075866.
9. NCAT. *National Center for Asphalt Technology Annual Report 2020*. 2020.
10. FHWA. FHWA's InfoMaterials^{TM}: A New Web Portal on LTPP InfoPave^{TM}. *Asphalt Binder Tester*, 2021.
11. USDOT. "Connected Vehicle Pilot Deployment Program." *Intelligent Transportation Systems Joint Program Office*. https://www.its.dot.gov/pilots/pilots_wydot.htm. Accessed Mar. 7, 2022.
12. WYDOT. "Interactive Transportation System Map." *Wyoming Department of Transportation*. https://apps.wyoroad.info/itsm/map.html. Accessed Jul. 3, 2022.
13. Greene, J. "Florida's Concrete Test Road Initiative." 2020.
14. Rezapour Mashhadi, M. M., P. Saha, and K. Ksaibati. "Impact of Traffic Enforcement on Traffic Safety." *International Journal of Police Science & Management*, Vol. 19, No. 4, 2017, pp. 238–246. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461355717730836.
15. Haq, M. T., M. Zlatkovic, and K. Ksaibati. "Investigating Occupant Injury Severity of Truck-Involved Crashes Based on Vehicle Types on a Mountainous Freeway: A Hierarchical Bayesian Random Intercept Approach." *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, Vol. 144, 2020, p. 105654. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2020.105654
16. Haq, M. T., M. Zlatkovic, and K. Ksaibati. "Occupant Injury Severity in Passenger Car-Truck Collisions on Interstate 80 in Wyoming: A Hamiltonian Monte Carlo Markov Chain Bayesian Inference Approach." *Journal of Transportation Safety & Security*, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2022, pp. 498–522. https://doi.org/10.1080/19439962.2020.1786872
17. Tahmidul Haq, M., M. Zlatkovic, and K. Ksaibati. "Assessment of Commercial Truck Driver Injury Severity as a Result of Driving Actions." *Transportation Research Record*, Vol. 2675, No. 9, 2021, pp. 1707–1719. https://doi.org/10.1177/03611981211009880

18. Haq, M. T., M. Zlatkovic, and K. Ksaibati. "Assessment of Commercial Truck Driver Injury Severity Based on Truck Configuration along a Mountainous Roadway Using Hierarchical Bayesian Random Intercept Approach." *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, Vol. 162, 2021, p. 106392. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2021.106392
19. Gorsevski, P. V., K. R. Donevska, C. D. Mitrovski, and J. P. Frizado. "Integrating Multi-Criteria Evaluation Techniques with Geographic Information Systems for Landfill Site Selection: A Case Study Using Ordered Weighted Average." *Waste Management*, Vol. 32, No. 2, 2012, pp. 287–296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2011.09.023
20. Manap, N., M. N. Borhan, M. R. M. Yazid, M. K. A. Hambali, and A. Rohan. "Identification of Hotspot Segments with a Risk of Heavy-Vehicle Accidents Based on Spatial Analysis at Controlled-Access Highway." *Sustainability*, Vol. 13, No. 3, 2021, p. 1487. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13031487
21. Albeaino, G., and M. Gheisari. "Trends, Benefits, and Barriers of Unmanned Aerial Systems in the Construction Industry: A Survey Study in the United States." *Journal of Information Technology in Construction*, Vol. 26, 2021. https://doi.org/10.36680/j.itcon.2021.006
22. Jones, D., J. Harvey, I. L. Al-Qadi, and A. Mateos. *Advances in Pavement Design through Full-Scale Accelerated Pavement Testing*. CRC Press, 2012. https://doi.org/10.1201/b13000
23. Choubane, B., and J. Greene. "Accelerated Pavement Testing: Celebrating over 100 Years of Innovation and Economic Benefits." *Centennial Papers*, 2019.
24. Rose, G., and D. Bennett. "Benefits from Research Investment: Case of Australian Accelerated Loading Facility Pavement Research Program." *Transportation Research Record*, 1994, pp. 82–82.
25. Bagdatli, M. E. C. "Fuzzy logic–based life-cycle cost analysis of road pavements." *Journal of Transportation Engineering, Part B: Pavements*, Vol. 144, No. 4, 2018, p. 04018050. https://doi.org/10.1061/JPEODX.0000081.
26. Chen, C., and G. W. Flintsch. "Fuzzy Logic Pavement Maintenance and Rehabilitation Triggering Approach for Probabilistic Life-Cycle Cost Analysis." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, Vol. 1990, No. 1, 2007, pp. 80–91. https://doi.org/10.3141/1990-10.
27. Chen, C., G. W. Flintsch, and I. L. Al-Qadi. "Fuzzy Logic-Based Life-Cycle Costs Analysis Model for Pavement and Asset Management." 2004. https://doi.org/10.3141/1990-10
28. Fosu-Saah, B., M. Hafez, and K. Ksaibati. "Integrating Deterministic and Fuzzy Concepts into the Benefit–Cost Analysis of Wyoming's Proposed Pavement Testing Track Facility." *International Journal of Pavement Research and Technology*, Vol. 16, No. 5, 2023, pp. 1267–1284. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42947-022-00195-6.
29. Worel, B. J., T. R. Clyne, and M. Jensen. "Economic Benefits Resulting from Road Research Performed at MnROAD." 2008.
30. Wang, M.-J., and G.-S. Liang. "Benefit/cost analysis using fuzzy concept." *The Engineering Economist*, Vol. 40, No. 4, 1995, pp. 359–376. https://doi.org/10.1080/00137919508903160.
31. West, R., N. Tran, M. Musselman, J. Skolnik, and M. Brooks. "A Review of the Alabama Department of Transportation's Policies and Procedures for Life-Cycle Cost Analysis for Pavement Type Selection." National Center for Asphalt Technology at Auburn University, Auburn, AL, 2013.
32. FHWA. "Major Project Program Cost Estimating Guidance." FHWA Washington, DC, 2007. https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/majorprojects/cost_estimating/major_project_cost_guidance.pdf. [Accessed by February 11, 2022].
33. Turochy, R. E., L. A. Hoel, and R. S. Doty. "Highway Project Cost Estimating Methods Used in the Planning Stage of Project Development." Virginia Transportation Research Council (VTRC), 2001. https://rosap.ntl.bts.gov/view/dot/19552. Accessed by June 13, 2021.

34. RSMeans. *Square Foot Costs with RSMeans Data*. Gordian, Greenville, SC, 2021.
35. RSMeans. RSMeans Online Tool. https://www.rsmeansonline.com/. Accessed Jun. 1, 2022.
36. Anderson, S. D., K. R. Molenaar, and C. J. Schexnayder. *Guidance for Cost Estimation and Management for Highway Projects during Planning, Programming, and Preconstruction*. Transportation Research Board, 2007.
37. Brokbals, S., V. Wapelhorst, and I. Čadež. "Calculation of Risk Costs in Construction Projects: Empirical Analysis of Construction Risks Applying the Monte Carlo Method." *Civil Engineering Design*, Vol. 1, No. 3–4, 2019, pp. 120–128. https://doi.org/10.1002/cend.201900014.
38. Worel, B. J., and D. Van Deuse. *Benefits of MnROAD Phase II Research*. Minnesota Department of Transportation, Research Services & Library, 2015.
39. Craighead, M. "A Comparison of Highway Construction Costs in the Midwest and Nationally." *Midwest Economic Policy Institute, March*, Vol. 20, 2018. https://midwestepi.files.wordpress.com/2017/05/cost-per-lane-mile-nationally-and-in-the-midwest-updated-final.pdf [Accessed by July 2, 2022].
40. Kishore, V., and D. M. Abraham. "Construction Costs-Using Federal Vs. Local Funds: Identifying Factors Affecting Highway Construction Costs When Sources of Funding Vary: A Case Study." 2009. https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2658&context=jtrp. [Accessed by February 14, 2022].
41. Bureau of Transportation Statistics. National Highway Construction Cost Index (NHCCI). *U.S. Department of Transportation*. https://data.bts.gov/Research-and-Statistics/National-Highway-Construction-Cost-Index-NHCCI-/wgzr-nyxc. Accessed Feb. 14, 2022.
42. WYDOT. Research Center. *Wyoming Department of Transportation*. https://www.dot.state.wy.us/home/planning_projects/research-center.html#:~:text=The%20WYDOT%20research%20program%20participates,Transportation%20Research%20Board%20(TRB). Accessed Jul. 3, 2022.

APPENDIX A: LITERATURE REVIEW

Introduction

Different pavement evaluation techniques have been used over the years to understand and predict the performance of pavement systems. The pavement evaluation methods include computer simulation, laboratory testing, accelerated pavement testing (APT), and long-term monitoring like the long-term pavement performance (LTPP) studies. Figure B.1 shows the relative cost and time and the associated level of reliability of the different pavement evaluation methods with the broad basis of pavement engineering. The figure shows that computer simulation and analysis is an inexpensive and fast but less reliable evaluation procedure. Laboratory testing may take several weeks to complete with a relative increase in reliable knowledge and costs. APT also provides a reliable cost-effective way to evaluate the long-term performance of pavement within a few months. However, long-term performance monitoring takes so many years to produce reliable results at a high cost.



Figure B.1 The different pavement testing methodologies

Accelerated Pavement Testing (APT)

APT is the “controlled application of wheel loading to pavement structures for the purpose of simulating the effects of long-term, in-service loading conditions in a compressed time period” (*1*). APTs allow pavement performance of test sections to be monitored continually to evaluate rutting, cracking, roughness, friction, etc. under long-term loading and environmental effects. The acceleration of damage to the pavement is achieved by increased load repetitions and/or loads, the use of thinner pavement sections with decreased structural capacity, or a combination of these factors.

Types of Accelerated Pavement Testing in the U.S.

There are two main types of APTs: load simulation machines and test roads. These APT types are described below.

Load Simulation Machines

The load simulation machines are mechanical devices that rapidly apply a given load to the test sections. They can be classified into linear and circular pavement test facilities. Figure B.2 shows examples of load simulation machines employed. Linear testing device examples include the heavy vehicle simulator (HVS), accelerated loading facility (ALF), accelerated transportation loading system (ATLAS), and mobile load simulator (MLS). The circular devices include the Canterbury Accelerated Pavement Testing Indoor Facility (CAPTIF) and the fatigue carousel. These devices are movable and can be transported to different sites. The test sections are constructed by conventional plants and processes to simulate real-world conditions. However, the loads are applied at relatively slow speeds between 2 mph and 15 mph. The load simulation devices offer the ability to vary load and overload, and control temperature and moisture. Moreover, it is difficult to measure roughness due to the short test sections. Accelerated loading with load simulation devices can allow 20 years of loading in-service pavements to be simulated within about three months. They can investigate flexible, rigid, and composite pavements within a short time.

Test Roads

Full-scale test roads are test tracks with several instrumented test sections that are subjected to actual or real-world truck traffic. This method is regarded as probably the most realistic way to test pavements as pavements are subjected to long-term in-service loading and environmental effects. Examples of test roads are the Minnesota Road Research Project (MnRoad), and the National Center for Asphalt Technology (NCAT) test track, as shown in Figure B.3. The AASHO Road test was also one of the leading test tracks conducted back in the 1960s. The test roads are more representative of what happens on our roads in terms of speeds (typically 50–70 mph), axle load limits (realistic loads), and vehicle wander. Longer test sections, typically between 200 ft and 500 ft, allow for the meaningful measurement of roughness. The test roads consider realistic suspension interaction, braking and acceleration effects, and long-term aging effects of pavements. Overall, the test sections are constructed by conventional plants and processes so that real-world conditions are modeled. The following sections describe in detail the three major test track facilities currently operated in the U.S.



(a) **(b)**

(c) **(d)**

Figure B.2 Different types of load simulation devices: (a) FDOT's HVS Mk IV (*2*); (b) FHWA's ALFs (*3*); (c) Texas mobile load simulator (*4*); (d) The APT linear loading machine (*5*)


(a) (b)

Figure B.3 Aerial photograph of test roads: (a) MnROAD Pavement Test Facility (*6*) (b) NCAT Test Track Facility (*7*)

The Minnesota Road Research Project (MnROAD)

The Minnesota Road Research project, known as MnROAD, was constructed in 1991 by the Minnesota Department of Transportation (MnDOT) at Albertville, Minnesota. It is regarded as the first full-scale pavement testing facility since the American Association of State Highway Officials (AASHO) Road Test in the 1960s (*8*). The early construction of MnROAD started with a budget of $25 million in early 1990 (*9*). As discussed in the meeting, this funding was mainly contributed by MnDOT. The budget included buying the land, sensors, construction, staffing, buildings, and initial research efforts.

General Layout

The MnROAD is located on westbound Interstate-94 (I-94) and is operated mainly by the MnDOT and Local Road Research Board (*10*). Two distinct road test segments are located on MnROAD along the I-94 corridor (*9*):

- A 3.5-mile mainline interstate roadway that carries existing traffic on the interstate
- A 2.5-mile closed-loop low-volume road (LVR) trafficked by an 18-wheel, 5-axle, 80,000 lb. tractor-semi-trailer to simulate local road conditions.

According to Van Deusen et al. (2018) “Report on 2017 MnROAD construction activities,” MnROAD now has four unique road segments:

- A 2.7-mile, two-lane mainline interstate roadway that carries existing traffic with an average daily traffic (ADT) of 26,500 vehicles per day on westbound I-94. The traffic comprises about 13% trucks.
- A 2.5-mile, two-lane closed-loop LVR segment carrying a MnROAD operated 80 kips, 5-axle, tractor/trailer combinations doing about 70 laps per day only to the inside lane. The outer lane of the test segment is reserved for studying environmental effects on pavements.
- A 1,000-ft, two-lane road segment located at the MnROAD stockpile area for evaluating the effects of husbandry implements on LVR. The segment is occasionally used as a trial section paving before paving the experimental test section on the mainline or LVR.
- A 2.7-mile segment was constructed in 2017 and consists of a series of asphalt overlay and partial-depth spall repair test segments of concrete pavement originally built in 1973. This segment carries the existing traffic on westbound I-94 for an average of seven days per month, representing about one-third of the cumulative ESALs on the mainline test sections. The loads are

applied during traffic diversion when the mainline is closed to traffic for monitoring or construction.

The overall layout of the MnROAD test tracks is shown in Figure B.4. The roadway segments have over 50 unique experimental test sections with over 9,500 sensors installed over the last 23 years on both asphalt flexible and concrete rigid pavements (*6*).



(a)

(b)

Figure B.4 Layout of test sections: (a) MnROAD I-94 WB (Mainline and original I-94); (b) LVR (*10*)

The Organizational Structure of MnROAD

MnROAD funding is a product of the cooperation between local, state, industry, federal agencies, academia, and international sources. In fact, one of the first goals was to establish partner relationships (*8*). The majority of funds for research operations for the first 10 years was provided through a partnership between MnDOT and the Minnesota Local Road Research Board (LRRB) during the first research phase (*6*). As discussed in the meeting, the LRRB is responsible for putting counties and local agencies on the MnROAD research board for low-volume road research activities. Starting in 2017, federal pooled-fund programs are secured through the National Road Research Alliance (NRRA). The NRRA partners represent nine states and about 50 associate members (*6*, *10*). The NRRA is made up of an executive committee and five research teams, each chaired by agency members, as shown in Figure B.5. The NRRA structure is intended to promote innovation and develop feasible products for the pavement industry. The NRRA objective is to build resilient pavements through research and promote the cooperative implementation of research findings. The following sections provide additional information on the organizational structure of the NRRA.



Note: ICT=Intelligent Compaction technologies

Figure B.5 The structure of the NRRA research teams (MnDOT)

NRRA Executive Committee

The executive committee is a decision-making body with membership from state DOTs. The executive committee sets the objectives and goals, selects projects for research, and determines the budget based on the recommendations of the five teams. Generally, the committee makes decisions for research programs that need funding. The team is currently chaired by Glenn Engstrom, MnDOT. As shown in Figure B.6, representatives from the North Dakota, Michigan, Iowa, Missouri, California, Wisconsin, Minnesota, and Illinois DOTs, and FHWA make up the committee.

Figure B.6 Current NRRA membership in the U.S. (*11*)

NRRA Research Teams

There are five research teams: flexible, rigid, geotechnical, intelligent construction, and preventive maintenance. The following subsections briefly describe the five research teams.

- Flexible team – This team focuses on new and rehabilitated flexible pavements. Members have expertise in asphalt pavements. The teams prioritize long- and short-term research programs and develop long-term research test sections at MnROAD.
- Rigid team – Members utilize their expertise to prioritize long- and short-term research programs and develop long-term research test sections related to new and rehabilitated concrete pavements at MnROAD.
- Geotechnical team – The team of experts develops long-term research test sections at MnRoad and prioritizes both short- and long-term research projects related to bound and unbound used for pavement construction. The area of research includes grading and base, full-depth reclamation, and cold-in-place recycling for new and rehabilitated pavements. The team is involved in technology transfer to partners.
- Intelligent construction technologies (ICT) team – The ICT team is responsible for the planning, design, construction, real-time quality control, and monitoring and management of infrastructure construction using innovative technologies. The team undertakes short- and long-term research and field evaluation of current and burgeoning technologies. Moreover, ICT collaborates with the industry to develop tools, technologies, and resources for implementation. The team is instrumental in the training of NRRA partners.
- Preventive maintenance team – It includes personnel with expertise in the maintenance of concrete and asphalt pavements. The team prioritizes research in the long and short term. Moreover, the team undertakes long-term research programs for the test section at MnROAD.

NRRA Membership

The NRRA has two levels of memberships: agency and associate memberships.

- Agency membership – This level of membership plays a central role in defining the objectives, goals, and the selection of research projects. The agency's annual membership fees depend on the size of state planning and research (SPR) funding of each state, where states with more SPR dollars than Minnesota are required to pay $150,000, otherwise $75,000. The state of Wyoming falls under the $75,000 funding level according to the 2018 SRR. The first phase of NRRA studies was expected to end by February 2021. A second pooled fund is expected to be created after the expiration of that phase.
- Associate membership – This membership consists of academic institutions, private companies, and associations interested in the development of research projects. Members provide input on research areas, advisory, and assistance roles to the project team on the selection and planning of research programs. The annual membership fee for this category is $2,000.

MnROAD Research Phases

Since its opening to traffic in 1994, MnROAD research has gone through three main phases as follows:

- Phase I (1994 – 2007): Concrete and asphalt design thicknesses.
- Phase II (2008 – 2015): Partnerships with government, academia, and industry.
- Phase III (2017 – present): NRRA teams.

Phase I

Phase I was implemented from 1994 to 2007 to focus on the structural performance of concrete and asphalt designs. This phase was funded mainly by MnDOT and LRRB for construction and operation. The first research phase has helped MnDOT enhance design policies that result in increased pavement life. Under these main objectives, several studies were considered, including seasonal load policies (winter and spring), mechanistic-empirical design (asphalt and concrete), asphalt binder grading, low-temperature cracking reduction, and improved pavement maintenance operations. It was found that the total benefits gained at MnROAD have led to annual savings for MnDOT of at least $33 million, representing a benefit-cost ratio (BCR) of 8.9 (*9*).

Phase II

The efforts in this phase centered on building partnerships with government, academia, and industry through MnDOT and the Transportation Engineering and Road Research Alliance (TERRA) to develop the needed support for building test cells, conducting research projects, and implementing findings (*10*, *12*). The priority of research and implementation activities was given to the development and calibration of a mechanistic-empirical design guide, implementation of innovative construction technology, improved preventive maintenance techniques, effective use of recycled materials, development and refinement of techniques for cost-effective pavement rehabilitation, understanding of pavement surface characteristics, and continued support of many non-pavement research areas. The annual benefits of the second phase were estimated to be more than $10 million, representing a BCR of 3.8 (*12*).

