

NDDOT – Local Government Division

Bryon Fuchs, PE
Rural Programs

Oil & Gas Producing Counties
November 30, 2011

Topics

- Survey Results
- Unpaved Allocation Cost Share
- Oil Funds Requested to Date and Allocations

Survey Results

Please select all that apply

	Number of Response(s)	Response Ratio
Quarterly status report of funds requested vs. allocated funds	10	62.5%
Reimbursement timeframe	8	50.0%
Which specifications to follow	7	43.7%
Other	1	6.2%

Survey Results

1. More time to complete projects.
2. It would be nice to have the gravel road portion switched from 25% county and 75% state. Our oil revenue has not increased yet so on the pavement side we will have a hard time to get out match and what our project will over run what our share was.
3. The time frame to spend funds and cash flow the local match is the biggest obstacle. The planning and manpower to construct projects will also be a great challenge. Our County is in the process of designing and surveying once that is accomplished bidding and constructing should move along at a faster pace. Counties should be informed with one another's progress of spending so no funds are unused.
4. It is extremely difficult to get contractors to finish bid jobs in a timely matter since there is more work than contractors in the oil field. If this persists it will not be possible for the counties to spend the money prior to the end of 2012.

Survey Results

Clarification on what these funds can be used for:

	Number of Response(s)	Response Ratio
Paved	6	40.0%
Unpaved	9	60.0%
What is considered maintenance	8	53.3%
Other	1	6.6%
Total	15	100%
3 Comment(s)		

Survey Results

1. Split projects.
2. Keep it simple.
3. I think this has been well addressed.
4. What will happen if paved project money is used to grade and base a project and before the planned paving project occurs the funds have all been expended?

Survey Results

What is your preference for meeting to discuss the status of funds, allocation usage, problems encountered, etc.?

	Number of Response(s)	Response Ratio
Quarterly meetings	10	55.5%
Bi-annual meetings	7	38.8%
Total	18	100%
3 Comment(s)		

Survey Results

1. Annual meetings.
2. One major concern I have for our County is with the shortage of contractors in the oilfield and 17 Counties all needing projects completed will there be enough interest in bidding competitively on Construction projects to get the work done in a timely manner?
3. Oil impact and FEMA work not being able to acquire material in needed timeframes.

Survey Results

What is your preference for receiving correspondence?

	Number of Response(s)	Response Ratio
Email (please provide the preferred email address in the comment box)	11	61.1%
Paper copy through US Postal Service	2	11.1%
Both	10	55.5%
Total	18	100%
8 Comment(s)		

Unpaved Allocation Cost Share

- Unpaved allocation has went from a 25/75 split to a 90/10 split (state/local)
 - Previously at a 25/75 split
 - \$23.8 million/\$71.4 million for a total of \$95.2 million
 - Now at a 90/10 split
 - \$23.8 million/\$2.64 million for a total of \$26.44 million

Unpaved Allocation Cost Share

What does that mean for each county?

North Region - Unpaved

County	Total Allocated Funds	County Contribution	Total Cost
Bottineau	\$165,854	\$18,428	\$184,282
Burke	\$663,415	\$73,713	\$737,128
Divide	\$1,948,780	\$216,531	\$2,165,311
McHenry	\$20,732	\$2,304	\$23,036
McLean	\$829,268	\$92,141	\$921,409
Mountrail	\$3,296,341	\$366,260	\$3,662,601
Renville	\$100,000	\$11,111	\$111,111
Ward	\$1,285,366	\$142,818	\$1,428,184
Williams	\$4,187,805	\$465,312	\$4,653,117
Totals	\$12,497,561	\$1,388,618	\$13,886,179

Unpaved Allocation Cost Share

What does that mean for each county?

South Region - Unpaved

County	Total Allocated Funds	County Contribution	Total Cost
Billings	\$773,205	\$85,912	\$859,117
Bowman	\$99,128	\$11,014	\$110,142
Dunn	\$3,429,860	\$381,096	\$3,810,956
Golden Valley	\$852,509	\$94,723	\$947,232
McKenzie	\$3,608,292	\$400,921	\$4,009,213
Mercer	\$39,655	\$4,406	\$44,061
Slope	\$100,000	\$11,111	\$111,111
Stark	\$1,605,888	\$178,432	\$1,784,320
Totals	\$10,508,537	\$1,167,615	\$11,676,152

Oil Fund Allocation Requests

Allocation	Total Available	Total Requested	Remaining	% Remaining
Paved	\$118,200,000	\$18,688,037	\$99,511,963	84.2%
Unpaved	\$23,800,000	\$855,072	\$22,944,928	96.4%
Total	\$142,000,000	\$19,543,110	\$122,456,890	86.2%

Oil Fund Allocation Requests

County	Allocations			Reimbursement Requests		
	Paved	Unpaved	Total	Paved	Unpaved	Total
Billings	\$1,204,030	\$773,205	\$1,977,235	\$0	\$750,632	\$750,632
Bottineau	\$4,968,000	\$165,854	\$5,133,854	\$1,477,212	\$0	\$1,477,212
Bowman	\$400,000	\$99,128	\$499,128	\$357,318	\$99,128	\$456,446
Burke	\$4,860,000	\$663,415	\$5,523,415	\$0	\$0	\$0
Divide	\$6,293,430	\$1,948,780	\$8,242,210	\$4,660,835	\$0	\$4,660,835
Dunn	\$7,600,000	\$3,429,860	\$11,029,860	\$0	\$0	\$0
Golden Valley	\$1,034,000	\$852,509	\$1,886,509	\$0	\$0	\$0
McHenry	\$0	\$20,732	\$20,732	\$0	\$0	\$0
McKenzie	\$20,004,030	\$3,608,292	\$23,612,322	\$0	\$0	\$0
McLean	\$0	\$829,268	\$829,268	\$0	\$0	\$0
Mercer	\$0	\$39,655	\$39,655	\$0	\$0	\$0
Mountrail	\$38,604,510	\$3,296,341	\$41,900,851	\$4,624,335	\$0	\$4,624,335
Renville	\$4,932,000	\$100,000	\$5,032,000	\$1,851,447	\$5,313	\$1,856,760
Slope	\$0	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$0	\$0	\$0
Stark	\$8,500,000	\$1,605,888	\$10,105,888	\$1,290,278	\$0	\$1,290,278
Ward	\$6,120,000	\$1,285,366	\$7,405,366	\$0	\$0	\$0
Williams	\$13,680,000	\$4,187,805	\$17,867,805	\$4,426,612	\$0	\$4,426,612
Total	\$118,200,000	\$23,006,098	\$141,206,098	\$18,688,037	\$855,072	\$19,543,110

Questions?