Phase III

The reconstruction of the third phase began in 2016 with a unique partnership with the National Center for Asphalt Technology (NCAT) test track. Eight test sections were constructed of flexible pavements as part of the national cracking performance test experiment (*10*). The partnership also advances national pavement preservation technology through the savings made from extended service life.
MnROAD has been a site for significant breakthroughs in pavement engineering (*13*). Implementation of MnROAD research findings has led to reduced cost and sustainable transportation infrastructure for state DOTs. (*14*). Research findings led to an increase in pavement performance and a reduction in maintenance costs, repairs, and delays (*12*). Overall, MnROAD benefits have outweighed the costs (*12*).

The National Center for Asphalt Technology (NCAT) Test Track

The NCAT test track was constructed in 2000 at Opelika, Alabama (*15*). It is a unique accelerated pavement testing facility that employs full-scale pavement construction with heavy traffic loadings traveling at highway speed for a comprehensive evaluation of asphalt pavements. It is sponsored and managed as a cooperative program (*7*). The facility was constructed at an estimated cost of $7.5 million in 2000 (*16*). The track is located about 20 miles from Auburn University, and the facility is operated and managed by NCAT (*15*). However, many states, academic, and industrial parties are among the sponsors of the research programs. The test track was built to develop and evaluate better ways to design and construct hot mix asphalt (HMA) pavements (*17*).

General Layout

As shown in Figure B.7, the NCAT test track is a 1.7-mile oval test track located on a 309-acre site in Lee County, Alabama. The test track consists of 46 test sections with an average length of 200 feet for each section (Figure 2.1). The test sections are set up with different materials and experimental conditions (*18*). The track consists of a curve section with a speed limit of 45 mph (*18*). There are 26 test sections on the two straight segments of the track, while the two curve sections have 10 experimental sections each. According to West et al. (2018), the test sections are sponsored on three-year cycles.



Figure B.7 Layout of the test track (*19*)

Test Sections

The experimental sections are a product of cooperative research funding by FHWA and state DOTs such as Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Missouri, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, and Tennessee (*15*). Research is conducted in a closed loop for every mix under similar environmental conditions and axle loadings. According to (*17*), the accelerated test track was developed to allow the testing of several sections concurrently and rapidly. As discussed in the meeting, the experimental test sections are constructed with local materials hauled from sponsors to maximize the applicability of results to the sponsors. In specific situations, the test section may be divided into two subsections.

Test Cycles of NCAT

According to West et al. (2018), test sections are sponsored on three-year cycles. Test cycles consist of three (3) main parts:

- The first part deals with building or replacing test sections, which takes almost six months.
- The second part involves loading the section, collecting field performance and response data, and laboratory testing of construction materials. The test section is loaded with a fleet of heavily loaded tractor-trailers to provide approximately 10 million 18,000-lb. equivalent single-axle loads (ESALs) within a two-year period.
- Finally, a forensic analysis of the damaged sections is undertaken to investigate the factors affecting the existence of distress.

The facility has gone through a number of test cycles (*7*).

- First cycle – The inaugural cycle began in 2000 and focused on surface mix performance with 46 test sections.
- Second cycle – The second cycle started in 2003 with 26 original test sections built in 2000. The objective was to continue monitoring the pavement performance through the second cycle. Fourteen test sections had new surface overlays while eight sections had new pavement structures

for evaluating the entire structure and not only the surface overlays. Strain gauges, pressure plates, and temperature probes were installed into the structural test sections to monitor pavement responses to the traffic and environment.

- Third cycle – The third cycle began in 2006 with 22 new test sections. The sections included 15 new surface mix performance sections, five new structural study sections, and two reconstructed sections.
- Fourth cycle – In 2009, the fourth cycle started and ended in 2012 with 25 new sections consisting of 12 mixed performance and 13 structural sections. It also included continued evaluation of existing test sections.
- Fifth cycle – The 2012 fifth cycle included new sections that focused on the use of recycled materials in pavements. The cycle also featured a study on pavement preservation.

State highway agencies (SHAs) used the research findings to improve material pavement designs, refined specifications, and cost-effective mixes. West et al. (2018) state that key findings of the research cycles are broadly classified into six areas: (1) mix design, (2) aggregate characteristics, (3) binder characteristics, (4) structural pavement design and analysis, (5) relationships between laboratory results and field performance, and (6) tire-pavement interaction.

Performance Monitoring

The NCAT testing track has a state-of-the-art laboratory and field-testing equipment for material testing, field testing, asphalt testing, and pavement forensic analysis as discussed during the meeting. Rutting and cracking are monitored weekly (*18*). Performance measurements such as friction, roughness, falling weight deflectometer, and densification are undertaken monthly (*17*).

The NCAT Organizational Structure

The NCAT organizational structure consists of a board of directors, steering committee, research faculty and engineers, and staff of the NCAT test track research. These organizations are further described in the following subsections.

Board of Directors

NCAT has a board of directors that includes members from the NAPA research and education foundation, Auburn University, and the asphalt pavement industry. The board guides strategic plans and policies.

Applications Steering Committee

This committee is represented by state DOTs, industry, and universities. Members meet twice a year to review the scientific and technical quality of NCAT research programs and reports findings to the board of directors.

Research Faculty and Engineers

The team comprises the following:

- NCAT director
- Director emeritus
- Five assistant research professors
- Four assistant research engineers
- Laboratory manager

- Senior engineer
- Test track manager
- Associate director and research professor
- Associate research professor, lead researcher
- Mechanical engineer
- Assistant director and research professor
- Training manager

Staff

The NCAT facility staff members are located either in the main building or the test track. The staff includes:

- A business manager
- A communications specialist
- Two administrative associates
- A financial assistant
- An office assistant
- A contracts and grants specialist
- Three laboratory technicians (two are at the NCAT main facility)
- Trucking coordinator
- Trucking supervisor
- Six drivers

Accelerated Pavement Testing (APT) Program at the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT)

The Accelerated Pavement Testing (APT) program in Florida commenced in October 2000. The accelerated loading is provided with the HVS Mark 4 model purchased in 1999. Subsequently, the HVS Mark 6 was also procured in 2017 for pavement research purposes. “The primary objective of FDOT’s APT program is to continuously improve the performance of Florida’s pavements” (*20*). The two facilities are owned and operated by FDOT and are sited within the State Materials Research (SMO) Park in Gainesville, Florida.

The HVS Program

The HVS program was used to investigate both HMA and Portland cement concrete (PCC) pavements. The construction of the test section employed field construction practices. The APT assets include:

- Dedicated test track
- Dedicated test pits designed with water table control capabilities within the base layers.
- State-of-the-art laboratory (asphalt and concrete)
- Two heavy vehicle simulators
    - HVS Mark 4 purchased in 1999
    - HVS Mark 6 purchased in 2017

The HVS test tracks consist of eight linear test sections built to simulate field construction practices. Five of the test tracks measure 450 ft × 12 ft while the remaining three test tracks measure approximately 150 ft × 12 ft.

The APT program has become a critical component of FDOT’s pavement research program and provided useful information for policy making (*13*). The State of Florida has saved over $35 million due to changes in pavement design methods and construction practices based on the findings of the APT program (*21*).

The Concrete Test Road

The concrete test road is a two-lane 2.5-mile experimental concrete road test that would be loaded with real-world traffic. The test road is constructed adjacent to the northbound section of US -301 in Clay County, Florida. Traffic will be diverted occasionally to the concrete test road and directed back to the existing asphalt surface US-301 for pavement performance monitoring. Construction and earthworks began in 2016 and it was expected to open the test track to traffic in 2023. The test road is about a 45-minute drive from the SMO in Gainesville, Florida. The site location was selected based on the following:

- Adequate truck volume (significant truck route connecting NE and SW Florida) similar to interstate traffic.
- Proximity to SMO (40 miles)
- Minimal driveways or side streets

Consortium of APTs in the U.S

The Consortium of Accelerated Pavement Testing (CAPT) is a group of full-scale APT facility owners and operators in the U.S. It seeks to generate coordinated impacts through full-scale APT. The CAPT mission is to share and develop best practices and collaborate in experimental design, data acquisition, data sharing, and validation of findings. CAPT's vision is that owners and operators will improve and economize their operations and accelerate the acceptance of pavement performance findings. Because the scopes and objectives of the various participants' programs vary significantly, 10 key emphases were developed by CAPT to balance and focus efforts. CAPT focuses its future efforts on the overall coordination of full-scale APT research in the U.S., including the day-to-day activities outlined as needs and gaps by the participants. CAPT seeks to provide more continuous attention in the form of a forum to discuss and improve relevant APT issues. The Consortium is operated under the Transportation Pooled Fund Program (TPF) (www.pooledfund.org) and sponsored by FHWA, TRB, and AASHTO. It enables technology transfer activities between several federal, state, regional, and local transportation agencies, academic institutions, foundations, or private firms to fund research as a pooled fund study. Areas that affect participant APT programs include the needs and gaps in instrumentation, common installation methods, data collection methods, and equipment and analysis of the data files.

References

1. Hugo, F., and A. E. Martin. *Significant Findings from Full-Scale Accelerated Pavement Testing*. Transportation Research Board, 2004.
2. TRB-AFD40. . . Full Scale / Accelerated Pavement Testing. *Florida HVS Program*. https://sites.google.com/site/afd40web/apt-conferences. Accessed Dec. 15, 2021.
3. FHWA. FHWA's InfoMaterials^{TM}: A New Web Portal on LTPP InfoPave^{TM}. *Asphalt Binder Tester*, 2021.
4. Abdallah, I., S. Nazarian, O. Melchor-Lucero, and C. Ferregut. "Validation of Remaining Life Models Using Texas Mobile Load Simulator." 1999.
5. Nantung, T., J. Lee, and Y. Tian. "Efficient Pavement Thickness Design for Indiana." 2018. https://doi.org/10.5703/1288284316649
6. Minnesota Department of Transportation. "About MnROAD." https://www.dot.state.mn.us/mnroad/. Accessed Jul. 3, 2022.
7. West, R., D. Timm, B. Powell, M. Heitzman, N. Tran, C. Rodezno, D. Watson, F. Leiva, A. Vargas, and R. Willis. *Phase V (2012-2014) NCAT Test Track Findings*. 2018.
8. Tompkins, D., and L. Khazanovich. "MnRoad Lessons Learned." 2007.
9. Worel, B. J., T. R. Clyne, and M. Jensen. "Economic Benefits Resulting from Road Research Performed at MnROAD." 2008.
10. Van Deusen, D. A., T. R. Burnham, S. Dai, J. Geib, C. Hanson, B. I. Izevbekhai, E. Johnson, L. Palek, J. A. Siekmeier, and M. C. Vrtis. *Report on 2017 MnROAD Construction Activities*. Minnesota. Dept. of Transportation. Research Services & Library, 2018.
11. MnROAD. Road Research. *Minnesota Department of Transportation.* https://www.dot.state.mn.us/mnroad/nrra/index.html. Accessed Jul. 3, 2022.
12. Worel, B. J., and D. Van Deuse. *Benefits of MnROAD Phase II Research*. Minnesota Department of Transportation, Research Services & Library, 2015.
13. Choubane, B., and J. Greene. "Accelerated Pavement Testing: Celebrating over 100 Years of Innovation and Economic Benefits." *Centennial Papers*, 2019.
14. Buzz Powell, R. "Development and Validation of a Nondestructive Methodology to Measure Subgrade Moisture Contents at the NCAT Pavement Test Track." In *The Roles of Accelerated Pavement Testing in Pavement Sustainability* (J. P. Aguiar-Moya, A. Vargas-Nordcbeck, F. Leiva-Villacorta, and L. G. Loría-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 621–632.
15. Timm, D., R. C. West, A. Priest, B. Powell, I. Selvaraj, J. Zhang, and R. Brown. *Phase II NCAT Test Track Results.* United States. Federal Highway Administration, 2006.
16. Mucha, M. "Summer Transportation Internship For Diverse Groups July 25, 2002." 2002.
17. Brown, E. R., L. A. Cooley, D. Hanson, C. Lynn, B. Powell, B. Prowell, and D. Watson. "NCAT Test Track Design, Construction, and Performance." 2002.
18. Brown, E. R., and R. B. Powell. "A General Overview of Research Efforts at the NCAT Pavement Test Track." 2001.
19. Brown, E. R., L. A. Cooley, D. Hanson, C. Lynn, B. Powell, B. Prowell, and D. Watson. "NCAT Test Track Design, Construction, and Performance." 2002.
20. Greene, J., B. Choubane, and N. M. Jackson. *Benefits Achieved from Florida's Accelerated Pavement Testing Program*. 2013.
21. Greene, J., and B. Choubane. "A Ten Year Review of Florida's Accelerated Pavement Testing Program." 2012.

APPENDIX B: REGIONAL STATE DOTS SURVEY



Regional Test Track Facility

1. Introduction

You are invited to take part in this survey conducted by the Wyoming Technology Transfer Center (WYT2/LTAP). This survey questionnaire is part of a research project sponsored by the Wyoming Department of Transportation (WYDOT) and the University of Wyoming.

The main objective of the survey is to reach out to all state DOTs and vital stakeholders in the dry-freeze climatic region. We are interested in each agency's experience and feedback on building and operating a proposed pavement testing facility on I-80 for the dry-freeze region. The survey consists of a series of questions to verify how the proposed testing track will serve the needs of transportation agencies in the region. The survey consists of various sections including the layout design, test sections, design of experiments, instrumentation, data collection, and research needs proposed for the regional testing facility.

The outcome of this survey will be disseminated to all dry-freeze states as a guidebook to help stakeholders evaluate the feasibility of constructing a regional pavement testing facility. Your cooperation in completely filling this survey is appreciated. The expected time to complete the survey is 20 minutes.

Thank you for your participation.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Fosu-Saah, Graduate Research Assistant

Marwan Hafez, Ph.D., P.E.

Khaled Ksaibati, Ph.D., P.E.

* 1. Please enter your contact information:

Name
Company
Address
Address 2
City/Town
State/Province -- select state --
ZIP/Postal Code
Country
Email Address
Phone Number



Regional Test Track Facility

2. Introduction

The Map below shows the Long-Term Pavement Perormance (LTPP) climatic zones of the United States (FHWA, 2014). Three main road tracks have been constructed for pavement research in three out of the four regions. No test tracks have been built in the dry-freeze region.

The following states fall within the dry-freeze zone:Alaska,Colorado, Idaho, Kansas, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Utah, Washington State and Wyoming.

2. In your opinion, how important is building a new road track testing facility for the dry-freeze zone?

○ Absolutely essential

○ Very important

○ Of average importance

○ Of little importance

○ Not important at all

3. Considering your agency's goals, which of the following benefits are expected to be achieved by operating a new road track testing facility on Interstate-80 (I-80) representing test sections in the dry- freeze region? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Calibration of the mechanistic empirical pavement design guide (MEPDG)

☐ Improvement in the structural design of asphalt and concrete pavements

☐ Improvement in asphalt and concrete materials selection

☐ Assessment of innovative materials and maintenance practices

☐ Enhancement in pavement management systems

☐ Development of future pavement engineers

☐ Advancement in construction practices

☐ Development of material specifications and guidelines

☐ Increase in practical training and professionalism for pavement engineers

☐ Increase regional coordination

☐ Improvement in determining the environmental impact on pavement performance

☐ Fulfill regional and national road research needs

Other (please specify)

3. Layout Design

The figure below shows a conceptual layout of the proposed testing facility on I-80 in Wyoming.



4. What is the recommended roadway length of the I-80 test track (in miles)?

○ 1-2 miles

○ 2.5-3.5 miles

○ 4-5 miles

○ 5.5-6.5 miles

○ 7-8 miles

Other (please specify)

5. Do you recommend adding a test track for low-volume road (LVR) research?

○ Yes

○ No



Regional Test Track Facility

4. Layout Design, continued

6. Traffic on the LVR will be provided by live traffic. In your state, low- volume paved roads are defined as roads carrying an Average Daily Traffic (ADT):

○ Less than 400 vehicles per day

○ Less than 500 vehicles per day

○ Less than 1000 vehicles per day

○ Less than 1500 vehicles per day

○ Less than 2000 vehicles per day

Other (please specify)

7. What is the recommended roadway length of the LVR test track (in miles)?

○ 1-2 miles

○ 2.5-3.5 miles

○ 4-5 miles

○ 5.5-6.5 miles

○ 7-8 miles

○ Other (Please specify)

Other (please specify)

8. Do you recommend constructing onsite building facilities to support the operation of the testing track?

○ Yes

○ No



Regional Test Track Facility

5. Layout design, continued

9. What type of supporting facilities are recommended? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Administrative building

☐ Pavement laboratory

☐ Maintenance garage

☐ Storage

☐ HMA mixing plant

☐ Concrete batching plant

☐ Weather station

☐ Weigh station

Other (please specify)

10. Do you recommend constructing bridges on the I-80 test track for monitoring and research?

○ Yes

○ No



Regional Test Track Facility

6. Layout Design, continued

11. What type of bridge do you recommend for research study at the regional test facility? (Please check all that apply)

☐ Reinforced concrete bridges

☐ Steel bridges

☐ Prestressed concrete bridges

☐ Composite bridges

☐ Other (please specify)

12. On average, what is the recommended span of the bridge for research at the proposed facility?

○ 14ft

○ 16ft

○ 18ft

○ 20ft

○ 24ft

○ 26ft

Other (please specify)

7. Materials

13. On the I-80 test track, what type of pavement is recommended for testing at the regional test facility?

☐ Asphalt flexible pavement

☐ Concrete rigid pavement

☐ Composite pavement

Other (please specify)

☐

The figure below shows standard layer systems for flexible pavement.



14. Which type of flexible pavement layer system is most common in your state?

☐ Conventional flexible

☐ Deep strength

☐ Full depth

☐ Semi-rigid with asphalt treated base

☐ Semi-rigid with cement treated base

☐ Inverted section

Other (please specify)

☐



15. Considering your agency's needs, which layer system is of utmost importance for testing flexible pavement sections on the I-80 test track.

○ Conventional flexible

○ Deep strength

○ Full depth

○ Semi-rigid with asphalt treated base

○ Semi-rigid with cement treated base

○ Inverted section

Other (please specify)

8. Materials, continued

16. Considering your agency's needs, which layer system is of utmost importance for testing flexible pavement sections on the LVR (if recommended for testing)?

○ Conventional flexible

○ Deep strength

○ Full depth

○ Semi-rigid with asphalt treated base

○ Semi-rigid with cement treated base

○ Inverted section

Other (please specify)

17. Which type of asphalt paving surface is most common in your state?

☐ Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA)

☐ Warm Mix Asphalt (WMA)

☐ Cold Mix Asphalt (CMA)

☐ Bituminous surface treatment

Other (please specify)

18. Considering your agency's needs, which of the asphalt paving surface type is of primary importance for testing flexible pavement sections on the I-80 test track.

○ Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA)

○ Warm Mix Asphalt (WMA)

○ Cold Mix Asphalt (CMA)

○ Bituminous surface treatment

Other (please specify)

Regional Test Track Facility

9. Materials, continued

The figure below shows standard layer systems of rigid pavement.



19. Considering your agency's needs, please specify the layer system of utmost importance for testing rigid pavement sections on the I-80 test track?

	Slab	Base	Subbase
Layer system 1			
Layer system 2			
Layer system 3			
Layer system 4			
Layer system 5			

Other (please specify)



Regional Test Track Facility

10. Sensors & instrumentation

20. Do you recommend adding specific sensors for data collection?

○ Yes

○ No

○ Don't know



Regional Test Track Facility

11. Sensors & instrumentation

21. Based on your experience, what are the types of dynamic sensors recommended for the proposed test track?

☐ Don't know

☐ Bituminous strain gauge

☐ Steel strain gauges

☐ Dynamic soil pressure cells

☐ Linear Variable Differential Transducer (LVDT)

☐ Concrete embedment strain gauge

☐ Vibrating wire strain gauge

☐ Piezo-Accelerometer (PA)

☐ Longitudinal embedment strain gauges

☐ Transverse embedment strain gauges

Other (please specify)

22. Based on your experience, what are the types of environmental sensors recommended for the proposed test track?

☐ Horizontal clip gauge
☐ Moisture gauge
☐ Temperature gauge
☐ Thermocouple
☐ Resistivity Probe
☐ Tipping bucket
☐ Vibrating wires
☐ Static pore water pressure cells
☐ Static soil pressure cells
☐ Static lateral pressure cells

Other (please specify)

Regional Test Track Facility

12. **Data Collection**

Traffic data

23. Do you recommend collecting traffic data on the I-80 test track of the testing facility?

○ Yes
○ No
○ Don't know

13. **Data collection, traffic data, continued**

24. What types of traffic data are recommended to collect at the test sections?

☐ Average Daily Traffic (ADT)

☐ Average Daily Truck Traffic (ADTT)

☐ Weigh in Motion (WIM)

Other (please specify)

25. Are you aware of any innovative technique which might be effective when collecting traffic data?

○ No

○ Yes

Other (please specify)



Regional Test Track Facility

14. **Data Collection, continued**

Data of Test sections

26. Which of the following indices are recommended to summarize pavement conditions?

☐ IRI- International Roughness Index

☐ PSI- Present Serviceability Index

☐ PCI- Pavement Condition Index

☐ RUT – Rut depth

☐ DI – Distress Index

☐ OPI – Overall Pavement Index

☐ SR – Surface Rating

☐ PQI – Pavement Quality Index

☐ PSC – Pavement Structural Condition

☐ PSR – Present Serviceability Rating

☐ CRS – Condition Rating Survey

☐ PASER – Pavement Surface Evaluation Rating

Other (please specify)



Regional Test Track Facility

15. Data Collection, Data of Test Sections, continued

Environmental Data

27. Which of the following environmental aspects are recommended to measure for the proposed test track?

☐ Temperature
☐ Rainfall
☐ Snow
☐ Moisture
☐ Relative Humidity
☐ Wind velocity
☐ Noise

Other (please specify)

28. What is the recommended method of data sharing for the proposed testing facility?

☐ WyDOT website
☐ Dedicated facility website
☐ Offline data
☐ Spread sheet
☐ PDF
☐ Microsoft Access format
☐ Oracle format
☐ Geographical Information System (GIS) database
☐ Newsletters

Other (please specify)

29. On average, how often should pavement response/condition data be collected at the facility?

☐ Daily

☐ Weekly

☐ Bi-weekly

☐ Monthly

Other (please specify)

Regional Test Track Facility

16. Data Collection, Data of Test Sections, continued

Environmental Data,continued

30. On average, how often should pavement response/condition data be collected at the facility?

☐ Daily

☐ Weekly

☐ Bi-weekly

☐ Monthly

Other (please specify)

31. On average, how often should environmental data be collected at the facility?

☐ Daily

☐ Weekly

☐ Bi-weekly

☐ Monthly

Other (please specify)

17. **Research needs**

Considering your agency's needs, what are the main research topics for flexible pavement?

32. Design of flexible pavements?

☐ Calibrating mechanistic-empirical calibration of the flexible pavement design

☐ Evaluating flexible pavement structural models

☐ Validating flexible pavement structural response models

☐ Developing software for pavement response simulation and modeling

☐ Longitudinal joint construction performance

☐ Don't know

33. Materials?

☐ Investigation of the low temperature fracture properties of asphalt mixtures

☐ Low temperature cracking of asphalt flexible pavements

☐ Long-term aging of asphalt mixtures

☐ Effective use of tack coats

☐ Characterizing seasonal variations in pavement material properties for use in a mechanistic-empirical design procedure

☐ Relationships between laboratory measured characteristics of HMA and field compactability.

☐ Environmental impacts on the performance of pavement upper layers

☐ Evaluate warm mix asphalt

☐ Evaluate cold mix asphalt

☐ Surface characteristics of HMA

☐ Laboratory and field characterization of warm asphalt mixtures with high reclaimed asphalt pavement contents.

☐ Field evaluation of HMA with coal ash.

☐ Effect of flat and elongated aggregate on stone matrix asphalt performance

☐ Utilization of reclaimed asphalt pavement and recycled asphalt shingles in asphalt pavements

☐ Evaluate permeable HMA.

☐ Improve material inputs into mechanistic design properties for reclaimed HMA roadways

☐ Don't know



34. Maintenance of flexible pavements?

☐ Developing best practices for rehabilitation of concrete with hot mix asphalt (HMA) overlays related to density and reflective cracking

☐ Edge-joint sealing as a preventative maintenance practice

☐ Optimal timing of preventative maintenance for HMA pavements

☐ Crack sealing HMA pavement

☐ High friction surface treatments

☐ HMA chip seals

☐ HMA micro surfacing

35. Other research need?

18. **Research needs, continued**

Considering your agency's needs, what are the main research topics for rigid pavement?

36. Design?

☐ Mechanistic-empirical evaluation of rigid pavements

☐ Calibration of rigid pavement structural model

☐ Seasonal load response behavior of a thin PCC pavement.

☐ High performance PCC design (60-year)

☐ Don't know

37. Materials?

☐ Optimizing concrete mix components

☐ Compacted concrete

☐ Fiber reinforced Concrete

☐ Pervious concrete pavement and overlays

☐ Don't know

38. Maintenance?

☐ Performance of concrete overlays over full depth reclamation (FDR)

☐ Repair of joint associated distress pavements

☐ Performance benefits of fiber-reinforced thin concrete pavement and overlays

☐ Concrete pavement restoration (CPR) for bonded concrete overlays of asphalt

☐ Surface characteristics of diamond ground PCC surfaces

☐ Patching materials for partial depth repairs of concrete pavements

☐ PCC sealants

☐ Environmental impacts on the performance of pavement foundation layers

☐ Don't know

39. Other research need?

19. **Research needs, continued**

40. Which category of pavement maintenance strategy should be highly prioritized for testing at the facility?

	General Maintenance (e.g. crack sealing, patching, etc.)	Pavement rehabilitation (e.g. medium overlay, thick overlay, etc.)	Preventive maintenance (e.g. chip seal, thin overlay, etc.)	Reconstruction
1	○	○	○	○
2	○	○	○	○
3	○	○	○	○
4	○	○	○	○

Other (please specify)

41. Considering your agency's needs, what are the main research topics for low-volume roads?

- ☐ Best Practices for the Design and Construction of Low-Volume Roads
- ☐ In-place recycling
- ☐ Timing of preventive maintenance
- ☐ Investigate thin overlays on LVR
- ☐ Investigate low temperature cracking on LVR
- ☐ Investigate rut performance of LVR
- ☐ Longitudinal joint construction performance
- ☐ Pavement Preservation Approaches for Lightly Surfaced Roadways
- ☐ Other (please specify)



42. Considering your agency's needs, what are the main research topics for bridge structures?

☐ Causes of deterioration and strain

☐ Corrective actions and maintenance

☐ Evaluate the cost effectiveness of using real-time monitoring sensors and Unmanned aerial systems (UASs)

☐ Other (please specify)



Regional Test Track Facility

20. **Research needs, continued**

43. Considering your agency's needs, what are the main research topics for low-volume roads?

☐ Best Practices for the Design and Construction of Low-Volume Roads
☐ In-place recycling
☐ Timing of preventive maintenance
☐ Investigate thin overlays on LVR
☐ Investigate low temperature cracking on LVR
☐ Investigate rut performance of LVR
☐ Longitudinal joint construction performance
☐ Pavement Preservation Approaches for Lightly Surfaced Roadways
☐ Other (please specify)

44. Considering your agency's needs, what are the main research topics for bridge structures?

☐ Causes of deterioration and strain
☐ Corrective actions and maintenance
☐ Evaluate the cost effectiveness of using real-time monitoring sensors and Unmanned aerial systems (UASs)
☐ Other (please specify)

45. Would your agency be interested in geotechnical research at the regional test track facility?

○ Yes
○ No
○ Maybe



Regional Test Track Facility

21. Research needs, continued

46. Which of the following research areas in geotechnical engineering would be of interest to your agency?

☐ Intelligent compaction
☐ Recycled aggregate bases
☐ Subgrade design for roadways
☐ Moisture retention characteristics of base and sub-base materials.
☐ Other (please specify)

47. Do you recommend testing other transportation infrastructure at the facility?

○ Yes
○ No
○ Maybe

Regional Test Track Facility

22. Research need, continued

48. What should be the research focus on other transportation infrastructures at the facility?

☐ Pavement noise
☐ Traffic sign and markings retroreflectivity
☐ Evaluating the effectiveness and performance of road safety features.
☐ Intelligent compaction and advanced technology
☐ Connected vehicles technology and crash avoidance
☐ Assessing smart infrastructure systems
☐ Other (please specify)

Regional Test Track Facility

23. **Participation and sponsorship in a pooled fund study**

49. Would your state be interested in participating in a future pooled fund study to build the testing facility in Phase II? Those states participating in the study will have an advisory board seat. This advisory board will determine all future research studies at the proposed facility?

○ Yes

○ No

○ Maybe

50. An advisory board will be constituted to have oversight on the pool fund study. Will your state/agency be interested in taking a seat on the advisory board?

○ Yes

○ No

○ Maybe

51. Would your state/agency be interested joining the Technical teams that will be constituted during the research operations at the facility?

○ Yes

○ No

○ Maybe



Regional Test Track Facility

24. **Participation and sponsorship in pooled fund study, continued**

52. Do you intend to provide any form of sponsorship towards the building the test track?

○ Yes

○ No

○ Maybe

53. If you are considering sponsoring this partnership, how would you support the building/operating the regional testing facility?

☐ Construction funding

☐ Research sponsorship

☐ Technical support

☐ Sensors and equipment support

Other (please specify)

54. Do you recommend that the regional facility partners with MnROAD and NCAT in the future to advance research and nationwide implementation?

○ Yes

○ No

○ Maybe



Regional Test Track Facility

25. **Participation and sponsorship in a pooled fund study, continued**

55. Would you like to get a copy of the results of the survey?

○ Yes

○ No

If you have any questions about this survey, please contact:

Dr. Khaled Ksaibati
Director, Wyoming Technology Transfer Center
Professor of Civil Engineering
University of Wyoming
e-mail: khaled@uwyo.edu
Office Phone: (307) 766-6230
Fax Number: (307) 766-6784

To submit please hit the "Done" button. You will not be able to edit your responses once submitted.

Thank you for your participation.

APPENDIX C: INDUSTRY AND ASSOCIATION SURVEY



Regional Test Track Facility (Industry Participation)

13. **Commercial evaluations**

Novel products and technologies in rigid, flexible, and composite pavements can be evaluated effectively at the proposed testing facility using a real-life testing environment. The test track will provide unique opportunities for industrial partners to evaluate and market new cost-effective technologies. The outcome from sponsoring different research activities will accelerate the implementation of these technologies in the pavement industry.

19. Do you have any new technology that would provide cost-effective solutions for challenges in the pavement industry?

○ Yes

○ No

20. Which of the following highway infrastructure technology is your agency's/ association's interest for commercial evaluation?

☐ Flexible pavement technology

☐ Rigid pavement technology

☐ Bridge technology

☐ Other transportation-related technology

Other (please specify)

Based on your previous selection(s), which of the following technologies would be your interest for commercial evaluation on the test track?
Please specify the new technology.

21. Asphalt Products

☐ Not applicable

☐ Bitumen alternatives

☐ Alternative non- bituminous binders

☐ High modulus asphalts

☐ Warm mix asphalt additives

☐ Asphalt modifiers

☐ Polymer modified bitumen

☐ Asphalt rejuvenators

☐ Asphalt emulsifiers

☐ Bio-polymers in hot mix asphalt

☐ Moisture anti-strip additives

Other (please specify)

22. Asphalt Technology

☐ Not applicable

☐ Cold mix asphalt (CMA)

☐ Warm mix asphalt (WMA)

☐ Hot mix asphalt (HMA)

☐ Reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP) in HMA

☐ Recycled asphalt shingles (RAS) in HMA

☐ Ground tire rubber (GTR) in HMA

☐ Fiber reinforced bituminous mix (FRBM)

☐ Foamed bituminous mix (FBM)

☐ Permeable HMA

☐ Rejuvenating asphalt emulsion in cold-in-place recycling

Other (please specify)

Regional Test Track Facility (Industry Participation)

15. **Commercial evaluations, continued**

Please specify the new technology.

23. Concrete products

☐ Not applicable

☐ Alternative cementitious materials

☐ Concrete additives

☐ Admixtures

☐ Fiber reinforcement in concrete pavements

☐ Supplementary cementitious Materials (Rice Husk ash, Sugarcane ash, slag, etc.)

Other (please specify)

24. Concrete Technology

☐ Not applicable

☐ Pervious concrete

☐ Cold weather concreting

☐ Shrinkage compensating concrete

☐ Polymer concrete

☐ Nanotechnology in concrete

☐ Roller compacted concrete

☐ Structural lightweight concrete

☐ High workability concrete

☐ High performance concrete

☐ Concrete curing

Other (please specify)

Regional Test Track Facility (Industry Participation)

16. **Commercial evaluation, continued**

Please specify the new technology.

25. Aggregates

☐ Not applicable

☐ Recycled concrete aggregates

☐ Alternative aggregates

☐ Manufactured aggregates

☐ High strength aggregates

☐ Tire derived aggregates

☐ Light weight aggregates

Other (please specify)

26. Base/Subbase Materials

☐ Not applicable

☐ Stabilization with polymers

☐ Geogrids and fabrics for stabilization

☐ Geotextiles and geomembranes

☐ Chemical stabilization

Other (please specify)

27. Pavement preservation

☐ Not applicable

☐ Crack sealants

☐ Concrete cold patch

☐ Cold-in-place recycling

☐ Ultraseal products

☐ Bio-sealants

☐ Bio-materials maintenance treatments

☐ RAP in chip seals

☐ Low noise diamond grinding

☐ Fiber-reinforced thin concrete pavement

☐ High friction surface treatments (HFST)

Other (please specify)

17. Commercial evaluation, continued

Please specify the new technology.

28. Paving technology

☐ Not applicable

☐ Asphalt temperature measurement and mapping

☐ Intelligent compaction

☐ 3D paving

☐ Paver mounted thermal profile (PMTP)

☐ Computerized truck mounted spray systems

☐ Joint spray systems

☐ Concrete pumping aids

☐ Interlocking concrete pavements

Other (please specify)

29. Testing Devices

☐ Not applicable

☐ Nondestructive testing of pavements

☐ Nondestructive testing of bridges

☐ Construction material evaluation

☐ Pavement condition evaluation

☐ Bridge condition evaluation

☐ Retro reflectivity measurements

☐ Petrography

☐ Geotechnical

☐ Real-time monitoring sensors and Unmanned aerial systems (UASs)

Other (please specify)

30. Bridge technology

☐ Not applicable

☐ Geosynthetic reinforced soil- integrated bridge system (GRS-IBS)

☐ Prefabricated bridge elements and systems

☐ Slide-bridge construction

☐ Ultra-high-performance concrete (UHPC) connections for prefabricated bridge elements

☐ Advanced geotechnical explorative techniques

☐ Corrosive resistant reinforcements

☐ Smart bridge sensor technologies

☐ Bridge preservation strategies

Other (please specify)

31. Other Transportation Technologies

☐ Not applicable

☐ Traffic sign and markings retroreflectivity

☐ Adaptive signal control technology

☐ Road safety features (attenuators, crash barriers)

☐ Connected vehicles technology

☐ Crash avoidance technologies

☐ Smart infrastructure systems

☐ Autonomous vehicles technologies

☐ Smart work zones

Other (please specify)

32. Other technologies?



Regional Test Track Facility (Industry Participation)

19. **Commercial evaluation, continued**

Based on your previous selection(s), what are the expected benefits of these innovations for the pavement industry?

33. Cost -effectiveness

☐ Reduce construction cost

☐ Lower plant production cost due to reduced fuel usage at lower temperatures

☐ Reduces life cycle cost

☐ Increase haul distance and production window

☐ Minimize traffic disruption and delays

☐ Meet compaction specification faster, uniformly and with fewer passes

☐ Shortens construction schedule

Other (please specify)

34. Environmental friendliness

☐ Conserve natural aggregates

☐ Reduce environmental impact

☐ Environmental friendliness

Other (please specify)

35. Performance

☐ Good resistance to aging, fatigue cracking, moisture damage, bleeding, reflection cracking

☐ Increases compressive strength

☐ Improve cracking resistance

☐ Improve concrete durability

☐ Enhances pavement performance

☐ Increase structural capacity

Other (please specify)

Regional Test Track Facility (Industry Participation)

20. **Commercial evaluation, continued**

Please specify the expected benefits of these innovations for the pavement industry.

36. Construction

☐ Eliminate string lines

☐ Achieve the highest accuracy and smoothness levels

☐ Early opening to traffic

☐ Less hazardous construction process

☐ All weather (wet surfaces, rainy seasons, cold)

☐ No heating required and no oxidation hardening

☐ Improve workability

☐ Reduce construction time

Other (please specify)

37. Maintenance

☐ Support heavy loads
☐ Durable and extends service life
☐ Increases overlay life
☐ Easy to install and opened to traffic within shorter period
☐ Saves time and money
☐ Minimizes moisture penetration
☐ Minimizes chlorides and other harmful material penetration

Other (please specify)

38. Transportation safety

☐ Reduce road crashes
☐ Reduce road fatalities and injuries
☐ Restore pavement friction

Other (please specify)

39. Other (Please specify other expected benefits)

Regional Test Track Facility (Industry Participation)

21. **Participation and sponsorship in a pooled fund study**

40. Would your firm/association be interested in participating in pooled fund study to build the testing facility in Phase I? Those states and agencies participating in the study will have an advisory board seat. This advisory board will determine all future research studies at the proposed facility.

○ Yes
○ No
○ Maybe



41. Would your firm/association be interested in participating in a future pooled fund study to build the testing facility in Phase II? States and agencies participating in the study will have an advisory board seat. This advisory board will determine all future research studies at the proposed facility.

○ Yes

○ No

○ Maybe

42. Would your firm/association be interested in joining a Road Research Alliance to develop and define Phase I and II research studies ?

○ Yes

○ No

○ Maybe

43. An advisory board will be constituted to have oversight on the pool fund study. Will your state/agency be interested in taking a seat on the advisory board?

○ Yes

○ No

○ Maybe

44. Would your agency/firm be interested in joining the technical subcommittees that will be constituted for research operations at the facility?

○ Yes

○ No

○ Maybe

Regional Test Track Facility (Industry Participation)

22. **Participation and sponsorship in pooled fund study, continued**

45. Do you intend to provide any form of sponsorship towards the building the test track?

○ Yes

○ No

○ Maybe



46. If you are considering sponsoring this partnership, how would you support the building/operating the regional testing facility?

☐ Commercial evaluation research
☐ Construction funding
☐ Financial support
☐ Training support
☐ Materials support
☐ Technical support
☐ Support operations at the facility
☐ Sensors and equipment support
☐ Promote the interests and needs of the facility at the national level

Other (please specify)

47. Do you recommend that the regional facility partners with MnROAD and NCAT in the future to advance research and nationwide implementation?

○ Yes
○ No
○ Maybe

Regional Test Track Facility (Industry Participation)

23. **Participation and sponsorship in a pooled fund study, continued**

48. Would you like to get a copy of the results of the survey?

○ Yes
○ No

APPENDIX D: PAVEMENT RESEARCH NEEDS AND TEXT DATA MINING

Introduction

Pavements are a significant infrastructure component of a nation's transportation system. However, many countries around the world are faced with aging highways and airport pavements. Due to global economic challenges, these assets have not been maintained at optimum levels. For instance, in the U.S., there is a nationwide road maintenance backlog of $435 billion (*1*), which keeps rising. Highway agencies are confronted with an ever-increasing challenge to find cost-effective ways to maintain these infrastructures at optimum levels within their maintenance budget constraints. Engineers had to explore better ways to understand pavement mechanics and identify new types of pavement structures, innovative materials, and construction methods to improve the state of the practice. This issue led to the development of accelerated pavement testing (APT) facilities to bridge the gap between laboratory test characterization and full-scale long-term performance monitoring (*2*). APTs have gained popularity in recent years due to several associated advantages, including better simulating of long-term and in-service conditions in a short period (*3*) and the ability to monitor and measure pavement responses cost-effectively with minimal risks (*2*). According to Powell (*4*), APTs have been used to fill the gap when significant changes in either vehicle or pavement technology have exposed inadequacies in the current state of practice. It is a reliable method of studying pavements (*5*) and has produced findings that formed most theories about pavements and design methods (*6*). Hugo and Martin define APT as "… the controlled application of wheel loading to pavement structures for the purpose of simulating the effects of long-term in-service loading conditions in a compressed time period" (*7*).

APTs include several forms of traffic loading. Some APT facilities are developed along a predefined testing lane and with a fixed vehicle simulator, while other APTs can be established along existing highways where sufficient traffic loadings exist. APTs have been instrumental in advancing pavement technology for decades since the establishment of the AASHO Road Test in the 1950s (*2*). The objective of this study is to review the contributions of APT applications to the advancement of the pavement industry. In addition, text mining is applied to identify research studies presented at APT conferences held between 1999 and 2021. This technique has previously been used for analyzing trends of the 6th APT conference proceedings. This study identifies research trends over all the APT conferences using the title and abstract of the articles and offering a more detailed analysis.

Review of APT Applications in Pavement Engineering Research

There have been numerous studies assessing the performance of pavement structures using APT techniques over the years. They have been used to (1) monitor and record important information and analyze the different factors that interact with the pavement, (2) investigate the quantitative effects of loadings and the environment on fatigue performance and layer deformations, (3) establish a reliable database to calibrate pavement design methods (*8*). Past experiences with APT facilities across the world are categorized into the following groups: (1) specification development and policymaking, (2) pavement design, (3) pavement responses, (4) pavement models, (5) preservation and rehabilitation, (6) construction practices, (7) material characterization and testing, and (8) innovative materials and technologies. The subsequent subsections present an overview of the APT applications in terms of the categories mentioned above. The aim of this review is not to provide details of the research components for the implemented studies. Instead, the study summarizes the main features of such applications to provide a comprehensive literature review for readers who can refer to the references provided for more details of the findings.

Design Specification Development and Policy Making

State highway agencies have made informed policy decisions based on research findings on pavement design, materials, maintenance, and rehabilitation strategies using APT facilities. Such results have proven to be serviceable and cost-effective under real-world conditions. Thus, they play a significant role in supporting highway planning, policy, and decision-making (*6*, *9*). APTs have equipped pavement engineers with a better understanding of the performance of novel asphalt mixtures like heavy polymer-modified asphalt binder (*10*), coarse and fine-graded asphalt mixtures (*11*, *12*), stone-matrix asphalt (*9*, *13*), open-graded friction courses (OGFC) containing hard limestone (*9*), and crumb rubber and polymer modified asphalt (*14*) overlays. The APT findings have facilitated the revision of design manuals and specifications for many highway agencies. In addition, based on the excellent rutting performance of Superpave mixtures evaluated with APT, some agencies decided to implement the Superpave mix design method (*9*, *14*). A framework for the statewide implementation of warm mix asphalt (WMA) technology in California was developed based on findings from a heavy vehicle simulator (HVS) set in the APT (*2*). Consequently, states realized savings from integrating the WMA technology (*9*, *12*, *13*). APT has also proven to be an effective way to develop and revise the specifications for aggregate requirements for asphalt mixes and the use of crushed concrete or recycled asphalt pavement (RAP) in base layers (*15*). The pavement design with cement-treated crushed rock and slag bases was revised based on the Australian Accelerated Loading Facility (ALF) (*16*). Similarly, other researchers used APT facilities to modify the asphalt layer coefficient for the AASHTO design method, including the West et al. study (*12*). Levenberg (*17*) used the Purdue APT facility to evaluate the risk associated with low-air voids in asphalt mixes and develop a decision-making tool for placing asphalt mixtures with low-air voids. The understanding of pavement deformation behavior and failure mechanism was gained through APT studies incorporated in enhancing design specifications of flexible pavement (*14*, *18*). Test cells were sponsored to support the development of design and construction guidelines for thermally insulated concrete (*15*) and bonded concrete overlays of asphalt for rehabilitation (*19*). Another important application of APT is studying the freezing and thawing conditions in cold regions, which affect pavement response to loading (*20*). The spring thaw period presents a drastic reduction in bearing capacity and an increase in permanent deformation and fatigue damage under traffic loading (*21*). Consequently, highway agencies enforce load restrictions during spring thaw seasons to minimize the effects of thawing on the pavement. These load restrictions have an impact on freight transportation operations and businesses. Therefore, the pressure from freight transporters to increase allowable load limits led to various studies using APTs to make informed decisions on applying load restrictions (*20*, *22*, *23*). Reforms are made based on the APT findings to improve load restriction decisions and efficiencies in freight transportation operations (*22*) and pavement life-cycle costs (*23*). The relevance of structural designs to pavement engineering became the primary focus of several APT programs (*7*). All in all, several contributions were documented from APT research to refine the following:

- Mechanistic design procedures for unbounded concrete overlays (*9*)
- Fully permeable pavements (*24*)
- Perpetual pavements design criteria (*25*)
- Improvement in the fatigue design of low-noise surfaces (*26*)
- Airport pavement design procedure (*27*)

Pavement Structural Performance

APTs have been used to evaluate pavement performances by studying their responses (*28*). Several researchers used an APT facility to conduct studies to monitor the performance of both cracking (*15*, *29*) and rutting (*30*, *31*). Other applications include the analysis of the performance of perpetual pavements (*28*, *32*), thin asphalt concrete wearing courses (*33*), thin jointed concrete pavements (*34*), asphalt-rubber, and conventional overlays (*35*, *36*). Through the APT design experiments, researchers have demonstrated the excellent performance and applicability of Superpave mixes (*30*), asphalt mixtures containing river sands (*37*), higher asphalt contents (*13*), and asphalt mixes containing highly modified asphalt binders (*28*). Moreover, several studies were initiated to study tire-pavement interactions using accelerated means, including the impact of wide-base tires (*38*), tire type effect (*39*), and flexible airfield pavements under heavy aircraft loading (HVS-Airfields Mark VI) with high tire pressures (*40*). Other APT studies focused on tire-pavement noise and pavement surface characteristics (*41*), frictional properties, texture configurations, durability, ride quality, acoustic impedance, and HMA and PCC surfaces (*42*). The objectives of other APT studies were to determine environmental effects on dowelled and un-dowelled Portland cement concrete (PCC) slabs (*43*), increase the resistance of bitumen-stabilized materials to moisture damage (*44*), monitor the effects of aging and healing on top-down cracking (*45*), determine the impact of moisture (*46*), and thawing conditions (*47*) on pavement response and performance. Some engineers used APTs to understand the failure mechanisms in rubblized concrete pavements with HMA (*48*), the high-temperature deformations (*5*), and the deterioration of PCC airfield pavements (*49*). Accelerated testing was further used to establish the relationship between different levels of dynamic loading and pavement performance (*50*), such as the effects of various multiple axle combinations on bituminous pavements (*28*) and multi-wheel loading gear configuration on loading-induced failure potential of flexible airfield pavements (*51*).

Pavement Models and Performance Prediction

APTs bridge the gap between laboratory-based mechanistic models and full-scale long-term performance evaluation. They provide validation and calibration of mechanistic models developed based on hypotheses and assumptions (*2*). Contributions of APTs in pavement modeling are provided in Figure D.1. Details of the findings in pavement modeling are published elsewhere (*12*, *24*, *32*, *52–65*).

Preservation and Rehabilitation

According to the literature, the benefit-cost ratio of timely pavement preservation to roads can be estimated as high as 10:1 (*66*). Considering the quantum of maintenance backlogs and a limited budget for maintenance, engineers are using the opportunities presented at APT facilities to evaluate pavement preservation treatments, especially on testing tracks. In 2015, for instance, the Minnesota Road Research Project (MnROAD) and the National Center for Asphalt Technology (NCAT) partnered to advance national pavement preservation studies (*67*).



Figure D.1 APT contributions to pavement modeling

Research in pavement preservation using APT includes chip sealing, micro-surfacing, crack sealing, thin overlays (*3*, *9*), unbonded concrete overlays (*42*), high polymer inlays (*4*, *9*), high precision diamond grinding (*13*), slurry seals (*23*), overlays (*68*), full-depth reclamations strategies (*69*), and white topping for rehabilitation (*53*). Other test sections in the Florida HVS facility featured studies on the early strength requirement of slab replacement concrete (*23*) and the effectiveness of using epoxy-coated steel and fiber-reinforced polymer dowels in PCCP joint repairs (*70*).

Construction Practices

Several studies are underway at test track facilities like MnROAD to promote intelligent compaction (IC). The findings have led to the development and implementation of pilot specifications of IC technology (*71*). Benefits of IC include improved construction quality, reduced compaction cost, reduced life-cycle cost, and integration of design with construction and pavement performance (*72*). Other related studies have evaluated the lowest air void limits of HMA and RAP as granular base course material (*32*). Other studies evaluated the effects of constructing granular layers at different densities (*73*). The loss of bonding between layers can affect pavement performance and life. Several studies have been sponsored on APTs to focus on interface bonding (*36*, *74*), optimum tack rate for HMA overlay interface bonding (*75*), and the performance of asphalt layers with or without a tack coat (*36*). Regarding traffic opening criteria for concrete pavements, a study was able to find the affecting factors on traffic opening using the APT facility of the Indiana Department of Transportation Research Division (*76*).

Material Characterization and Testing

The engineering properties of materials are essential for pavement design and performance. Knowledge of material behavior is critical to understanding the performance of pavements as freight transportation increases (*77*). Through APT testing experiments, researchers have investigated the different types of shear transfer devices for jointed plain concrete pavement (JPCP) (*70*), stainless steel hollow tube dowels (*78*), bearing capacity of pavements (*79*), the nonlinearity of granular materials and soils (*24*), and the strength modulus and fatigue properties of cemented pavement materials (*77*). They were also used to determine the structural coefficients of cold central-plant recycling asphalt mixtures (*80*), structural coefficients of the OGFC layer (*81*), and the optimum properties of geocell reinforcement for building sustainable low-volume paved roads (*28*).

Innovative Materials and Sustainable Technologies

The APT testing method is a practical approach to evaluating new pavement materials and structures before implementation in the field (*82*). Several studies have used APTs to promote the implementation of WMA technologies and pervious concrete (*29*, *42*), thermally insulated concrete pavements (*42*), RAP (*9*, *14*), stone matrix asphalt (*14*), stress-absorbing membrane interlayers (*83*), ground tire rubber to substitute styrene-butadiene-styrene (SBS) (*84*), polyphosphoric acid modified asphalt (*42*), and rubber modified binders (*60*) in HMA. Likewise, the evaluation of alternative binders like Trinidad Lake asphalt and Thiopave pellets for use in asphalt mixtures (*12*) under long-term monitoring was made possible by APT facilities. In addition, the APT facilities monitored the effect of geocomposite reinforcement on pavement performance (*85*). Other APT contributions to promote sustainable pavements include cold central-plant recycling with 100% RAP and foamed asphalt as a recycling agent (*80*), RAP in unbound granular layers (*74*), bio-materials with RAP (*86*), and crumb rubber modifier binders (*87*). With regard to subgrade and base stabilization, APT test sections evaluated the performance of geocell reinforcement (*60*) and nano-silane-modified emulsion (*88*), foamed bitumen (*89*), stabilized base materials, and the performance of foam bitumen stabilized aggregates (*89*). Other APT-related studies evaluated the technologies of rigid pavements, including fiber-reinforced roller-compacted concrete with RAP (*90*), roller-compacted concrete (*42*, *91*), high-performance concrete pavement (*42*), recycled crushed concrete (*57*), permeable and skeletal soil block pavement systems (*92*), and evaluation of crack attenuating mix on slab concrete pavement (*32*). The research was undertaken with APT facilities to investigate the feasibility of using taconite aggregates (*42*) and limestone and gravel blend mixture (*93*) for building pavements. Other APT applications include investigating porous asphalt pavements for low-volume roads (*42*) and reinforced flexible pavements with geogrids (*94*, *95*).

Economic Benefits of APT Applications

The benefits of APT applications can be quantified in monetary terms. The process of quantifying these benefits has been at the fore of APT discussions. The second and third international APT conferences focused on the impacts and benefits of APT (*2*). Several authors have used different approaches to evaluate the benefits of the APT program. The benefits are generally reported in benefit-cost ratios (BCRs) and agency cost savings. Louisiana DOT realized savings of about $8.17 million (3-year analysis period) resulting in a BCR of 5.3 from its ALF program (*96*). The South Africa HVS program's BCR ranges from approximately 2.0 to 10 (*97*). A preliminary assessment of California's APT program is reported to range from approximately 3.0 to 10.0 (*98*). MnROAD reported total economic benefits of more than $396 million obtained during the test track operation from 2000 to 2012 with a BCR of 8.9 (*23*). The overall BCR of the Australian ALF program was estimated at 4.0 and 5.0 at a discount rate of 8% and 4%, respectively (*16*). Steyn reports that the BCR for most APT programs ranges between 1.4 and 11.6 (*6*). The results show that APT programs are economically advantageous. The authors used deterministic and probabilistic approaches in addition to sensitivity analyses to determine the benefits of APT facilities. However, these approaches have their own limitations. The literature review on calculating APT benefits reveals some level of difficulty in the evaluation of the economic evaluation due to the uncertainties and subjectivities of input data and contributions of the findings. Du Plessis et al. (2011) and Jones (2012) used sensitivity analysis to address such limitation issues of uncertainties. APT researchers and owners are encouraged to consider using soft computing techniques like fuzzy logic, and spherical fuzzy logic to address the effects of uncertainties, subjectivities, and hesitancy in conducting an evaluation of the program's benefits.

Summary of Findings

Based on the extensive literature review, APT facilities were found to have the ability to monitor structural performance, improve pavement modeling, develop construction technologies, enhance materials characteristics, and support rehabilitation techniques and novel materials usage under full-scale conditions. There is no doubt that the findings provide a sound basis to develop, validate, and revise design specifications and policies that will improve pavement performance and its life-cycle cost. APTs give highway agencies an ideal environment of how the pavement will behave in real life beforehand. It is a proactive technique in designing and managing pavements. They have proven effective in evaluating pavements quickly with little disruption to the traveling public and airfield operations. Table D.1 summarizes the categories of APT application in pavement research.

Table D.1 Example of APT applications in pavement engineering

Accelerated pavement testing applications	Subtopics
Design specifications and policy	• Pavement design guides • Superpave mixtures • MEPDG refinements • Construction guidelines • Load restriction policies
Structural performance	• Cracking performance • Rutting performance • Roughness • Bearing capacity
Pavement modeling	• Deterioration models • Mechanistic models • Finite element models • Temperature models • Viscoelastic models • Performance models
Preservation and rehabilitation	• Chip sealing • Crack sealing • Micro-surfacing • Overlays • Full depth reclamations • Concrete pavement rehabilitation
Construction technology	• Intelligent compaction • Interface bonding • Traffic opening criteria
Material characterization	• Binder grades • Layer coefficients • Modulus
Innovation and sustainability	• Warm mix asphalt • Recycled asphalt pavements • Modified binders • Alternative binders • Bio-materials • Geocomposites reinforcement • Roller compacted concrete • Fiber reinforced pavements • Alternative aggregates

Studies on preservation treatments appear to be common among the different APT types. Test roads, HVS, and other linear devices have proven effective in evaluating preservation treatments to extend the service life of asphalt and concrete pavements. Making construction techniques more intelligent can reduce road user costs, provide high-quality pavement with longer life, and improve safety. Space availability appears to give test roads more leverage to conduct more studies on intelligent compaction over other testing alternatives. However, the HVS, ALF, mobile load simulator (MLS), and others could explore opportunities to promote intelligent construction. Both full-scale (test roads, HVS, MLS) and scale-down versions (MMLS3, MLS66) of APT techniques have demonstrated the capacity in evaluating the performance of new technologies before large-scale implementation. The concept of transport innovation and sustainability appears to be growing among APT facilities around the world. These facilities play significant roles in promoting sustainability in infrastructure development, including exploring alternative aggregates, binders, modified asphalt mixtures, water-retaining pavements, and long-lasting pavements. The potential to reduce emissions, conserve virgin aggregates, promote safe construction, lower the life-cycle cost of pavements, and provide a more sustainable transportation system appears more achievable looking at the current contributions of APTs in innovation and sustainability. In the future, APT facilities could explore emerging pavement technologies like reflective, evaporative, and energy-harvesting pavements, bio-asphalt mixtures, nanoclay-modified binders, nanomaterials in concrete pavements, and prestressed concrete pavements. The objective of improving pavement cracking and rutting performance is shared among the different APT studies.

Integrating Text Mining of Apt Conference Papers

Conference proceedings have been a powerful platform where APT owners share data and experiences. The papers presented at these conferences cover diverse subjects and represent current trends and developments in APT. The number of papers accepted for presentation at the conference makes it challenging for an individual to read all the articles. Text mining offers the opportunity to extract knowledge from extensive unstructured textual data to visualize better and understand text trends. In this study, text analysis was done on 323 papers presented at APT conference proceedings. Table D.2 shows the six APT conferences, the venues, and the volume of papers accepted for the conference proceedings. The table also shows the volume of papers used for the text analysis. Some papers were excluded from the corpus due to errors or corrupted files. Others were also withdrawn because they were reviews of all APT facilities. The focus of this analysis was to look at what individual APT facilities were presenting at the conferences. The corpus is made up of the abstracts and titles of these papers. The conferences have been hosted in different parts of the world, signifying international alliances and diversity.

Table D.2 Distribution of papers in international APT Conference proceedings from 1999 to 2021

APT Conferences	Date Held	Venue	Number of Papers Accepted	Number of Papers in Corpus
1st International Conference on APT	1999	Nevada	62	48
2nd International Conference on APT	2004	Minnesota	63	44
3rd International Conference on APT	2008	Spain	65	54
4th International Conference on APT	2012	California	55	53
5th International Conference on APT	2016	Costa Rica	58	54
6th International Conference on APT	2021	France	73	69

A common task in text mining is the determination of the most frequently used terms in the corpus. Figure D.2 illustrates the most frequently used terms in the corpus of paper abstracts and titles. The top three frequently used terms in the abstracts are "pavement," "test," and "asphalt." Likewise, in the title corpus, "pavement," "accelerated," and "testing" are the three most cited terms. Evidently, there is a slight difference in the most cited terms in the abstract and the title, but "pavement" is the most frequently used term in both groups. The paper abstract contains a brief introduction and summary of the entire paper. The word cloud is another way to represent the most frequently used terms in the text dataset. The word cloud for the abstract and the title is shown in Figure D.3.



(a)



(b)

Figure D.2 Top 10 frequent words in (a) abstract (b) top 10 words in title



Figure D.3 Word cloud (a) abstract (b) title

The heat map of the 13 most frequently used terms in the paper abstracts is shown in Figure D.4. The darker colors indicate high-frequency usage, while the lighter color indicates otherwise. It is not surprising to see "pavement" and "test" remain popular terms over the years. The frequency of other terms changes with time. Also noticed was that asphalt was commonly mentioned in both titles and abstracts more than concrete. This indicates that most APTs programs have been focusing mainly on flexible experiments rather than concrete. This could be because asphalt deteriorates at rapid rates due to both traffic and environmental conditions. The reliability of concrete pavement has been relatively higher than asphalt pavement. Hence, higher investigations were implemented for asphalt performance, including rutting and cracking.



Figure D.4 Heat map of most frequently used terms in paper abstract

The study also investigated the network of the APT research community. Figure D.5 shows the top 10 country affiliations in the published APT conference papers. APT conferences have accepted papers from different countries, with many papers coming from the U.S. The U.S. has over 16 APT programs in operation. Some U.S. APT programs have more than one testing device. The Florida DOT, for instance, operates two HVS machines (HVS Mark IV and HVS Mark VI) and has initiated the construction of a 2.5-mile concrete test road. The University of California Pavement Research Center (UCPRC) owns two HVSs for accelerated testing of full-scale pavements. The U.S. contribution to APT development is therefore not coincidental but shows real interest to improve the understanding of pavements.



Figure D.5 Top 10 APT country affiliations

There are different types of APT loading techniques. Figure D.6 illustrates the top 10 APT devices affiliated with the published papers. The definition of these devices is listed in Table D.3. The HVS device is undoubtedly the most popular APT program. Its popularity may be attributed to the ability to produce results in a relatively short time, historical achievements, returns on investments, and the ability to modify the HVS to suit the needs of the owner, including environmental control. Moreover, the development of HVS user groups and the ability to share and transport the device may also be contributing factors. For instance, Finland and Sweden jointly operate the HVS-Nordic research program. The HVS can be operated both indoors and outdoors effectively. On the other hand, the use of test roads to evaluate pavement under real-world conditions is evident. Though the number of APT test roads is not many globally, they appear to be making significant contributions to pavement engineering. The different APT types have proven effective in evaluating long-term pavement performance under a range of loading and environmental conditions within a compressed time. However, each testing technique has its pros and cons, and it is up to an agency to choose which one best suit its needs and available resources.

Figure D.7 shows the network analysis to represent the relationship between words. The network graph consists of nodes and edges. In Figure D.7(a), the corpus of APT conference proceedings is represented as a network where each node is the APT device/technique, and the thickness or strength of the edges between them describes the similarities between the words used in any two documents. Likewise, the country network in Figure D.7 (b) shows the similarities between the words used in any two documents. The nodes are colored by their cluster or modularity class. Networks with high modularity have dense connections between the nodes. The network graph of country affiliations indicates the connectedness in research interests. The diversity in APT research to improve pavement performance is evident in the country affiliation network.



Figure D.6 Top 10 APT device/technique affiliations

Table D.3 List of APT devices and their abbreviations

APT Device/ Facility	**Abbreviation**
Pavement Testing Machine	PTM
Mobile Load Simulator	MLS
Heavy Vehicle Simulator	HVS
Texas Mobile Load Simulator	TxMLS
Accelerated Loading Facility	ALF
Pavement Test Facility	PTF
Accelerated Pavement Load Facility	APLF
National Airport Pavement Test Machine	NAPTM
Asphalt Pavement Analyzer	APA
Accelerated Pavement Loading Machine	APLM
Accelerated Pavement Loading System	APLS
Accelerated Transportation Loading Assembly	ATLAS
Danish Asphalt Rut Tester	DART
Airport Heavy Vehicle Simulator	HVS-A
Heavy Weight Deflectometer	HWD
Accelerated Loading Testing	ALT
Linear Tracking Device	LINTRACK
Laboratory Test Track	LTT
Minnesota Accelerated Loading Facility	MinneALF
Model Mobile Load Simulator	MMLS
Danish Road-Testing Machine	RTM
Stationary Dynamic Deflectometer	SDD
Simulated Loading and Vehicle Emulator	SLAVE
Circular Test Tracks	Circular
Linear Test Tracks	Linear



(b)

Figure D.7 Network graph of (a) APT devices (b) country affiliations based on paper abstracts

An algorithm was developed to count certain keywords of interest to the authors. The objective was to identify the trend of these keywords across the APT meetings, as shown in Figure D.8. It is evident that a wide scope of topics is addressed using the APT program with a traditional focus on HMA. The interest in HMA topics is higher than in PCC. The figure shows an increased emphasis on HMA across APT meetings. The increased focus might be attributable to the large network of asphalt pavements in countries. The U.S, for instance, has about 2.6 million miles of paved roads with over 94% asphalt surfacing (about 18 billion tons of asphalt), according to the National Asphalt Pavement Association. The interest may also be attributed to the concerns about its complex viscoelastic behavior at different temperatures and loadings and the need to enhance its property compared with the more rigid and stable PCC pavement.

Investigation of airfield pavements was evident at the conference discussions. This implies that APTs are capable of investigating both highway and airfield pavements. There appears to be a rising interest in airfield pavements since the 4th APT conference. This may relate to the need to evaluate airfield pavements due to the changing aircraft designs and configurations and the use of heavy airplanes with high weight and high tire pressures. It is evident that cracking and rutting have been evaluated most often with APT devices. However, permanent deformation or rutting appears to be used more frequently as a pavement performance measure other than different types of cracking. Pavement serviceability is affected by asphalt rutting, and conducting this study under full-scale testing may have more direct benefits on the serviceability of pavement than cracking. This may be the reason behind the interest in rutting studies. However, a decline in the term “rut” in the last APT conference suggests that agencies may have identified ways to improve the rutting performance of pavements using APT facilities. The frequency of the term “crack” changes with time, but a sharp increase was seen in the last conference (2020). The renewed interest in gaining a better understanding of the crack phenomenon and propagation may have accounted for the increase. The term “models” has changed over the years but appears to be a prominent keyword in APT programs. APTs have been used to build or validate models for predicting pavement behavior and performance. It appears that APT programs apply a range of models to analyze research data.



Figure D.8 The trend of certain words in corpus across the international APT Conferences

Conclusions

The findings from APT have supported decision-making for developing and revising specifications and enforcing axle loading policies, which could not have been accomplished solely through laboratory evaluations. These facilities provide engineers with an improved understanding of pavement materials, structure, and responses under loading effects, providing improved cost-effective designs, preservation, and rehabilitation. The concept of technology, innovation, and sustainability in pavements is evident in APT research efforts. An integrated program of APT, extensive laboratory testing, and modeling promotes the implementation of findings and the success of the APT program. Text mining is a straightforward approach that can help pavement engineers extract valuable information from texts that takes advantage of language characteristics particular to the pavement industry. Indeed, APT discussions have primarily focused on accelerated testing of pavements with more focus on asphalt pavement performances, including rutting and cracking. APT of asphalt pavements using heavy vehicle simulators (HVS) dominated APT conference meetings. Laboratory evaluations with full-scale testing are essential to relate in-service conditions and laboratory performance. Research on airfield pavements was presented at the conference. Findings from the text mining agree with the significant findings from full-scale APT published in National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) 433. Text mining has shown promise in identifying developments and trends in APT research. Opportunities exist for future research in emerging pavement technologies, including nanotechnologies. More advanced text analytics tools like the latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA) models are potential tools for future analysis in APT.

References

1. American Society of Civil Engineers. "A Comprehensive Assessment of America's Infrastructure." 2017.
2. Jones, D., J. Harvey, I. L. Al-Qadi, and A. Mateos. *Advances in Pavement Design through Full-Scale Accelerated Pavement Testing*. CRC Press, 2012. https://doi.org/10.1201/b13000
3. Ali, A. W., and Y. Mehta. "Heavy Vehicle Simulator and Accelerated Pavement Testing Facility at Rowan University." In *The Roles of Accelerated Pavement Testing in Pavement Sustainability* (J. P. Aguiar-Moya, A. Vargas-Nordcbeck, F. Leiva-Villacorta, and L. G. Loría-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 53–64. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42797-3_4
4. Powell, R. B. "A History of Modern Accelerated Performance Testing of Pavement Structures." NCAT Document (in-press), 2006. https://doi.org/10.1201/B13000-5
5. Liu, L., Y. Yuan, and L. Sun. "Study of In-Service Asphalt Pavement High-Temperature Deformation Based on Accelerated Pavement Test." In *The Roles of Accelerated Pavement Testing in Pavement Sustainability* (J. P. Aguiar-Moya, A. Vargas-Nordcbeck, F. Leiva-Villacorta, and L. G. Loría-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 461–473, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42797-3_30
6. Steyn, W. J. *Significant Findings from Full-Scale Accelerated Pavement Testing*. Transportation Research Board, 2012. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=pqzBvg0aUGMC&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&ots=Y2PeQFbZAx&sig=ZqUdAaW_yM1NQM0R8iN3l4s-JcE
7. Hugo, F., and A. E. Martin. *Significant Findings from Full-Scale Accelerated Pavement Testing*. Transportation Research Board, 2004. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=3A5p9X3O6JMC&oi=fnd&pg=PA11&dq=Hugo,+F.+and+Martin,+A.E.,+2004.+Significant+findings+from+full-scale+accelerated+pavement+testing+(Vol.+325).+Transportation+Research+Board.&ots=Q14q1OjxRU&sig=F6F0nlsAWMJHjPCXnA1
8. Sun, L. "Structural Behavior Study for Asphalt." *China Communications*. https://scholar.google.com/scholar?lookup=0&q=Sun+L.J.,+"Structural+Behavior+Study+for+Asphalt+Pavements",+Beijing,+China+Communications+Press,+2005.&hl=en&as_sdt=0,51. Accessed Jul. 23, 2021.
9. West, R., and R. B. Powell. "Significant Findings from the First Three Research Cycles at the NCAT Pavement Test Track." *Advances in Pavement Design Through Full-Scale Accelerated Pavement Testing*, 2012, pp. 49–55. https://doi.org/10.1201/B13000-10/DESIGN-IMPLEMENTATION-FULL-SCALE-ACCELERATED-PAVEMENT-TESTING-FACILITY-EXTREME-REGIONAL-CLIMATES-CHINA-ZEJIAO-YIQIU-MEILI
10. Greene, J., S. Chun, and B. Choubane. "Evaluation and Implementation of a Heavy Polymer Modified Asphalt Binder through Accelerated Pavement Testing." Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), State Materials Office, 2014.
11. Choubane, B., S. Gokhale, and J. Fletcher. "Feasibility of Accelerated Pavement Testing to Evaluate Long-Term Performance of Raised Pavement Markers." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, Vol. 1948, No. 1, 2006, pp. 108–113. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198106194800112.
12. West, R., D. Timm, B. Powell, M. Heitzman, N. Tran, C. Rodezno, D. Watson, F. Leiva, A. Vargas, and R. Willis. *Phase V (2012-2014) NCAT Test Track Findings*. 2018.
13. Timm, D., R. C. West, A. Priest, B. Powell, I. Selvaraj, J. Zhang, and R. Brown. *Phase II NCAT Test Track Results.* United States. Federal Highway Administration, 2006.
14. Du Plessis, L., A. Ulloa-Calderon, J. T. Harvey, and N. F. Coetzee. "Accelerated Pavement Testing Efforts Using the Heavy Vehicle Simulator." *International Journal of Pavement Research and Technology*, Vol. 11, No. 4, 2018, pp. 327–338. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJPRT.2017.09.016

15. Worel, B. J., and D. Van Deuse. *Benefits of MnROAD Phase II Research*. Minnesota Department of Transportation, Research Services & Library, 2015. http://www.lrrb.org/pdf/201519.pdf
16. Rose, G., and D. Bennett. "Benefits from Research Investment: Case of Australian Accelerated Loading Facility Pavement Research Program." *Transportation Research Record*, 1994, pp. 82–82.
17. Levenberg, E., R. S. McDaniel, and T. E. Nantung. "How Low Is Too Low? Assessing the Risk of Low Air Voids Using Accelerated Pavement Testing." 2012. https://doi.org/10.1201/B13000-34
18. Nagabhushana, M. N., S. Khan, A. Mittal, and D. Tiwari. "Potential Benefits of APTF for Evaluation of Flexible Pavement for Its Permanent Deformation Behaviour." In *The Roles of Accelerated Pavement Testing in Pavement Sustainability* (J. P. Aguiar-Moya, A. Vargas-Nordcbeck, F. Leiva-Villacorta, and L. G. Loría-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 227–239, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42797-3_15
19. Paniagua, J., F. Paniagua, A. Mateos, J. Harvey, and R. Wu. "Design, Instrumentation and Construction of Bonded Concrete Overlays for Accelerated Pavement Testing." In *The Roles of Accelerated Pavement Testing in Pavement Sustainability* (J. P. Aguiar-Moya, A. Vargas-Nordcbeck, F. Leiva-Villacorta, and L. G. Loría-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 717–734. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42797-3_47
20. El-youssoufy, A., G. Dore, J.-P. Bilodeau, and F. Prophète. "Assessment of Flexible Pavement Response During Freezing and Thawing from Indoor Heavy Vehicle Simulator Testing." In *The Roles of Accelerated Pavement Testing in Pavement Sustainability* (J. P. Aguiar-Moya, A. Vargas-Nordcbeck, F. Leiva-Villacorta, and L. G. Loría-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 787–797. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42797-3_51
21. Cary, C. E., and C. E. Zapata. "Resilient Modulus for Unsaturated Unbound Materials." *Road Materials and Pavement Design*, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2011, pp. 615–638. https://doi.org/10.1080/14680629.2011.9695263.
22. Arnold, G., B. D. Steven, D. J. Alabaster, and A. Fussell. *Effect on Pavement Wear of Increased Mass Limits for Heavy Vehicles: Stage 4*. Land Transport New Zealand, 2005.
23. Worel, B. J., T. R. Clyne, and M. Jensen. "Economic Benefits Resulting from Road Research Performed at MnROAD." 2008.
24. Leiva-Villacorta, F., A. Vargas-Nordcbeck, J. P. Aguiar-Moya, and L. Loría-Salazar. "Development and Calibration of Permanent Deformation Models." In *The Roles of Accelerated Pavement Testing in Pavement Sustainability* (J. P. Aguiar-Moya, A. Vargas-Nordcbeck, F. Leiva-Villacorta, and L. G. Loría-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 573–587, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42797-3_37
25. Cary, C. E., Z. Wang, H. Yin, N. Garg, and R. Rutter. "Effect of Pavement Structure on the Mechanical Response and Performance of Perpetual Pavements at the National Airport Pavement Test Facility." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, Vol. 2672, No. 23, 2018, pp. 31–39. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198118756619.
26. Alabaster, D. J., and A. Fussell. *Fatigue Design Criteria for Low Noise Surfaces on New Zealand Roads*. Land Transport New Zealand, 2006.
27. Gopalakrishnan, K., and M. R. Thompson. "Rebound and Residual *in Situ* Pavement Displacements Measured during NAPTF Performance Testing." *International Journal of Pavement Engineering*, Vol. 8, No. 3, 2007, pp. 187–201. https://doi.org/10.1080/10298430601046682.
28. Khoury, I., S. Sargand, R. Green, B. Jordan, and P. Cichocki. "Rutting Resistance of Asphalt Mixes Containing Highly Modified Asphalt (HiMA) Binders at the Accelerated Pavement Load Facility in Ohio." In *The Roles of Accelerated Pavement Testing in Pavement Sustainability* (J. P. Aguiar-Moya, A. Vargas-Nordcbeck, F. Leiva-Villacorta, and L. G. Loría-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 429–439. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42797-3_28
29. Prowell, B. D., G. C. Hurley, and E. Crews. "Field Performance of Warm-Mix Asphalt at National Center for Asphalt Technology Test Track." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the*

Transportation Research Board, Vol. 1998, No. 1, 2007, pp. 96–102. https://doi.org/10.3141/1998-12.

30. Wu, J., F. Ye, J. Ling, J. Qian, and S. Li. "Rutting Resistance of Asphalt Pavements with Fine Sand Subgrade under Full-Scale Trafficking at High and Ambient Air Temperature." 2012. https://trid.trb.org/view/1225102
31. Bazi, G., E. Mansour, P. Sebaaly, R. Ji, and N. Garg. "Instrumented Flexible Pavement Responses under Aircraft Loading." *International Journal of Pavement Engineering*, Vol. 22, No. 10, 2021, pp. 1213–1225. https://doi.org/10.1080/10298436.2019.1671589.
32. Willis, R., D. Timm, R. West, B. Powell, M. Robbins, A. Taylor, A. Smit, N. Tran, M. Heitzman, and A. Bianchini. "Phase III NCAT Test Track Findings." *NCAT report*, 2009, pp. 09–08. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/242713161
33. Druta, C., L. Wang, and K. K. McGhee. "Performance Evaluation of Thin Wearing Courses Through Scaled Accelerated Trafficking." 2014. https://vtechworks.lib.vt.edu/handle/10919/55067
34. Burnham, T., and B. I. Izevbekhai. "Performance of Thin Jointed Concrete Pavements Subjected to Accelerated Traffic Loading at the MnROAD Facility." 2012. https://doi.org/10.1201/B13000-39
35. Harvey, J., and L. Popescu. "Accelerated Pavement Testing of Rutting Performance of Two Caltrans Overlay Strategies." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, Vol. 1716, No. 1, 2000, pp. 116–125. https://doi.org/10.3141/1716-14.
36. Harvey, J., M. Bejarano, and L. Popescu. "Accelerated Pavement Testing of Rutting and Cracking Performance of Asphalt-Rubber and Conventional Asphalt Concrete Overlay Strategies." *Road Materials and Pavement Design*, Vol. 2, No. 3, 2001, pp. 229–262. https://doi.org/10.1080/14680629.2001.9689902.
37. Melhem, H. G., and F. Sheffield. *Accelerated Testing for Studying Pavement Design and Performance (FY 99)*. Kansas. Dept. of Transportation, 2000. http://ntl.bts.gov/data/FY99_1.pdf
38. Greene, J., U. Toros, S. Kim, T. Byron, and B. Choubane. "Impact of Wide-Base Single Tires on Pavement Damage." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, Vol. 2155, No. 1, 2010, pp. 82–90. https://doi.org/10.3141/2155-09.
39. Dessouky, S. H., I. L. Al-Qadi, and P. J. Yoo. "Full-Depth Flexible Pavement Responses to Different Truck Tyre Geometry Configurations." *International Journal of Pavement Engineering*, Vol. 15, No. 6, 2014, pp. 512–520. https://doi.org/10.1080/10298436.2013.775443.
40. Wang, H., N. Garg, and M. Li. "Understanding Airfield Pavement Responses Under High Tire Pressure: Full-Scale Testing and Numerical Modeling." In *The Roles of Accelerated Pavement Testing in Pavement Sustainability* (J. P. Aguiar-Moya, A. Vargas-Nordcbeck, F. Leiva-Villacorta, and L. G. Loría-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 539–553, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42797-3_35
41. Smit, A. de F., and B. Waller. "Sound Pressure and Intensity Evaluations of Low Noise Pavement Structures with Open-Graded Asphalt Mixtures." 2007. https://www.eng.auburn.edu/research/centers/ncat/files/technical-reports/rep07-02.pdf
42. Worel, B. J., and D. Van Deuse. *Benefits of MnROAD Phase II Research*. Minnesota Department of Transportation, Research Services & Library, 2015. http://www.lrrb.org/pdf/201519.pdf
43. Sargand, S. M., and I. Khoury. "Environmental and Load Effect on Dowelled and Undowelled Portland Cement Concrete Slabs." *Advances in Pavement Design through Full-scale Accelerated Pavement Testing*, 2012, p. 331. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=annMBQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA331&ots=J7Vc5EgfAg&sig=BsRfp4iO855EgfX36h9UVtK5CJ0
44. Twagira, E. M., and K. J. Jenkins. "Application of MMLS3 in Laboratory Conditions for Moisture Damage Classification of Bitumen Stabilised Materials." *Road Materials and Pavement Design*, Vol. 13, No. 4, 2012, pp. 642–659. https://doi.org/10.1080/14680629.2012.742626.
45. Zou, J., R. Roque, and T. Byron. "Effect of HMA Ageing and Potential Healing on Top-down Cracking Using HVS." *Road Materials and Pavement Design*, Vol. 13, No. 3, 2012, pp. 518–533. https://doi.org/10.1080/14680629.2012.709177.

46. Erlingsson, S. "Impact of Water on the Response and Performance of a Pavement Structure in an Accelerated Test." *Road Materials and Pavement Design*, Vol. 11, No. 4, 2010, pp. 863–880. https://doi.org/10.1080/14680629.2010.9690310.
47. Bilodeau, J.-P., J. Yi, and G. Doré. "Assessment of Flexible Pavement Response during Partial Thawing Conditions Using Accelerated Pavement Testing." *Journal of Cold Regions Engineering*, Vol. 34, No. 2, 2020, p. 04020007. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CR.1943-5495.0000212.
48. Garg, N., G. F. Hayhoe, and L. Ricalde. "Study of Failure Mechanisms in Rubblized Concrete Pavements with Hot Mix Asphalt Overlays." 2012. https://doi.org/10.1201/B13000-44
49. Cunliffe, C., Y. A. Mehta, D. Cleary, A. Ali, and T. Redles. "Impact of Dynamic Loading on Backcalculated Stiffness of Rigid Airfield Pavements." *International Journal of Pavement Engineering*, Vol. 17, No. 6, 2016, pp. 489–502. https://doi.org/10.1080/10298436.2014.993395.
50. De Pont, J., B. Steven, and B. Pidwerbesky. *The Relationship between Dynamic Wheel Loads and Road Wear*. 1999. https://trid.trb.org/view/658213
51. Wang, H., M. Li, N. Garg, and J. Zhao. "Multi-Wheel Gear Loading Effect on Load-Induced Failure Potential of Airfield Flexible Pavement." *International Journal of Pavement Engineering*, Vol. 21, No. 6, 2020, pp. 805–816. https://doi.org/10.1080/10298436.2018.1511783.
52. Selvaraj, S. I. *Development of Flexible Pavement Rut Prediction Models from the NCAT Test Track Structural Study Sections Data*. Auburn University, 2007. https://etd.auburn.edu//handle/10415/1383
53. Tapia, M. T. P., and W. K. C.-L. Wu. "Evaluation of Feasibility of Using Composite Pavements in Florida by Means of HVS Testing." 2007.
54. Erlingsson, S. "Numerical Modelling of Thin Pavements Behaviour in Accelerated HVS Tests." *Road Materials and Pavement Design*, Vol. 8, No. 4, 2007, pp. 719–744. https://doi.org/10.1080/14680629.2007.9690096.
55. Kruger, J., and E. Horak. "The Appropriateness of Accelerated Pavement Testing to Assess the Rut Prediction Capability of Laboratory Asphalt Tests." *SATC 2005*, 2005. https://repository.up.ac.za/bitstream/handle/2263/6409/045.pdf?sequence=1
56. Oscarsson, E. "Evaluation of the Mechanistic–Empirical Pavement Design Guide Model for Permanent Deformations in Asphalt Concrete." *International Journal of Pavement Engineering*, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2011, pp. 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1080/10298430903578952.
57. Steven, B. D., D. J. Alabaster, and B. D. Pidwerbesky. "The Implementation of Accelerated Pavement Testing Findings into Industry Practice in New Zealand." *Advances in Pavement Design through Full-scale Accelerated Pavement Testing*, 2012, p. 75. https://doi.org/10.1201/b13000-13
58. Bendtsen, H., J. Oddershede, G. Hildebrandt, R. Z. Wu, and D. Jones. "Accelerated Testing of Noise Performance of Pavements." *Advances in Pavement Design through Full-scale Accelerated Pavement Testing*, 2012, p. 365. https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.1054.9266&rep=rep1&type=pdf
59. Blab, R., W. Kluger-Eigl, J. Füssl, and M. Arraigada. "Accelerated Pavement Testing on Slab and Block Pavements Using the Mls10 Mobile Load Simulator." 2012. https://trid.trb.org/view/1225108
60. Caicedo, B., J. Monroy, S. Caro, and E. Rueda. The Universidad de Los Andes Linear Test Track Apparatus. 2012. https://doi.org/10.1201/B13000-7
61. Ahmed, A., and S. Erlingsson. "Evaluation of Permanent Deformation Models for Unbound Granular Materials Using Accelerated Pavement Tests." *Road Materials and Pavement Design*, Vol. 14, No. 1, 2013, pp. 178–195. https://doi.org/10.1080/14680629.2012.755936.
62. Aguiar-Moya, J. P., P. A. Torres-Linares, E. Camacho-Garita, F. Leiva-Villacorta, and L. G. Loría-Salazar. "Development of IRI Models Based on APT Data." In *The Roles of Accelerated Pavement Testing in Pavement Sustainability* (J. P. Aguiar-Moya, A. Vargas-Nordcbeck, F. Leiva-Villacorta, and L. G. Loría-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 799–813, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42797-3_52
63. Pokharel, S. K., J. Han, C. Manandhar, X. Yang, D. Leshchinsky, I. Halahmi, and R. L. Parsons. "Accelerated Pavement Testing of Geocell-Reinforced Unpaved Roads over Weak Subgrade."

Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, Vol. 2204, No. 1, 2011, pp. 67–75. https://doi.org/10.3141/2204-09.

64. Cheng, H., Y. Wang, L. Liu, L. Sun, Y. Hu, and Y. Li. "Back-Calculation of the Moduli of Asphalt Pavement Layer Using Accelerated Pavement Testing Data." In *Accelerated Pavement Testing to Transport Infrastructure Innovation* (A. Chabot, P. Hornych, J. Harvey, and L. G. Loria-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 379–388, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-55236-7_39
65. Taghavi Ghalesari, A., N. Aguirre, C. J. Carrasco, M. Vrtis, and N. Garg. "Evaluation of the Response from the Rigid Pavement Analysis System (RPAS) Program for the Characterisation of Jointed Concrete Pavements." *Road Materials and Pavement Design*, Vol. 22, No. 10, 2021, pp. 2212–2231. https://doi.org/10.1080/14680629.2020.1747522.
66. Ram, P., and D. Peshkin. *Cost Effectiveness of the MDOT Preventive Maintenance Program.* Michigan. Dept. of Transportation, 2013. https://rosap.ntl.bts.gov/view/dot/23434
67. Worel, B., M. Vrtis, and R. Buzz Powell. "Guidance for the Next Generation Accelerated Pavement Testing Facilities." In *Accelerated Pavement Testing to Transport Infrastructure Innovation* (A. Chabot, P. Hornych, J. Harvey, and L. G. Loria-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 40–48, 2020.
68. Greene, J. "Florida Department of Transportation FDOT's Concrete Test Road." 2016.
69. Romanoschi, S. A., M. Hossain, A. Gisi, and M. Heitzman. "Accelerated Pavement Testing Evaluation of the Structural Contribution of Full-Depth Reclamation Material Stabilized with Foamed Asphalt." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, Vol. 1896, No. 1, 2004, pp. 199–207. https://doi.org/10.3141/1896-20.
70. Hossain, M., B. S. Bortz, H. Melhem, S. A. Romanoschi, and A. Gisi. "Fourteen Years of Accelerated Pavement Testing at Kansas State University." 2012. https://trid.trb.org/view/1218067
71. Tompkins, D. M., L. Khazanovich, and D. M. Johnson. "Overview of the First Ten Years of the Minnesota Road Research Project." *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, Vol. 133, No. 11, 2007, pp. 599–609. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-947X(2007)133:11(599).
72. Petersen, D. L., J. Siekmeier, C. R. Nelson, and R. L. Peterson. "Intelligent Soil Compaction Technology: Results and a Roadmap toward Widespread Use." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, Vol. 1975, No. 1, 2006, pp. 81–88. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198106197500109.
73. Patrick, J., and S. Werkmeister. "Compaction of Thick Granular Layers." *New Zealand Transport Agency Research Report*, No. 411, 2010. https://www.nzta.govt.nz/assets/resources/research/reports/411/docs/411.pdf
74. Ozer, H., I. L. Al-Qadi, H. Wang, and Z. Leng. "Characterisation of Interface Bonding between Hot-Mix Asphalt Overlay and Concrete Pavements: Modelling and *in-Situ* Response to Accelerated Loading." *International Journal of Pavement Engineering*, Vol. 13, No. 2, 2012, pp. 181–196. https://doi.org/10.1080/10298436.2011.596935.
75. Sufian, A., M. Hossain, and G. Schieber. "Optimum Tack Rate for Hot-Mix Asphalt Bonding." In *The Roles of Accelerated Pavement Testing in Pavement Sustainability* (J. P. Aguiar-Moya, A. Vargas-Nordcbeck, F. Leiva-Villacorta, and L. G. Loría-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 419–428, 2016. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42797-3_27
76. Antico, F. C., I. De la Varga, H. S. Esmaeeli, T. E. Nantung, P. D. Zavattieri, and W. J. Weiss. "Using Accelerated Pavement Testing to Examine Traffic Opening Criteria for Concrete Pavements." *Construction and Building Materials*, Vol. 96, 2015, pp. 86–95. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CONBUILDMAT.2015.07.177
77. Yeo, R. E. Y., and W. Young. "Towards Improved Characterization of Cemented Pavement Materials." *Advances in Pavement Design through Full-scale Accelerated Pavement Testing*, 2012, p. 397. https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=annMBQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA397&ots=J7V

c2IjfGi&sig=M5evIdqGQ2m8flR1R9wQDXL3il4

78. Khazanovich, L., I. Yut, D. Tompkins, and A. Schultz. "Accelerated Loading Testing of Stainless Steel Hollow Tube Dowels." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, Vol. 1947, No. 1, 2006, pp. 101–109. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198106194700110.
79. Arraigada, M., A. Treuholz, and M. N. Partl. "Study of the Bearing Capacity of Swiss Standard Pavements Under MLS10 Loading." In *The Roles of Accelerated Pavement Testing in Pavement Sustainability* (J. P. Aguiar-Moya, A. Vargas-Nordcbeck, F. Leiva-Villacorta, and L. G. Loría-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016, pp. 241–255. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42797-3_16
80. Díaz-Sánchez, M. A., D. H. Timm, and B. K. Diefenderfer. "Structural Coefficients of Cold Central-Plant Recycled Asphalt Mixtures." *Journal of Transportation Engineering, Part A: Systems*, Vol. 143, No. 6, 2017, p. 04017019. https://doi.org/10.1061/JTEPBS.0000005.
81. Timm, D. H., and A. Vargas-Nordcbeck. "Structural Coefficient of Open-Graded Friction Course." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, Vol. 2305, No. 1, 2012, pp. 102–110. https://doi.org/10.3141/2305-11.
82. Jansen, D., B. Wacker, and L. Pinkofsky. "Full-Scale Accelerated Pavement Testing with the MLS30 on Innovative Testing Infrastructures." *International Journal of Pavement Engineering*, Vol. 19, No. 5, 2018, pp. 456–465. https://doi.org/10.1080/10298436.2017.1408274.
83. Greene, J., and B. Choubane. "A Ten Year Review of Florida's Accelerated Pavement Testing Program." 2012. https://doi.org/10.1201/b13000-11
84. Willis, J. R., R. B. Powell, and M. C. Rodezno. "Evaluation of a Rubber Modified Asphalt Mixture at the 2009 NCAT Test Track." 2012. https://doi.org/10.1201/B13000-28
85. Ingrassia, L. P., A. Virgili, and F. Canestrari. "Effect of Geocomposite Reinforcement on the Performance of Thin Asphalt Pavements: Accelerated Pavement Testing and Laboratory Analysis." *Case Studies in Construction Materials*, Vol. 12, 2020, p. e00342. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CSCM.2020.E00342
86. Blanc, J., E. Chailleux, P. Hornych, R. C. Williams, D. Lo Presti, A. J. D. Barco Carrion, L. Porot, J.-P. Planche, and S. Pouget. "Bio Materials with Reclaimed Asphalt: From Lab Mixes Properties to Non-Damaged Full Scale Monitoring and Mechanical Simulation." *Road Materials and Pavement Design*, Vol. 20, No. sup1, 2019, pp. S95–S111. https://doi.org/10.1080/14680629.2019.1589557.
87. Mohammad, L. N., B. Huang, F. Roberts, and M. Rasoulian. "Accelerated Loading Performance and Laboratory Characterization of Crumb Rubber Asphalt Pavements." *Road Materials and Pavement Design*, Vol. 1, No. 4, 2000, pp. 467–493. https://doi.org/10.1080/14680629.2000.12067156.
88. Rust, F. C., M. A. Smit, I. Akhalwaya, G. J. Jordaan, and L. Du Plessis. "Evaluation of Two Nano-Silane-Modified Emulsion Stabilised Pavements Using Accelerated Pavement Testing." *International Journal of Pavement Engineering*, Vol. 23, No. 5, 2022, pp. 1339–1352. https://doi.org/10.1080/10298436.2020.1799210.
89. Gonzalez, A., M. Cubrinovski, B. Pidwerbesky, and D. Alabaster. "Full-Scale Experiment on Foam Bitumen Pavements in an Accelerated Testing Facility." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, Vol. 2094, No. 1, 2009, pp. 21–29. https://doi.org/10.3141/2094-03.
90. Nguyen, M. L., J. M. Balay, C. Sauzéat, H. Di Benedetto, K. Bilodeau, F. Olard, and B. Ficheroulle. *Accelerated Pavement Testing Experiment of Pavement Made of Fiber-Reinforced Roller-Compacted Concrete*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, 2012. https://doi.org/10.1201/B13000-40
91. Du Plessis, L., G. Rugodho, W. Govu, K. Mngaza, and S. Musundi. "The Design, Construction and Heavy Vehicle Simulator Testing Results on Roller Compacted Concrete Test Sections at the CSIR Innovation Site and on a Full-Scale Test Road at Rayton." In *The Roles of Accelerated Pavement*

Testing in Pavement Sustainability (J. P. Aguiar-Moya, A. Vargas-Nordcbeck, F. Leiva-Villacorta, and L. G. Loría-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016, pp. 769–783. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42797-3_50

92. Ahmed, A., F. Hellman, and S. Erlingsson. "Full Scale Accelerated Pavement Tests to Evaluate the Performance of Permeable and Skeletal Soil Block Pavement Systems." In *The Roles of Accelerated Pavement Testing in Pavement Sustainability* (J. P. Aguiar-Moya, A. Vargas-Nordcbeck, F. Leiva-Villacorta, and L. G. Loría-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2013,pp. 131–144. https://doi.org/10.1080/14680629.2012.755936
93. Buzz Powell, R. "Development and Validation of a Nondestructive Methodology to Measure Subgrade Moisture Contents at the NCAT Pavement Test Track." In *The Roles of Accelerated Pavement Testing in Pavement Sustainability* (J. P. Aguiar-Moya, A. Vargas-Nordcbeck, F. Leiva-Villacorta, and L. G. Loría-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016, pp. 621–632. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42797-3_40
94. Tang, X., G. R. Chehab, and A. Palomino. "Evaluation of Geogrids for Stabilising Weak Pavement Subgrade." *International Journal of Pavement Engineering*, Vol. 9, No. 6, 2008, pp. 413–429. https://doi.org/10.1080/10298430802279827.
95. Tang, X., A. M. Palomino, and S. M. Stoffels. "Permanent Deformation Behaviour of Reinforced Flexible Pavements Built on Soft Soil Subgrade." *Road Materials and Pavement Design*, Vol. 17, No. 2, 2016, pp. 311–327. https://doi.org/10.1080/14680629.2015.1080179.
96. King Jr, W., and M. Rasoulian. "Experimental and Operational Progress with a Benefit/Cost Analysis for Louisiana's Pavement Research Facility." 2004.
97. Sampson, L., E. Sadzik, and F. Jooste. "A Cost-Benefit Analysis of Heavy Vehicle Simulator Testing and Related Technology Development." 2008.
98. Du Plessis, L., F. C. Rust, E. Horak, W. A. Nokes, and T. J. Holland. "Cost Benefit Analysis of the California HVS Program." 2008.

APPENDIX E. NON-PAVEMENT RESEARCH NEEDS AND TEXT DATA MINING

Introduction

This appendix reviews the applications of APT facilities for non-pavement research based on experiences from around the world. The applications of APT in non-pavement research over the years have nine broad categories: (1) bridge experiments; (2) transportation technology; (3) drainage experiments; (4) geotechnical engineering experiments; (5) automobile experiments; (6) environmental experiments; (7) highway safety; (8) calibrations, measurement, and testing devices; (9) other miscellaneous applications. Publications on APTs have primarily focused on pavement performance evaluations with little attention to its non-pavement aspects. This review fills that gap and raises the awareness and familiarity of applying APTs for non-pavement research activities. Suggestions for the proposed Wyoming test road facility and other APTs are made regarding non-pavement research applications based on the findings.

In general, collaboration in scientific research has seen tremendous growth in recent decades (Abramo et al. 2019) with growing research needs arising due to population growth, changing demographics, economy, climate change, environment, energy, and technology. Therefore, addressing issues that confront societies requires multidisciplinary collaborations and the integration of theories, methods, and instruments from diverse fields (*1*). In addition, these research collaborations can be made through policy and research–management initiatives (*2*, *3*). Research collaborations can either be among institutions in the same or different fields (*1*). Tompkins and Khazanovich (2007) believe that the Minnesota Road Research (MnROAD) project is attractive for any experiment that requires environmental effects. Moreover, environmental security makes the facility unique for experiments, including non-pavement research (*4*). APTs can relate to expected performances in the real world. A report attributed MnROAD's successes in non-pavement research to the versatility of its engineers and the protection of the experiments from damage or disturbances (*4*).

Using APTs for non-pavement research may have its own benefits. According to (*5*), MnROAD and the NCAT test tracks have benefited from non-pavement research. APT facilities can engage in non-pavement research to generate funds to cover operational costs, which appear to be a major challenge to these facilities (*5*). Operational costs and the lack of consistent institutional support partly due to political changes are major hindrances to the activities of APT facilities (*5*, *6*). Building experimental sections alone can be costly (*7*, *8*), making partnerships and collaborations imperative for cost-effectiveness (*9*). Therefore, the ideal scenario to incorporate non-pavement research into APT programs encourages partnerships among agencies from diverse fields. In addition, APT partnerships help to diversify funding needed for fiscal stability, successful operations, prolonged existence, and resilience (*5*).

A Review of APT Applications in Non-Pavement Research

A review of APT applications in non-pavement research is presented in the following subsections, along with some findings where they have been made public. Note that not all detailed information on non-pavement research is published with its findings since some of the studies are sponsored by private industries. For more details, readers are referred to the respective references that have been provided. The broad spectrum of studies conducted using APT facilities since the American Associate of State Highway Officials (AASHO) Road Test in 1956 (*10*) is quite diversified. In Figure E.1, the various applications of APTs covering both pavement and non-pavement research are summarized. In several instances, the studies included the use of test roads, the HVS, and the MLS. This section of the study covers an interesting aspect of APT facilities that is not widely appreciated by the public. The information should provide a useful basis for the application of APT facilities in other areas apart from pavement research.



Figure E.1 Schematic of pavement and non-pavement research applications of APT facilities

Bridge Research

Bridges are key components of surface transportation infrastructure systems. They provide safe transportation connections between networks over obstacles. According to the American Society of Civil Engineers' infrastructure report card (*11*), the U.S. has 617,000 bridges with an overall grade of C. It reports that structurally deficient bridges constituted 7.5% of bridges in 2021 and carry an average of 178 million trips daily. In addition, there is a nationwide bridge rehabilitation backlog of $125 billion while the number of bridges approaching the end of their design life keeps increasing. Studies on recent bridge failures in the U.S. categorized the principal causes of bridge failures as deficiencies in design, detailing, construction, maintenance, use of materials, and inadequate consideration of external events (*12*). According to Tolliver et al. (2011), bridge decks deteriorate faster than the other parts due to direct exposure to traffic and environmental conditions. High traffic loadings and high moisture and freeze-thaw cycles, facilitated by the corrosive effect of deicing chemicals (*13*), deteriorate bridges faster. Research efforts to understand bridge responses to traffic loading are imperative to design, construction, and maintenance. Few APTs have been applied in bridge research to evaluate new technologies and materials to give bridge engineers insight into building and preserving bridges. APTs have made some significant research efforts to improve the bridge industry.

According to the Highway Research Board (1962), the AASHO Road Test was used to conduct studies on bridges. Trafficking in the bridge section is shown in Figure E-2. It investigated different types of short-span bridges to understand their in-service behavior under repeated overstress loading. A detailed study was also conducted to determine bridge response to the effects of moving vehicles. The study featured steel, prestressed concrete, and reinforced concrete bridges and became a landmark research facility in bridge designs (*10*, *14*). The AASHO Road Test appears to be the only test road facility that had dedicated onsite bridges for research purposes. Additionally, the facility was also used to investigate stress–relaxation characteristics of samples of prestressed wires and strands used in prestressed concrete beams, as well as the testing of creep and shrinkage characteristics of concrete samples used for prestressed concrete beams. The AASHO Road Test had significant impacts on the bridge industry. The

Pennsylvania Transportation Institute (PTI) used its test track facility to investigate the overload behavior of an experimental precast prestressed concrete segmental bridge (*15*). The same facility has also investigated bridge loadings, designs, construction, monitoring, and evaluation (*16*). Seismic expansion joints were again tested for the construction of the new San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge using the California APT. The author of this study concluded that the heavy vehicle simulator (HVS) method can evaluate bridge deck components effectively to provide rapid solutions to problems confronting the bridge industry (*17*). A smaller version of an APT, the model mobile load simulator, third scale (MMLS3), has been used to test bridge joints and other transportation infrastructure applications (*18*). Testing bridges under real-world conditions, while costly, can offer the best approach to understanding their responses and performance.

Figure E.2 Bridge testing at AASHO Road Test (*10*)

Transportation Technology Research

The U.S Department of Transportation (USDOT) is collaborating with agencies at the local and state levels, the automobile industry, and the public to test and evaluate technologies that enable cars, buses, trucks, trains, roads, and other infrastructure to communicate with each other. Connected vehicle technology is regarded as an effective way to reduce the number of fatal and serious crashes on our highways. Some APT facilities are using their test roads to promote the development and deployment of smart transportation systems. MnROAD promoted the development of Minnesota DOT (MnDOT) intelligent transportation systems (ITS) in Minnesota (*5*, *19*). The MnROAD testing facility was used to investigate and test assistive or autonomous vehicles and other associated technologies to improve driver safety (*20–22*). Figure E.3 shows an example of such applications on MnROAD, where a driver-assistive system (DAS) was equipped with a snowplow truck to assist in tracking the position of the truck and avoiding unwanted paths that may lead to a collision. The Nevada road track facility, also known as WesTrack, made significant contributions to the area of autonomous vehicle technology. It provided tracks for testing autonomous truck controlling systems (*23*). Even more recently, a new autonomous vehicle research facility has been built at NCAT's test track facility in Alabama (*24*). The facility's oval test track is being used as the main test site for autonomous vehicle technology and applications (*5*).

Figure E.3 Snowplow equipped with driver assistive system (DAS) technologies at MnROAD (*25*)

Another significant APT contribution is in the area of truck platooning, which is regarded as the future of freight transportation. The NCAT test track facility was used to develop and evaluate truck platooning technology. Truck platooning benefits include lower fuel consumption, improved driver output, fewer crashes, less congestion, and reduced carbon emissions (*26*). The PTI test track was also utilized for the comprehensive testing of new bus models, trucks, and trains. The facility has been recognized as a designated testing ground for autonomous vehicles by the USDOT since 2017 (*16*).

Drainage Experiments

Drainage significantly affects pavement performance (*27*) due to the effect of moisture on soil strength and properties. According to (*28*), proper drainage systems increase the service life of pavements by 50%. It also impacts motorist safety as water that remains on the surface of the pavement can cause hydroplaning. Therefore, proper drainage is important to ensure the long life of the pavement and the safety of users. A survey found that several APT programs explored the effects of water on pavement performance (*29*). Research on drainage systems complements the overall pavement research efforts. With regard to drainage structures, a study conducted at MnROAD evaluated the performance of large thermoplastic (e.g., corrugated polyethylene) culverts for three and a half years. Recommendations for the minimum depth of covers for culverts were made based on the study's findings. The researchers found that culverts could perform well and showed no signs of increased deflections (*4*, *19*).

In addition, a study mentioned that the APT facility operating at the Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, in Porto Alegre, Brazil, was used to evaluate the performance of PVC pipes used in culverts (*30*). Highway agencies continue to explore ways to improve drainage designs and maintenance. The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) has recently built a 4.0-km (2.5-mile) concrete test road, which is expected to open for real traffic in 2023. The research will consist of in-service evaluations of concrete pavement technologies and innovations, including dedicated test sections that would be used to investigate the effectiveness of edge drains. The drainage research will consist of 16 test sections (*31*).

Geotechnical Engineering Research

Knowledge of geologic and subsurface conditions is critical to the design and building of foundations, earthwork structures, and pavement subgrades since all structure construction is founded in or on the ground. Some APTs have been utilized to investigate and understand geologic and subsurface conditions. The entire 309-acre NCAT test track site serves as an ideal ground for geotechnical investigations because the site has been mapped as a National Geotechnical Experimentation Site (NGES).

Consequently, it has been used for geotechnical research purposes (*5*). Similarly, MnROAD made its facility available for the development of new technologies and systems in geotechnical engineering. In 2004, engineers used the MnROAD test track to demonstrate continuous compaction control (CCC), also known as intelligent compaction (IC). IC is a novel technology that uses instrumented compactors to provide real-time verification of in situ properties of soil or asphalt during compaction. It can also adjust compactive efforts when needed (*32*). According to research, IC technology has shown promise in quality control during construction (*33*). A model of the compactor used for this study is shown in Figure E.4, which illustrates the BOMAG variocontrol technology for continuous compaction control. Additionally, MnROAD partnered with the U.S. Department of Energy to investigate the physical and environmental properties of highway base materials stabilized with high carbon fly ash (*34*). The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (USACE CRREL) operates an HVS (known as HVS Mk IV), and it was utilized to evaluate the effectiveness of using geogrids to reduce pavement thickness requirements (*35*).



Figure E.4 Schematic of BOMAG Compactor used for the IC demonstration at MnROAD (*32*)

The circular facility of the University of Los Andes in Colombia investigated various techniques used in soil stabilization using accelerated pavement testing (*30*). In order to provide geosynthetic solutions to weak formations, Hugo and Martin (2004) reported that APTs have made significant impacts in terms of advancements in the field stabilization of marginal materials to improve pavement performance and promote the implementation of geofabrics for ground reinforcement purposes.

Automobile Research

The automobile industry constitutes a significant portion of the U.S. gross domestic product (GDP) quarterly (*36*). Some of the automobile product quality criteria include safety, product design, and functional qualities (*37*). The U.S. passenger automobile has gone through evolutionary changes in response to changing energy, environmental, and safety concerns. The contributions of APTs cannot go unnoticed in this regard. Test track facilities have collaborated with several agencies, including the military and the trucking industry, to investigate relevant issues related to tires, alternative fuels, and suspension systems, among others. The AASHO Road Test, for instance, investigated the dynamic effects of commercial construction equipment and dual-tire truck units. The findings from the research led to the development of new heavy vehicle suspension systems and improved vehicle tires (*10*). Moreover, the PTI was used for advanced research on hybrid, electric, and other alternative-fuel vehicles (*16*). Likewise, NCAT was involved in a study that evaluated alternative fuels for vehicles (*8*). These are great steps toward reducing carbon emissions and fuel costs and providing alternate power to vehicles. Furthermore, the NCAT test track has contributed to the development of advanced propulsion systems and vehicle rollover prediction systems, including providing opportunities for the valuation of improved vehicle electronics and safety (*8*). Under vehicle operations, MnROAD initiated a study to investigate the relationship between road characterization, vehicle dynamics, and fuel consumption. The idea was to determine the factors that contributed to fuel consumption (*34*). With regard to transport modes, the NCAT test track was used for transit bus testing (*5*). In 2009, the FDOT HVS investigated new-generation wide-base tires and made significant recommendations to the trucking industry (*38*). The FABAC machine, which is a small linear traffic simulator, was used to validate the behavior of an electric road systems (ERS) embedded in asphalt pavement in 2019 (*39*). The FABAC traffic simulator was considered for the evaluation due to the limitations of the laboratory to represent real-world conditions (*40*). Alstom developed ERS to charge and supply power to heavy goods vehicles over long distances to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Hornych et al., (2020) noted that subsequent full-scale testing using a 50-m track would be used to validate the safety of the technology before deployment on a large scale.

Environmental Research

Human activities, such as urbanization, deforestation, and pollution, can have negative impacts on the environment. Hence, the rapid environmental changes demand a new direction and innovative solutions. Some of the APTs have allowed agencies to develop solutions to environmental problems, such as pollution and erosion. The NCAT test track conducted erosion control studies to learn about the best erosion management practices (*5*). MnROAD successfully conducted research on environmental biology. The study was successful as the environmental setup was not disturbed, and the experiment was done under real-world conditions that were closely monitored. The constant recording of environmental data also helped biologists to validate their field data. Biology-related research investigated how to improve the design of roadside ditches to decrease transportation-related pollution of surface water. The investigation involved the ability of roadside plants and a constructed check dam to remove pollutants from pavement surface runoff, as shown in Figure E.5. The research concluded that the mechanism could reduce pollution by 54% (*41*). In another study, MnROAD investigated "the effects of novel soil amendments on roadside establishment of cover crop and native prairie plant species" (*42*). The experiment aimed to explore treatment methods to establish plants near the in-slope of roadsides. The study found there was no improvement in the establishment of plants within 2 m of the roadside using the treatments (*4*, *42*). Other activities that have been done off-track at MnROAD include studies on sinkholes and herbicides (*5*).

Figure E.5 Pollution control research showing the check dam at MnROAD (*4*)

Highway Safety Research

Highway engineers continue to explore several techniques including using APTs to improve highway safety. Efforts to use such facilities to conduct research toward improving highway safety were traced to the late 1960s. The U.S. Army Personnel Research Office used the AASHO Road Test to conduct driver behavior studies to determine the attentiveness of test vehicle drivers. In relation to traffic control devices, the NCAT test track investigated pavement striping and markings (*4*). Transportation agencies in the U.S. and Canada invested about $1.5 billion in pavement markings in 2000 (*43*). Pavement markings provide visual guidance by delineating the travel lanes and other roadway features to improve safety (*44*). They have the potential to reduce roadway crashes (*43*). Considering the significant amount of dollars highway agencies invest in pavement markings, it is imperative to evaluate their long-term service performance before making recommendations for implementation on a mass scale. In 2005, FDOT evaluated the structural integrity and retro-reflectivity of raised pavement markings with accelerated pavement testing using the HVS machine (*45*). The U.S Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) also utilized HVS-Airfields Mark VI to evaluate the performance of different “rumble strip” configurations, as well as paint stripes made from methyl methacrylate (MMA) (*35*). According to (*46*), the model mobile load simulator, third scale (MMLS3) is a feasible alternative to evaluate the performance of transverse pavement markings; moreover, the PTI has been utilized to investigate the performance of transverse pavement markings under dry and wet conditions to (*46*). An important roadway departure countermeasure is the rumble strip. Rumble strips have proven to be effective in reducing lane departure crashes on urban and rural freeway segments (*47*). They can reduce roadway departure crashes by 20% to 50%. Often in urban areas, bicyclists encounter rumble strips extended from the road shoulders. The PTI test track was used to develop bicycle user-friendly rumble strip configurations for the state of Pennsylvania (*47*) The objective of the research was to develop a new rumble strip design that mitigates the level of vibrations bicyclist experience when they traverse them without compromising the level of stimuli needed to alert distracted or drowsy motorists (*47*). Snowfall creates slick pavement surface conditions, which increase the risk of crashes among motorists in northern Europe and America. According to (*48*), U.S roadways record more injuries and vehicle damages on snowfall days than on dry days. Therefore, highway agencies employ snow and ice control strategies to restore pavement surfaces to safe driving conditions. In 1997, about $1.5 billion in direct costs on snow and ice control was spent on U.S. roads. The cost includes maintenance activities, such as plowing, salting, and sanding road surfaces (*49*). Considering the investments made in restoring road surface conditions, it was necessary to evaluate the effectiveness of these ice control operations.

In Canada, the Integrated Road Research Facility (IRRF) test road located in Alberta was used by researchers to investigate the effectiveness of plowing and sanding operations using three different application rates on winter road conditions, as shown in Figure E.6. The study found that plowing did not provide significant benefits on ice, but medium to high sanding operations improved friction over plowed ice and snow (*50*). Similarly, the PTI circular test track was used to investigate the effectiveness of applying hot sand for winter ice control (*51*).

Figure E.6 Sand applied on icy road surface at IRRF test road in Canada (*51*)

Calibrations, Measurements, and Testing of Devices

The AASHO Road Test was used for other special studies, including the development of nuclear testing devices used for measuring the in-place density of pavement layers. A non-destructive device for measuring frost depth was developed at the same facility. In another study, a dynamic pavement testing device, which was developed by the Waterways Experiment section and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, was evaluated and demonstrated using the AASHO Road Test (*51*). WASHO Road Test was used by A.C. Benkelman to develop the Benkelman Beam, a pavement deflection-measuring device in 1953 (*52*). After years of existence, the New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA) used the Canterbury Accelerated Pavement Testing Indoor Facility (CAPTIF) to explore an electronic upgrade of the Benkelman Beam to capture full bowl deflections (*53*). The upgraded device was inexpensive, reliable, and easy to operate. It is an effective device that measures the deflection of flexible pavements under loads. The work outlined other major initiatives of the NCAT test track, including the certification of inertial profilers used to collect profile data for calculating the international roughness index (IRI). Traffic data and loadings are important design parameters in pavement design. Regarding traffic data collection, Intercomp Inc. collaborated with MnROAD to develop license plate readers and a weigh-in-motion (WIM) technology. MnROAD used the low-volume road (LVR) loop and a semi-tractor trailer to develop and calibrate a portable WIM for installation in Minnesota (*34*). Furthermore, MnDOT used MnROAD's semi-tractor trailer and operator to undertake a statewide calibration of WIM systems in Minnesota. In other non-pavement studies, MnROAD partnered with International Road Dynamics Inc. (IRD) for the development of traffic detection devices, including WIM (*34*). During the intelligence compaction studies at MnROAD, the guidelines for using a lightweight deflectometer (LWD) and the dynamic cone penetrometer (DCP) were developed for quality control assurance purposes (*54*) and the ground penetrating radar (GPR) (*55*). The GPR is a non-destructive device used to locate underground utilities and give a profile of subsurface conditions and a bridge condition evaluation. Based on research findings, the GPR was adopted by MnDOT for use across the state of Minnesota (*55*, *56*). Consequently, MnROAD is described as a site for equipment certification (*25*, *57*). The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) initiated various studies to fast-track the implementation of warm mix asphalt technology in California using the APT. Figure E.7 shows a transportable flux chamber developed and assessed over the course of the study to measure and characterize emissions from volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) during hot mix asphalt (HMA) paving (*17*, *58*).

Figure E.7 Measuring VOCs using the transportable flux chamber (*17*)

Other Miscellaneous Applications

According to Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), emergency exercises help to prepare for threats and hazards by providing a cost-effective environment with a low risk to test and validate plans, policies, procedures, and capabilities. It also helps to identify resources needed, strengths, weaknesses, and areas that need improvement and potential best practices (FEMA, 2021). The NCAT test track has been used to undertake emergency response exercises (*5*). Likewise, the MnROAD farm loop was used to demonstrate the dangers associated with improper hauling of trailers and goods on roadways (*38*). In the area of national security issues, APT facilities have shown some success. Anti-terrorist policies can either be defensive or proactive (*59*). Terror attacks in Nice, Berlin, Barcelona, and London in recent times involved vehicles ramming into vulnerable crowds, killing people and leaving several others wounded. The modus operandi of these terrorists revealed some vulnerabilities in the system. This led to the need to find ways to safeguard pedestrians in crowded areas (*59*).

Findings

Summary of Non-Pavement Research Using APT

The contributions of APT facilities to non-pavement research are summarized in Table E.1. The test roads appeared to have been extensively used for various aspects of non-pavement research for years. This may be attributed to the large amount of space required for test road facilities and the real-world conditions they provide for research. It appears that most of the non-pavement applications using APTs are connected to the transport sector. Research on bridges, drainage, geotechnical investigations, and automobiles have relationships with the structural or functional performance of the pavement.

Table E.1 Non-pavement applications with different APT types identified during the review

Research application	Apt type		
	Test road	HVS	MLS/circular tracks
Bridges	AASHO road test PTI	Cal-apt	
Transportation technology research	MnROAD Westrack NCAT test track PTI		
Drainage experiments	MnROAD FDOT concrete test road		Brazil apt
Geotechnical investigation	NCAT MnROAD	USACE CRREL	University Of Los Andes in Colombia
Automobile	AASHO road test PTI NCAT test track MnROAD	FDOT	Fabac machine
Environmental research	NCAT test track MnROAD		
Highway safety	NCAT test track PTI	FDOT FAA IRRF	MMLS3
Calibrations, measurements and testing devices	AASHO road test WASHO road test NCAT test track MnROAD	Cal-apt	CAPTIF
Miscellaneous Emergency response Haulage Utilities Security	NCAT test MnROAD PTI	USACE CRREL	

Applying Text Analytics to Understand the Trends in Non-Pavement Research Using APT

Text data mining helps to derive high-quality information from large amounts of natural text and identify trends and relationships. Understanding trends is important to have an idea of which non-pavement research topics are most widely investigated using APTs. Text analysis is conducted on preceding sections that mentioned the application of APT in non-pavement research to extract meaning from the text. This technique has been used in other studies to analyze trends in conference proceedings (*60*, *61*). The Voyant tool, which is an open-sourced text reading and analysis environment (*61*), was used to analyze the text of reviewed studies and related reports. Even though the previous studies used the topic modeling technique called latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA), the Voyant tool (with a simple approach without any coding) is used in this study to get a sense of the trends in non-pavement research. The LDA method involves some extensive coding techniques. The text analysis was done on texts from publications, conference proceedings, and reports that involved non-pavement research using APTs. The aim is to identify trends related to non-pavement research. Figure E.8 visualizes the most frequently used terms in a word cloud using the Voyant tool. In the word cloud, the size of the word is directly proportional to how important it is. Words with similar importance have the same color.

Figure E.8 Word cloud describing non-pavement application terms using APT

Table E.2 shows the results of words that appeared four times or more based on the counts and representative trends. The count represents the number of times the word is mentioned in the text dataset, while the trend is the frequency weight. An analysis was done using two different categories: the “Word Term,” which stands for the objectives and applications of using the APTs in these research studies, such as “investigate,” “develop,” or “measurement.” Another category was the “Non-Pavement Term,” which classified the type of assets and infrastructure used in testing, such as “bridge,” “autonomous vehicles,” or “marking.” It appears that the APT facility focuses on investigating (word count of 11) ideas or issues of interest related to non-pavement research, as shown in Table E.2. Research on bridges (word count of 6) appears to be the most frequently used non-pavement topic among the non-pavement terms previously investigated. Autonomous vehicle testing appears to be the second most researched topic using APT under non-pavement research. This corpus or dataset has one document with 494 total words and 213 unique word forms.

Table E.2 Terms used more than four times in the text and their relative frequencies

Rank	Word Term	Non-Pavement Term	Count	Trend
1	investigate		11	0.022267
2	develop		10	0.020243
3	evaluate		9	0.018219
4	test		9	0.018219
5		bridge	6	0.012146
6		autonomous	4	0.008097
7		markings	4	0.008097
8	measurement		4	0.008097
9	pavement		4	0.008097
10	systems		4	0.008097
11		WIM (weigh-in-motion)	4	0.008097

Future Research for the Proposed Test Track in Wyoming and Other APTs

This section explores the potential application of APT facilities for non-pavement research. APT facilities have shown success in other areas outside of the traditional testing of pavement structures.

Connected Vehicles Work Zone Warning Applications

Full-scale test tracks have the potential to provide a conducive site to evaluate the safety benefits of CV work zone warning (WZW) applications for driver behavior under real-world conditions. Moreover, the impact of connected vehicle technology on traveler information messages (TIMs) on the speed selection of drivers and the safety benefits of speed harmonization can be evaluated.

Smart Infrastructure Systems

Many technologies are being developed to collect and provide transportation system-level condition assessments and predictions to improve safety and mobility. Several approaches can be investigated at the proposed regional facility to accelerate the deployment of intelligent transportation systems in the region. The proposed experiments will assess the interactions between vehicles and smart features, such as adaptive signal control and smart streetlights. In addition, smart electronic tolls can be tested for traffic data collection and congestion verification, which have been demonstrated by existing APTs. Moreover, the facility will make enormous contributions to the rapidly growing area of smart mobility.

Effects of Freight Truck Platooning on Bridges

APTs can explore opportunities to evaluate and get a clear understanding of the potential effects of truck platoons on bridges in terms of loading models, truck configurations, stress ranges, travel speed of platoons, and braking effects of platoons.

Innovative Sustainable Drainage Systems

Innovative stormwater drainage systems, which are easy to transport, handle, install and, more importantly, reduce differential settlements, could be installed and evaluated at test track facilities to optimize road drainage and minimize flooding risks. Technologies could be explored to cost-effectively inspect, rehabilitate, and manage drainage assets.

Bridge Research

The proposed testing facility in Wyoming will present a great opportunity to monitor bridge structures under real-world traffic conditions while exploring new technologies to build and maintain bridge decks with better performance. Bridge decks require frequent maintenance and rehabilitation compared with the other bridge components. Monitoring and inspections are important to achieve bridge performance objectives and goals and maximize returns on investment. While promoting the use and understanding of bridge management systems, future APTs could include bridges with detailed inspection programs. These programs will help determine the cause of deterioration and strain and recommend necessary corrective actions and maintenance, distinct to the dry-freeze climate. Other experiments will evaluate the cost-effectiveness of using innovative techniques for bridge inspections, such as real-time monitoring sensors and unmanned aerial systems (UASs). The experiments can be implemented with various structural features and design spans depending on regional research needs. When the proposed Wyoming test road becomes fully operational, it will be the only test road with real-world traffic conditions to evaluate bridge responses and performance since the AASHO Road Test in the 1950s.

Advanced Geotechnical Methods

Site characterization impacts infrastructure project schedules and costs. A comprehensive site characterization can identify potential geologic and subsurface conditions that may affect design and instruction. Advanced geotechnical techniques could be explored and validated at test track facilities in addition to available technologies that optimize subsurface exploration to reduce construction costs and risks, improve project delivery, and increase the confidence of geotechnical characterizations.

Sustainable Fuels

Hydrogen is seen as the future of fuels for mobile and fixed machinery to limit carbon emissions. APT facilities have proven to be effective in exploring alternative fuels for vehicles. To facilitate the transition to hydrogen as fuel in trucks and construction machinery, APT facilities serve as testing sites.

Unmanned Aerial Systems (UASs)

UASs offer a technological revolution for highway transportation, asset management, traffic incident management, inspections (bridges, tunnels, and construction sites), and delivery of packages (logistics). Full-scale test track facilities can be utilized for training and to explore other opportunities to expand UAS applications in other areas. The benefits of UAS applications include promoting safety, accelerated construction and data collection, asset maintenance, and efficient emergency management.

Summary and Conclusions

The versatility of APTs is evident. Successful applications of APT facilities for non-pavement research have been reviewed in this study. Some APT facilities have been able to balance pavement and non-pavement research without undercutting the objectives that established them. The decision for APTs to engage in non-pavement research is a management initiative that promotes research diversity and the image of the facility. The overall intent of this study is to raise awareness and encourage the participation of APTs in non-pavement research. Different APT types have the capacity and expertise to meet the needs of different customers. Moreover, APT facility staff members demonstrated the capacity to adjust to different research fields. However, it appears that the test roads have been explored more extensively for non-pavement research than HVS, ALFs, and MLS. It is evident that both HVS and test road tracks are effective in evaluating bridge responses and performance. APT facilities can effectively evaluate road markings, pavement markers and rumble strips, geotechnical experiments, and electric road systems

(ERS). Test roads appear to be ideal for connected and autonomous vehicle technology, truck platooning testing, drainage testing, emergency response demonstrations, and intelligent compaction technologies; however, it is evident that very few APTs have been utilized for bridge research, although the topic of bridges appears to be very popular. From the text analytics of the literature review conducted in this study, all the APT techniques appear to often be involved in investigating, developing, or evaluating non-pavement topics related to bridges, autonomous vehicles, and markings. The study suggests non-pavement research areas where the proposed Wyoming test road facility could be utilized for incorporating non-pavement research initiatives in APT programs, diversifying funding sources, and promoting partnerships for successful operations, longevity, resilience, and the image of APT facilities.

References

1. Abramo, G., C. A. D'Angelo, and F. Di Costa. "Diversification versus Specialization in Scientific Research: Which Strategy Pays Off?" *Technovation*, Vol. 82, 2019, pp. 51–57.
2. Cassi, L., R. Champeimont, W. Mescheba, and E. De Turckheim. "Analysing Institutions Interdisciplinarity by Extensive Use of Rao-Stirling Diversity Index." *PLoS One*, Vol. 12, No. 1, 2017, p. e0170296.
3. Van Rijnsoever, F. J., and L. K. Hessels. "Factors Associated with Disciplinary and Interdisciplinary Research Collaboration." *Research Policy*, Vol. 40, No. 3, 2011, pp. 463–472.
4. Worel, B. "Non-Pavement Research at MnROAD." 2021. http://dot.state.mn.us/ [Accessed July 2023]
5. Worel, B., M. Vrtis, and R. Buzz Powell. "Guidance for the Next Generation Accelerated Pavement Testing Facilities." In *Accelerated Pavement Testing to Transport Infrastructure Innovation* (A. Chabot, P. Hornych, J. Harvey, and L. G. Loria-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 40–48.
6. JvdM Steyn, W., and F. Hugo. "Perspectives on Trends in International APT Research." In *The Roles of Accelerated Pavement Testing in Pavement Sustainability* (J. P. Aguiar-Moya, A. Vargas-Nordcbeck, F. Leiva-Villacorta, and L. G. Loría-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 211–225.
7. Choubane, B., and J. Greene. "Accelerated Pavement Testing: Celebrating over 100 Years of Innovation and Economic Benefits." *Centennial Papers*, 2019. https://trid.trb.org/view/1661233 [Accessed January 24, 2022]
8. NCAT. "NCAT Test Track." http://eng.auburn.edu/research/centers/ncat/about/faculty.html. Accessed Jan. 8, 2021.
9. Steyn, W. J. *Significant Findings from Full-Scale Accelerated Pavement Testing*. Transportation Research Board, 2012.
10. Highway Research Board. *In AASHO Road Test*. American Association of State and Highway Transportation Officials, Washington, DC., 1962, pp. 1–59.
11. ASCE. "Overview of Bridges. Report Card for America's Infrastructure." *American Association of Civil Engineers*. https://infrastructurereportcard.org/cat-item/bridges/. Accessed Jul. 4, 2022.
12. Sanders, D. H., and Y. J. Zhang. *Maintenance of the Highway Infrastructure*. National Academy Press, 1994.
13. Madanat, S. M., M. G. Karlaftis, and P. S. McCarthy. "Probabilistic Infrastructure Deterioration Models with Panel Data." *Journal of Infrastructure Systems*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 1997, pp. 4–9. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1076-0342(1997)3:1(4).
14. AASHTO. "AASHO Road Test-Interstate System-Highway History-Federal Highway Administration." https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/infrastructure/50aasho.cfm. Accessed Jul. 23, 2021.
15. Abdel-Halim, M., R. M. McClure, and H. H. West. "Overload Behavior of an Experimental Precast Prestressed Concrete Segmental Bridge." *PCI J*, Vol. 32, No. 6, 1987, pp. 102–123.
16. Penn State Engineering. "Penn State Engineering: Test Track." https://www.larson.psu.edu/about/test-track.aspx. Accessed Jul. 23, 2021.
17. Jones, D., J. Harvey, I. L. Al-Qadi, and A. Mateos. *Advances in Pavement Design through Full-Scale Accelerated Pavement Testing*. CRC Press, 2012.
18. Chehab, G. R., A. Palomino, and X. Tang. "Laboratory Evaluation & Specification Development for Geogrids for Highway Engineering Applications." 2007. https://trid.trb.org/view/815080 [Accessed July 23, 2021]
19. Tompkins, D., and L. Khazanovich. "MnROAD Lessons Learned." Minnesota Department of Transportation, Research Services Section, 2007.
20. Shankwitz, C., and M. Donath. "Autonomous Vehicle Guidance Evaluation." 1995. https://www.cts.umn.edu/publications/report/autonomous-vehicle-guidance-evaluation [Accessed July 23, 2021]

21. Alexander, L., S. Bajikar, H.-M. Lim, V. Morellas, T. Morris, and M. Donath. "Safetruck: Sensing and Control to Enhance Vehicle Safety." 1997. https://conservancy.umn.edu/handle/11299/155115 [Accessed July 23, 2021].
22. Rakauskas, M., N. Ward, C. Shankwitz, and M. Donath. "System Performance and Human Factors Evaluation of the Driver Assistive System (DAS): Supplemental Track Test Evaluation." 2003. https://conservancy.umn.edu/handle/11299/785 [Accessed July 23, 2021].
23. Mitchell, T. M. "WesTrack: Performance Testing for Quality Roads." Publication No.–FHWA-SA-97-038, Washington, DC.–1997, 1997. https://library.unt.edu/gpo/OTA/pubs/superpave/westrack.html [Accessed July 23, 2021].
24. Auburn University. "Transportation Innovation." https://eng.auburn.edu/asee/2018/transportation.html. Accessed Jul. 23, 2021.
25. Tompkins, D. M., L. Khazanovich, and D. M. Johnson. "Overview of the First Ten Years of the Minnesota Road Research Project." *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, Vol. 133, No. 11, 2007, pp. 599–609. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-947X(2007)133:11(599).
26. Janssen, R., H. Zwijnenberg, I. Blankers, and J. De Kruijff. "Truck Platooning." *Driving the Future*, 2015. https://repository.tno.nl/islandora/object/uuid%3A778397eb-59d3-4d23-9185-511385b91509 [Accessed July 23, 2021].
27. Gurjar, J., P. K. Agarwal, and M. K. Sharma. "A Framework for Quantification of Effect of Drainage Quality on Structural and Functional Performance of Pavement." *International Journal of Engineering Research*, Vol. 2, No. 3, 2013, pp. 259–265.
28. Rokade, S., P. K. Agarwal, and R. Shrivastava. "Study on Drainage Related Performance of Flexible Highway Pavements." *International Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 2012, pp. 334–337.
29. Hugo, F., and A. E. Martin. *Significant Findings from Full-Scale Accelerated Pavement Testing*. Transportation Research Board, 2004.
30. Balay, J. M., and A. Mateos. "Implementation of APT Facilities in Developing Countries." 2008.
31. Greene, J. "Florida Department of Transportation FDOT's Concrete Test Road." 2016. https://www.fdot.gov/materials/quality/programs/materialsacceptance/documentation/concrete.shtm [Accessed July 23, 2021].
32. Petersen, D. L. "Continuous Compaction Control MnROAD Demonstration." 2005. https://trid.trb.org/view/869410 [Accessed July 23, 2021]
33. Camargo, F., B. Larsen, B. Chadbourn, R. Roberson, and J. Siekmeier. "Intelligent Compaction: A Minnesota Case History." No. 17, 2006.
34. Worel, B. J., and D. Van Deuse. "Benefits of MnROAD Phase II Research." Minnesota Department of Transportation, Research Services & Library, 2015. https://trid.trb.org/view/1393053 [Accessed July 23, 2021].
35. Du Plessis, L., A. Ulloa-Calderon, J. T. Harvey, and N. F. Coetzee. "Accelerated Pavement Testing Efforts Using the Heavy Vehicle Simulator." *International Journal of Pavement Research and Technology*, Vol. 11, No. 4, 2018, pp. 327–338. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijprt.2017.09.016
36. Bea. Gross Domestic Product, 2nd Quarter 2020 (Advance Estimate) and Annual Update. https://www.bea.gov/news/2020/gross-domestic-product-2nd-quarter-2020-advance-estimate-and-annual-update. Accessed Jul. 23, 2021.
37. Jahanshahi, A. A., M. A. H. Gashti, S. A. Mirdamadi, K. Nawaser, and S. M. S. Khaksar. "Study the Effects of Customer Service and Product Quality on Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty." *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, Vol. 1, No. 7, 2011, pp. 253–260.
38. Greene, J., B. Choubane, and N. M. Jackson. "Benefits Achieved from Florida's Accelerated Pavement Testing Program." 2013. https://trid.trb.org/view/1240743 [Accessed July 23, 2021].
39. Hornych, P., T. Gabet, M. L. Nguyen, F. A. Lédée, and P. Duprat. "Evaluation of a Solution for Electric Supply of Vehicles by the Road, at Laboratory and Full Scale." In *Accelerated Pavement Testing to Transport Infrastructure Innovation* (A. Chabot, P. Hornych, J. Harvey, and L. G. Loria-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 689–698.

40. Aunis, J., and J. M. Balay. "An Applied Research Programme on Continuous Reinforced Concrete Pavements: The FABAC Project." 1998.
41. Biesboer, D. D., and J. Elfering. "Improving the Design of Roadside Ditches to Decrease Transportation-Related Surface Water Pollution." 2003. https://conservancy.umn.edu/handle/11299/783 [Accessed July 23, 2021].
42. Gale, S. W., and D. D. Biesboer. "The Effect of Novel Soil Amendments on Roadside Establishment of Cover Crop and Native Prairie Plant Species." 2004. https://conservancy.umn.edu/handle/11299/1134 [Accessed July 23, 2021].
43. Migletz, J., and J. L. Graham. *Long-Term Pavement Marking Practices: A Synthesis of Highway Practice*. Transportation Research Board, 2002.
44. Carlson, P. J. *Synthesis of Pavement Marking Research*. United States. Federal Highway Administration. Office of Safety, 2015.
45. Choubane, B., S. Gokhale, G. Sholar, and H. Moseley. "Evaluation of Coarse- and Fine-Graded Superpave Mixtures under Accelerated Pavement Testing." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, Vol. 1974, No. 1, 2006, pp. 120–127. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198106197400114.
46. Donnell, E. T., G. R. Chehab, X. Tang, and D. Schall. "Exploratory Analysis of Accelerated Wear Testing to Evaluate Performance of Pavement Markings." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, Vol. 2107, No. 1, 2009, pp. 76–84. https://doi.org/10.3141/2107-08.
47. Torbic, D., L. Elefteriadou, and M. El-Gindy. "Development of Rumble Strip Configurations That Are More Bicycle Friendly." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, Vol. 1773, No. 1, 2001, pp. 23–31. https://doi.org/10.3141/1773-03.
48. Eisenberg, D., and K. E. Warner. "Effects of Snowfalls on Motor Vehicle Collisions, Injuries, and Fatalities." *American Journal of Public Health*, Vol. 95, No. 1, 2005, pp. 120–124. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2004.048926.
49. Al-Qadi, I. L., A. Loulizi, G. W. Flintsch, D. S. Roosevelt, R. Decker, J. C. Wambold, and W. A. Nixon. "Feasibility of Using Friction Indicators to Improve Winter Maintenance Operations and Mobility." Transportation Research Board, National Research Council Washington, DC, USA, 2002. https://trid.trb.org/view/734690 [Accessed July 23, 2021].
50. Salimi, S., S. Nassiri, and A. Bayat. "Using Lateral Coefficient of Friction to Evaluate Effectiveness of Plowing and Sanding Operations." *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, Vol. 41, No. 11, 2014, pp. 977–985. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjce-2014-0076.
51. Hayhoe, G. F. "Application of Hot Sand for Winter Ice Control Laboratory Phase." Alaska. Dept. of Transportation and Public Facilities, 1984. https://trid.trb.org/view/206477 [Accessed July 23, 2021].
52. Root, W. H., G. D. Kennedy, B. D. Marsh, K. B. Woods, E. Staff, F. Burggraf, and W. J. Miller. "Highway Research Board Officers Aid Members of the Executive Committee Officers Automobile Association." 1954. https://www.google.com.hk/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=&ved=2ahUKEwjE-ICP6vjxAhWiIaYKHXvHBwQQFjABegQIBBAD&url=https%3A%2F%2Fonlinepubs.trb.org%2FOnlinepubs%2Fhrbbulletin%2F205%2F205.pdf&usg=AOvVaw37lCN2_JqwdhlJFWdKmXUr [Accessed July 23, 2021].
53. Greenslade, F. R. "Electronic Upgrade of a Standard Benkelman Beam to Enable Capture of Full Bowl Deflections." In *The Roles of Accelerated Pavement Testing in Pavement Sustainability* (J. P. Aguiar-Moya, A. Vargas-Nordcbeck, F. Leiva-Villacorta, and L. G. Loría-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 659–669.
54. Siekmeier, J., C. Pinta, S. Merth, J. Jensen, P. Davich, F. F. Camargo, and M. Beyer. "Using the Dynamic Cone Penetrometer and Light Weight Deflectometer for Construction Quality Assurance." Minnesota. Dept. of Transportation. Office of Materials and Road Research, 2009. https://rosap.ntl.bts.gov/view/dot/39897 [Accessed July 23, 2021].

55. Loken, M. "Current State of the Art and Practice of Using GPR for Minnesota Roadway Applications." *Commercially Unpublished Final Report for Investigation*, No. 771, 2005. http://webcache.googleusercontent.com/search?q=cache:IB0bGGL_JwQJ:citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download%3Fdoi%3D10.1.1.562.470%26rep%3Drep1%26type%3Dpdf+&cd=1&hl=en&ct=clnk&gl=hk [Accessed July 23, 2021].
56. Tompkins, D. M., L. Khazanovich, and D. M. Johnson. "Benefits of the Minnesota Road Research Project." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, Vol. 2087, No. 1, 2008, pp. 12–19. https://doi.org/10.3141/2087-02.
57. Burnham, T., and D. Johnson. "In Situ Foundation Characterization Using the Dynamic Cone Penetrometer." Minnesota Department of Transportation Maplewood, 1993. https://trid.trb.org/view/381424 [Accessed July 23, 2021].
58. Farshidi, F., D. Jones, A. Kumar, P. G. Green, and J. T. Harvey. "Direct Measurements of Volatile and Semivolatile Organic Compounds from Hot- and Warm-Mix Asphalt." *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, Vol. 2207, No. 1, 2011, pp. 1–10. https://doi.org/10.3141/2207-01.
59. Faria, J. R. "Terrorist Innovations and Anti-Terrorist Policies." *Terrorism and Political Violence*, Vol. 18, No. 1, 2006, pp. 47–56. https://doi.org/10.1080/095465591009377.
60. Steyn, W. J. v. "Future APT – Thoughts on Future Evolution of APT." In *Accelerated Pavement Testing to Transport Infrastructure Innovation* (A. Chabot, P. Hornych, J. Harvey, and L. G. Loria-Salazar, eds.), Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 708–717.
61. Voyant. About-Voyant Tools Help. https://voyant-tools.org/docs/#!/guide/about. Accessed Jul. 23, 2021.